

Proceedings of the 6th annual meeting of the European Veterinary Congress of Behavioural Medicine and Animal Welfare

3rd - 4th October 2024 Paris, France



European Veterinary Congress of Behavioural Medicine and Animal Welfare

Organizing Committees

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Emmanuelle Titeux, Chair of 2024 EVCBMAW National Committee, Chief Academic Editor, BM Editor

Caroline Gilbert, Chief Academic Editor, BM Academic Secretary, BM Editor Claire Diederich, Chief Academic Editor, AWSEL Academic Secretary, AWSEL Editor Agnès Tiret, Chief Academic Secretary Bertrand Deputte, AWSEL Editor Franck Péron, AWSEL Editor

LOCAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Emmanuelle Titeux, Chair of 2024 EVCBMAW National Committee Martin Whiting, Treasurer, Food and Wine Officer Cassandre Desgrez-Dautet, Local Committee Coordinator Edwin Louis-Maerten, Local Committee Coordinator Anne-Sophie Personnat, Local Committee Coordinator

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Christine Halsberghe, President ESVCE Anouck Haverbeke, ECAWBM - BM Peter Thornton, ECAWBM - AWSEL Karen Hiestand, Chair AWSELVA Emmanuelle Titeux, Chair National Committee for 2024 Laura Hänninen, Chair National Committee for 2025

REVIEWERS

Tomas Camps, Simona Cannas, Emanuela Dalla Costa, Claire Diederich, Alice de Boyer des Roches, Nancy De Briyne, Ashley Elzerman, Jaume Fatjo, Andrew Gardiner, Angelo Gazzano, Caroline Gilbert, Laura Hänninen, Anouck Haverbeke, Elein Hernandez, Johan Lindsjö, Manuel Magalhães-Sant'Ana, Xavier Manteca, Silvia Mazzola, Kevin McPeake, Daniel Mills, Christel Moons, Barbara Padalino, Clara Palestrini, Franck Péron, Ludovica Pierantoni, Federica Pirrone, Denise Remy, Yasemin Salgirli Demirbas, Rian Segers-Lensen, Evangelia N. Sossidou, Carlo Siracusa, Déborah Temple, Emmanuelle Titeux, Kati Tuomola, Patricia Turner, Stefania Uccheddu, Claudia Maureen Vinke, Helen Zulch

© Presses universitaires de Namur, 2024 This book is published under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Non-Derivative 4.0 Licence.



The license allows you to share, copy, distribute, and transmit the work for personal and non-commercial use providing author and publisher attribution is clearly stated.

D/2024/1881/15

Published in 2024 by Presses Universitaires de Namur Rue Grangagnage 19 BE-5000 Namur Belgique www.pun.be

Printed in Belgium

Evaluation of dog population management interventions with using of quantifiable indicators

<u>Vlatko Ilieski</u>¹, Dimitar Terzievski², Dimitar Nakov³

- 1. Faculty for veterinary medicine Skopje University Ss Ciryl and Methodius Skopje
 North Macedonia
 - 2. Food and veterinary agency Skopje, North Macedonia
 - 3. Faculty of Agriculture, Goce Delcev University in Shtip, North Macedonia Contact: vilieski@fvm.ukim.edu.mk

This study shows how routinely collected data can be used to monitor and evaluate Dog Population Management interventions. We estimated the number of dog bites, dog attacks and traffic accidents in relation to roaming and owned dog populations. Data were analyzed per 1,000 inhabitants in the cities in N. Macedonia using the Pearson correlation coefficient and the General Linear Model GLM, a univariate procedure.

The correlation coefficient revealed that there was no statistically significant correlation between the occurrence of incidents and the gender of both owned and stray dogs. There was a statistically significant correlation between owned and stray dog population sizes with interventions such as sterilization and castration.

The GLM method, a univariate procedure, showed that the population of owned dog significantly affects (p<0.01) the rate of dog bites, dog attacks and traffic accidents. The population of dogs released from the shelter had a statistically significant effect (p<0.05) on dog bites and showed that neutering of shelter dogs, was associated with increased fear and aggression in individual dogs.

The behavioral effects of neutering in the literature vary depending on whether dogs are considered on an individual or on a population basis.

We suggest that these quantitative indicators can be used to evaluate interventions and assess DPM performance. Evaluation of DPM can be maximized by selecting measurable indicators such as dog attack, dog bites, and traffic accident. DPM assessment should be complemented by other factors beyond the number of neutered dogs depending on the goals of each specific DPM program.

Gemma C Ma, Ann-Margret Withers, Jessica Spencer, Jacqueline M Norris and Michael P Ward. Evaluation of a Dog Population Management Intervention: Measuring Indicators of Impact Animals 2020,10,1061.

Smith, L.M.; Hartmann, S.; Munteanu, A.M.; Dalla Villa, P.; Quinnell, R.J.; Collins, L.M. The Effectiveness of Dog Population Management: A Systematic Review. Animals 2019, 9, 1020.

Reece, J.; Chawla, S.; Hiby, A. Decline in human dog-bite cases during a street dog sterilisation programme in Jaipur, India. Vet. Res. 2013, 172, 473.

Hiby, E.; Brimley, R.; Hammond-Seaman, A.; Jones, M.; Rowan, A.; Fogelberg, E.; Kennedy, M.; Nel, L.; Cleaveland, S.; Hampson, K.; et al. Scoping review of indicators and methods of measurement used to evaluate the impact of dog population management interventions. BMC Vet. Res. 2017, 13, 143.

D'Onise, K.; Hazel, S.; Caraguel, C. Mandatory desexing of dogs: One step in the right direction to reduce the risk of dog bite? A systematic review. Inj. Prev. 2017, 23, 212–218.