

# A CROSS-CULTURAL COMPARISON OF COMPLAINTS

ANA KOCEVA

GOCE DELCEV UNIVERSITY

NORTH MACEDONIA

#### INTRODUCTION

Speech acts = minimal linguistic units; language acts / speech units.

#### General speech act theory:

• all utterances in addition to their meaning, also perform specific acts via a specific communicative force of that utterance.

• Complaints = speech act that expresses speaker's disapproval of the hearer's behaviour.

#### **COMPLAINTS**

- The speaker expresses displeasure/annoyance as a reaction to a past or ongoing action that affects the speaker unfavourably;
- ran illocutionary act in which the speaker expresses disapproval or negative feelings toward the state of affairs described in the proposition and for which the hearer is held responsible either directly or indirectly (Trosborg, 1995).
- multiple speech acts (Clyne, Ball and Neil, 1991) that usually spread over several turns (Murphy and Neu, 1996).

#### COMPLAINTS

- behabitives (Austin,1962) show speaker's behaviour;
- > expressive acts (Searle, 1969) express speaker's feelings/attitude;
- conflictive acts (Leech, 1983) threat/accusation/cursing etc.
- > face threatening acts (Brown & Levinson, 1987);
- retrospective acts (Olshtain and Weinbach, 1993; Trosborg, 1995) used as a reactions to an action that affected the speaker unfavourably;

#### METHODOLOGY

- ☐ Instrument:
- Open-ended discourse completion task (DCT).
- ☐ Participants:

University students

- Quantitative method
  - Conveyed by an SPSS system
- Qualitative method
  - Used to correlate the statistical data with the sociocultural features.

#### **ANALYSIS & RESULTS**

Type of a percpective in complaints

focalising speaker perspective

focalising hearer perspective

defocalising speaker perspective

defocalising hearer perspective

- ➤ focalising = the intent is to emphasize the role of the referent in the situation; a specific reference.
- defocalising = the intent is to minimise the role of the referent in the situation or completely exclude the referent.

## ANALYSIS & RESULTS

Category	No.	Strategy		
No explicit	1	Hints		
reproach				
	2	Annoyance		
Expression of	3	III consequences		
disapproval				
	4	Indirect accusations		
Accusation	5	Direct accusation		
	6	Modified blame		
	7	Explicit blame		
Blame		(behaviour)		
	8	Explicit blame (person)		

			1	Openers
				Intensifiers
				Commitment
	Upgraders			upgraders
				Expletives
lutowel.				Overstaters
Internal			6	Downtoners
modifiers	Downgraders		7	Understaters
		Softeners	8	Hedge
		Fillers	9	Appealers
			10	Cajole
			11	Subjectiviers
				Alerters
External modifiers			13	Preparators
			14	Grounders
			15	Disarmers
				Sweeteners

### THE MOST FREQUENT FORM OF A COMPLAINT

• Similarities vs differences.

American English vs Macedonian

#### COMPLAINTS - SIMILARITIES:

- use of a hearer-oriented perspective;
- the use of a specific reference toward the hearer;
- the use of a nonspecific reference toward the hearer, when he/she has an unequal social status with the interlocutor and involves a high severity imposition;
- use of pre-acts used to notify the hearer;
- use of strategies of disapproval or annoyance;

#### CONCLUSION

- There differences in the language structure of the speech act of complaining that result from the variable influence of the social parameters over the utterances of the two sample groups; however they do not show significant statistical difference.
- Similarities outnumber the differences in the act of complaining in American English & Macedonian.