

JMS

Journal of Morphological Sciences

Journal of Morphological Sciences

[Current](#) [Archives](#) [About](#)

[Home](#) / [Archives](#) / Vol 4 No 1 (2021):JMS



ISSN 2545-4706

<https://doi.org/10.55302/JMS>

<https://doi.org/10.55302/JMS2141>

Published: 2021-04-02

Articles

NOVEL CORONAVIRUS PNEUMONIA, MANAGEMENT, DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT- CASE REPORT

Tatjana Gjorsheska, Marija Janeku Kartalova
3-5



COVID-19 PNEUMONIA ASSOCIATED WITH RHABDOMYOLYSIS IN PATIENT WITH PREVIOUS CHRONIC THERAPY WITH STATIN: COINCIDENCE OR REALTED CONDITIONS?

Marija Janeku Kartalova, Marija Cvetanovska, Milena Stevanovic, Ilir Demiri, Sunchica Taseva Bogoeva, Vlatko Cvetanovski

6-12



A LABORATORY CHARACTERISTICS AND PRESENTATION OF MULTI-ORGAN AFFECTION AMONG HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS WITH SARS-CoV-2 INFECTION IN PUBLIC HOSPITAL IN BITOLA

Biljana Ilkovska, Bisera Kotevska, Sanda Kotevska, Irena Kostovska, Katerina Tosheska Trajkovska, Branimir Kanazirev

13-21



A CASE OF COVID-19 PNEUMONIA IN HEMODIALYSIS PATIENT

Tatjana Stojanoska, Irena Rambabova Bushljetik, Osman Murtezai, Natasha Taleska Matovska, Elizabeta Dimoska Chifligaroska, Olga Caposka

22-28



IDIOPATHIC INFANTILE HYPERCALCEMIA – CASE REPORT

Snezana Palchevska, Simonida Spasevska, Jana Jovanovska, Velibor Tasic, Natasha Aluloska

29-33



THE RISK FACTORS FOR POSTOPERATIVE OUTCOMES IN NEONATAL CARDIAC SURGERY

Hristina Mandzukovska

34-39



INCIDENCE, RISK FACTORS AND OUTCOMES OF ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY IN PRETERM NEWBORNS

Silvana Naunova Timovska, Sonja Bojadzieva, Aspazija Sofijanova, Elizabeta Shuperliska, Ilija Kirovski, Olivera Jordanova

40-45



OSTEOMYELITIS OF FEMUR IN A DRUG ADDICTED CHILD

Ilija Kirovski, Vesna Micevska, Aspazija Sofijanova, Sonja Bojadzieva, Silvana Naunova Timovska, Olivera Jordanova
46-49



TRUE LEFT-SIDED GALLBLADDER (T-LSG) AS INCIDENTAL FINDING ON LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTOMY – CASE REPORT

Radomir Gelevski, Gjorgi Jota, Lazar Todorovic, Gjorgi Trajkovski, Vladimir Jaksimovic, Bojan Trencic, Ljubomir Ognjenovic
50-53



URINARY STONE DENSITY MEASURED ON NON-CONTRAST COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY CORRELATED WITH SUCCESS RATE BY EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCKWAVE LITHOTRIPSY TREATMENT

Slavica Chalcheska, Elizabeta Stojovska-Jovanovska, Ivica Stojanoski, Vlatko Kostadinovski, Sandra Dejanova Panev, Biljana Veljanovska Prgova
54-60



DEEP VEIN ARTERIALISATION

Vlatko Cvetanovski, Andreja Arsovski, Aleksandar Mitevski, Marija Cvetanovska, Borislav Kondov, Zoran Dimitrov
61-64



DEMOGRAPHIC AND MORPHOLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OF BONE METASTASES – 11 YEARS SINGLE CENTER EXPERIENCE

Vesna Janevska, Milan Samardziski, Rubens Jovanovic, Dafina Nikolova, Stefan Pandilov, Vlatko Karanfilovski
65-76



CONCOMITANT VENTER PENDULUM, DYSTROPHY AND HERNIA OF THE ABDOMINAL WALL SURGERY – OUR EXPERIENCE

Bozhin Eftimov, Martin Bajlozov, Aleksandra Eftimova, Mare Stevkovska
77-82



GENDER DIFFERENCES AND PREDICTORS OF INCREASED CORONARY CALCIUM SCORE AND MYOCARDIAL ISCHEMIA IN DIABETES TYPE 2 PATIENTS

Irena Mitevska, Maja Zdravkovska, Bojana Stoilovska, Nevena Manevska, Magdalena Mileva, Marija Vavlukis
83-94

 PDF

POSTOPERATIVE PAIN AFTER VENTRAL HERNIA REPAIR: A PROSPECTIVE COMPARISON OF OPEN VERSUS LAPAROSCOPIC WITH INTRAPERITONEAL ONLY MESH (IPOM) TECHNIQUE

Edzevit Kadri, Evgenija Lazova, Gjorgji Jota, Jasmina Nanceva, Katarina Panik
95-100

