

28th BaSS Congress

BaSS

Montenegro, Budva



ABSTRACT BOOK

Invitation Letter	1
Organizing Committee	3
Scientific Committee	4
Invited Lecturers	5
Oral Presentation	25
Poster Presentation	95



Scientific Committee

President of the 28th BaSS Congress Scientific Committee
Assist. Prof. Dr. Mirjana Djurickovic

Members of the 28th BaSS Congress Scientific Committee

- Prof. dr Mileta Golubovic
- Prof. dr Jelena Kronic
- Prof. dr Zoran Lazic
- Prof. dr Aleksa Markovic
- Prof. dr Edit Xhajanka
- Prof. dr Branko Mihailovic
- Prof. dr Bulent Katipoglu
- Prof. dr Aneta Mijoska
- Prof. dr Dejan Dubovina
- Prof. dr Bojana Davidovic
- Prof. dr Zoran Tatic
- Ass. Prof. Jasminka Andjelic
- Ass. Prof. Aleksandar Jakovljevic
- Ass. Prof. Ana Vukovic
- Ass. Prof. Bashkim Ismaili
- Ass. Prof. Radovan Jovanovic
- Ass. Prof. Branislav Ilic
- Ass. Prof. Tatjana Savic Stankovic
- Ass. Prof. Zoran Arsic
- Dr sci. Tamara Boskovic Brkanovic

Poster **Presentation**

Results: Nonmetal fixed prosthetic restorations are made in frontal region. The final nonmetal restoration gave great results for the soft tissues, the minimal subgingival demarcation gave great precision of the final product in the frontal region.

Conclusion: Nonmetal ceramic restoration in frontal region is the best solution for protection of the teeth in patients with big abrasion in frontal region.

Key words: abrasion, subgingival demarcation

PP-111

Safe harvest rules and recipient bed preparing

Bruno Nikolovskil, Vesna Trpevska¹, Ana Belazelkovska Grezlovska², Cena Dimova¹, Katerina Zlatanovska¹

Goce Delcev University, Faculty of medical sciences¹, European University, Faculty of dentistry²

Introduction: Performing soft tissue autografts has been a progressively trendy surgery for the past 20 years, even though the first articles about autografts in clinical periodontology were published more than 40 years ago. The use of free gingival grafts (FGG) started to be an option to solve aesthetic defects like gingival recessions, ridge augmentation, and nowadays socket preservation.

Aim: This presentation aims to highlight the limitations as well as the advantages of soft tissue grafting, taking into consideration the purpose, needs, and local factors that affect the treatment plan.

Case presentation: After elaboration on the main well-known options for harvesting, our choice was FGG (rectangular graft with thickness of 1,5mm) harvested from the lateral palate and its placing over the defect in the anterior mandible in 40-year-old systemically healthy female presented with dentin hypersensitivity and discomfort while brushing on two adjacent recession Type 2 of 7-mm depth with a narrow band of keratinized tissue in the lower incisors. The harvesting techniques seek to achieve more tissue volume, minimize postoperative pain, and reduce the risk of complications. We will discuss right that.

Conclusion: After identifying the dominant features and borders and defining the problem, the proper choice of the donor site and the most accurate approach allow predictable and long-term results of this standard procedure considered the gold standard.

Keywords: gingival defect, autograft, harvesting technique, donor, recipient bed.
