

DATA EXTRACTION OF SIGNIFICANT SOIL CHEMISTRY MARKERS FOR SUSTAINABLE VITICULTURE

Aleksandar Piperevski, Biljana Balabanova

Goce Delcev University, Faculty of Agriculture , Krste Misirkov 10A, 2000 Stip, Republic of N. Macedonia

INTRODUCTION

BASELINE SOIL CHEMISTRY MARKERS

INDICATING OPTIMAL RANGES in soil baseline markers

21st Century soil science must deeply grapple with the enormity of the agricultural impact on soils, and the complex ways in which this impacts soil sustainability.

There are several risks associated with unbalanced soil management, such as compaction, pollution, soil erosion, soil organic matter (SOM) depletion, and loss of biodiversity, that lead to a drop in vine quality and quantity [1].

Soil management in viticulture and sustainable strategies assumes greater significance to improve the quality of modern viticulture.

Soil chemistry and sustainable **soil management** in vineyard are directly correlated with wine grape quality. A critical component of this approach is the thorough understanding of soil chemistry. Soil health and composition directly impact vine growth, grape quality and overall vineyard productivity. Vineyard soil management includes diverse agricultural practices that all influence soil functioning [2].

Chemical, physical, or biological indicators generally evaluate soil quality. Soil quality can be evaluated for agro-ecosystems in terms of providing baseline soil chemistry markers [3].

This study provides an overview of **baseline soil chemistry markers** that are essential for sustainable viticulture. Improvement of these soil chemistry markers helps in the development of better soil management practices [4].

Climate change impacts, showed doubts about its long-term sustainability in vineyard soil management.

In fact, soil represents a non-renewable resource, and it is an open system in dynamic equilibrium with the other environmental components and in continuous evolution [5].

рН	Soil pH affects nutrient availability and microbial activates		
	and the state and date and		
SOM	Organic matters improves soil structure, water retention and nutrient supply		
the second of a			
Macronutrients	Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium, Magnesium and Sulfur		
A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A CARLES	AT ME. MAN. THEN SHOW AND A		
Micronutrients	Iron, Manganese, Zinc, Copper, Boron and Molybdenum		
Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)	Reflects the soil ability to retain and exchange cations		
CALIN B. CALIN	and see as the second sec		
Electrical Conductivity (EC)	Concentration of soluble salts in the soil		
English Britshing and and	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF		
Soil structure	Arrangement of soil particles		
IN THE TOP INTO A	A COMPANY		

CONCLUSION

Maintaining an optimal pH value, balanced levels of micronutrients and micronutrients and a high content of organic matter significantly contribute to healthier vines and better grapesquality. Regular control and monitoring of sustainable chemical markers can reduce the unnecessary use of chemical fertilizers, encouraging a more sustainable and ecological approach to viticulture.

Chemical indicator		Critical range	
рН		5.5-6.5	
Organic Matters		2 – 4 %	
Cation Exchange Capacity		3.5 – 6.0 cmol/kg	
Electrical Conductivity		4 - 8 μS/cm	
Macronutrients	Low	Medium	High
Phosphorus (P ₂ O ₅)	< 50 mg/kg	80 – 120 mg/kg	> 200 mg/kg
Nitrogen (N)	< 0.1 %	0.1 – 0.2 %	> 0.2 %
Potassium (K ₂ O)	< 70 mg/kg	150 – 120 mg/kg	> 350 mg/kg
Sulphur (S)	< 100 mg/kg	100 – 200 mg/kg	> 200 mg/kg
Micronutrients	Low	Medium	High
Zinc (Zn)	< 0.2 mg/kg	0.2 – 1,5 mg/kg	> 1.5 mg/kg
Iron (Fe)	< 2.5 mg/kg	2.5 – 4.5 mg/kg	> 4.5 mg/kg
Copper (Cu)	< 0.2 mg/kg	0.2 – 5.0 mg/kg	> 5.0 mg/kg
Boron (B)	< 0.5 mg/kg	0.5 – 1.0 mg/kg	.> 1.0 mg/kg
Molybdenum (Mo)	< 0.2 mg/kg	0.2 – 0.4 mg/kg	> 0.4 mg/kg

References:

[1] Amundson, R. (2022). Soil biogeochemistry and the global agricultural footprint. Soil Security, 6, 100022.

[2] Cataldo, E., Fucile, M., & Mattii, G. B. (2021). A review: Soil management, sustainable strategies and approaches to improve the quality of modern viticulture. *Agronomy*, *11*(11), 2359.

[3] García-Navarro, F. J., Jiménez-Ballesta, R., Perales, J. A. L., Perez, C., Amorós, J. A., & Bravo, S. (2023). Sustainable Viticulture in the Valdepeñas Protected Designation of Origin: From Soil Quality to Management in Vitis vinifera. *Sustainability*, *15*(12), 9339.

[4] Cesco, S., Pii, Y., Borruso, L., Orzes, G., Lugli, P., Mazzetto, F., ... & Mimmo, T. (2021). A smart and sustainable future for viticulture is rooted in soil: How to face cu toxicity. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(3), 907.

[5] Visconti, F., López, R., & Olego, M. Á. (2024). The Health of Vineyard Soils: Towards a Sustainable Viticulture. *Horticulturae*, *10*(2), 154.