 PDF

MALIGNANT PERIPHERAL NERVE SHEATH TUMOR- BIOLOGICALLY AGGRESSIVE SOFT TISSUE SARCOMAS: CASE REPORT

Todor Hadzi - Manchev, Ljubomir Ognjenovikj, Zoran Karadzov, Stojan Gjosev, Dragan Hadzi-Manchev, Darko Dzambaz, Velimir Shumenkovski
101-104

 PDF

DEPRESSION IN WOMEN IN THE GENERATIVE PERIOD AFTER TOTAL EXTIRPATION OF THE UTERUS

Jana Belevska
105-112

 PDF

EXTRACTION OF ECTOPIC MAXILLAR THIRD MOLARS AFTER CONE BEAM COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY SURGICAL PLANNING: A CASE REPORT

Riste Brzakov, Bruno Nikolovski, Vera Nikolovska Radojkova, Cena Dimova
113-117

 PDF

ASSESSMENT OF SPEECH DEVELOPMENT IN SUBJECTS WITH PRELINGUAL HEARING IMPAIRMENT PRIOR TO OR AFTER COCHLEAR IMPLANTATION

Vesna Lazarovska
118-125

 PDF

SURGICAL TREATMENT OF PRIMARY LYMPHEDEMA COMPLICATIONS – A CASE REPORT

Lazo Noveski, Boro Dzonov, Elizabeta Mircevska, Margarita Peneva, Katerina Jovanovska
126-129

 PDF

ANTHROPOMETRIC PARAMETERS AND INDEXES IN 9 YEAR-OLD-CHILDREN FROM R. NORTH MACEDONIA

Biljana Zafirova, Elizabeta Chadikovska, Biljana Trpkovska, Biljana Bojadzieva Stojanoska, Ace Dodevski, Lidija Petkovska
130-137

 PDF

INDICATORS OF ABDOMINAL OBESITY: WAIST CIRCUMFERENCE AND WAIST-TO-HIP RATIO IN ADOLESCENTS OF NORTH MACEDONIA

Biljana Stojanoska Bojadzieva, Niki Matveeva, Biljana Zafirova, Biljana Trpkovska, Elizabeta Chadikovska, Irina Angelovska
138-144

 PDF

CAROTID ARTERY STENTING OF INTERNAL CAROTID ARTERY“NEAR OCCLUSION” STENOSIS – CASE REPORT

Oliver Bushljetikj; Igor Zdravkovski; Nikola Manev, Zhan Zimbakov
145-149

 PDF

RIISK FACTORS FOR IN-STENT RESTENOSIS IN PATIENTS WITH PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTIONS

Zan Zimbakov, Igor Zdravkovski, Emilia Parisko, Silvana Jovanova
150-155

 PDF

SOLE PATTERNS IN SOME ETHNIC GROUPS

Elizabeta Chadikovska, Biljana Zafirova, Niki Matveeva, Ace Dodevski, Biljana Trpkovska, Biljana Bojadzieva
156-162

 PDF

DEVELOPMENT OF HEARING PERCEPTION IN SUBJECTS WITH COCHLEAR IMPLANT

Vesna Lazarovska
163-169



Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)

Journal of Morphological Sciences is an official journal of the **Macedonian Association of Anatomists**, published twice or three times a year in English.

powered by OJS | Open Journal Systems
PKP | PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE PROJECT

Journal of Morphological Sciences

[Current](#) [Archives](#) [About](#)

[Home](#) / [Editorial Team](#)

Editor- in-Chief

Biljana Zafirova

Deputy editors

Biljana Trpkovska

Editorial Council

Biljana Zafirova

Niki Matveeva

Nikola Jankulovski

Sonja Topuzovska

Beti Zafirova Ivanovska

Viktorija Jovanovska

Goran Dimitrov

Sunchica Petrovska

Jasna Bogdanska

Elena Kjosevska

Nikola Labachevski

Sonja Bojadzieva

Editorial Office

Anamarija Paunkoska

Lenche Danevska

Biljana Narashanova - secretary

International Editorial Board

Ace Dodevski, R. North Macedonia

Biljana Bojadzieva Stojanoska, R. NorthMacedonia

Elizabeta Chadikovska, R. North Macedonia

Jane Netkovski, R. North Macedonia

Katerina Kubelka Sabit, R. North Macedonia

Lazo Noveski, R. North Macedonia

Slavica Kostadinova Kunovska, R. North Macedonia

Vesna Janevska, R. North Macedonia

Vladimir Popovski, R. North Macedonia

Jasmina Trojachanec, R. North Macedonia

Sanja Mancevska, R. North Macedonia

Bruno Nikolovski, R. North Macedonia

Jasna Halilovic, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Silvia Mladenova, Bulgaria

Monika Urbaniak, Poland

Ivica Grkovic, Croatia

Simon Pkhrikyan, Armenia

Igor Sladojevic, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Ljiljana Tadic, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Biljana Srdic, Serbia

Dusica Maric, Serbia

Ivana Kavecian, Serbia

Zivka Eri, Serbia

Ljiljana Vasovic, Serbia

Mirela Eric, Serbia

Slobodan Vlajkovic, Serbia

Zoran Pesic, Serbia

Filip Vukmirovic, Montenegro

Mileta Golubovic, Montenegro

Vitaly Gargin, Ukraine

Iva Petkovska, USA

Jadranka Stojanovska, USA

Information

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)

Journal of Morphological Sciences is an official journal of the **Macedonian Association of Anatomists**, published twice or three times a year in English.

powered by OJS | Open Journal Systems
PKP | PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE PROJECT

URINARY STONE DENSITY MEASURED ON NON-CONTRAST COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY CORRELATED WITH SUCCESS RATE BY EXTRACORPOREAL SHOCKWAVE LITHOTRIPSY TREATMENT

Chalcheska Slavica¹, Stojovska-Jovanovska E², Stojanoski I³, Kostadinovski V⁴, Dejanova-Panev S¹,
Veljanovska-Prgova B¹

¹Department of Radiology, General City Hospital "8th September" Skopje, R.of North Macedonia, ²University Institute of Radiology-Skopje, Faculty of Medicine, Ss Cyril and Methodius University, R of North Macedonia, ³Department of Urology, General City Hospital "8th September" Skopje, R.of North Macedonia, ⁴Department of Surgery, General City Hospital "8th September" Skopje, R of North Macedonia

Abstract

Measurements of urinary calculi density in Hounsfield Unit, stone size, site by non-contrast computed tomography (NCCT), correlated with success rate by extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) outcome in complete fragmentation, fragments less than 5 mm or incomplete fragmentation. Retrospective study comprising 40 patients with urinary calculus of 10-20 mm divided into two groups (10-15 mm and 16-20 mm), with defining stone location, site and attenuation measured in Hounsfield Unit (HU), divided into three groups: 1- Soft stones <6500HU; 2- Medium stones 650-1200HU; 3- Hard stones >1200HU. All treated from 4000-4500 shock waves. Success in initial stone disintegration was defined with a plain film X-ray in 5-6 weeks.

Completely disintegrated calculus was confirmed in 31 (77.5%), up to 5 mm fragments in 3 (7.5%) and unsuccessful in 6 (15.0%) patients. The percentage difference between complete disintegration *versus* up to 5 mm fragments or failed disintegration was extremely statistically significant for $p < 0.05$ (Difference test, $p = 0.001$). High percentage calculated after one treatment in 2- Medium stone group 650-1200HU in 15 (60%) patients, in 6 (24%) of the 1-Soft stone group, and in 3-Hard stone group in 4 (16%).

Percentage difference between the 2-Medium stone group after one ESWL treatment *versus* the 1-Soft stone group and 3-Hard stone group was statistically significant for $p < 0.05$ (Difference test, $p = 0.0162$). Study findings show that the attenuation value of stones can predict successful outcome of ESWL treatment for the upper urinary tract, and it has a greater impact on ESWL outcome than the stone size and site.

Keywords: non-contrast computed tomography (NCCT), Hounsfield Unit (HU), extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL), disintegration, attenuation

Introduction

Urolithiasis is considered the third common urological problem worldwide [1]. Diagnostic modalities- computed tomography, plain film and ultrasound (US) have become investigations of choice for managing patients with urinary stones disease in order to determine the correct diagnosis and follow-up after medical therapy or urologic interventions.

Non-contrast computed tomography (NCCT) is a diagnostic modality of choice for urinary colic to distinguish urinary stones from tumors or other pathology of the urinary tract [2-4].

NCCT can detect differences in density as low as 0.5% and is used for predicting fragility and composition of urinary stones and outcome of extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) [5,6].

For most renal calculi, especially with a diameter of 10-20mm, ESWL has become the most preferred treatment modality of choice, ever since its announcement in the 1980s [7-10].

The success rate of ESWL treatment is in the range of 60-90% in different series [7-9], but the outcome of ESWL treatment depends on so many factors which include stone site, localization, stone size, stone composition, obesity, and presence of infection or obstruction [11,12].

Compared to other treatment modalities, ESWL is a non-invasive and safe procedure, but it may also cause complications such as renal hematoma, infection, flank pain, and hemorrhage [13,14].

Gupta *et al.* successfully determined the outcome of ESWL in patients with urinary stones that had density <750HU [15].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of renal stone density measured in Hounsfield Unit (HU), stone size, and site over ESWL outcome and stone fragmentation.

Materials and Methods

A retrospective study comprising 40 patients between January 2019 and November 2019 was performed. The study included both genders, 19 male and 21 female patients, age ranging from 50 to 75 years.

All incoming patients in Emergency Center of the General City Hospital “8th September” in Skopje, RN Macedonia with acute renal pain were included and those who came for a regular examination.

An informed consent was obtained from all participants in this study and it was approved by the institution’s ethics committee.

Every patient had renal calculi with stone size between 10-20mm, divided into two groups (from 10-15mm and 16-20mm), with the definition of stone site.

Exclusion criteria for this study were congenital renal anomalies, pregnancy, bleeding disorders, and renal failure.

All patients from the study had from one to three ESWL treatments.

Prior to ESWL treatment, NCCT scans were performed using one-point five-section slices through renal stone, viewed on soft tissue window.

NCCT was performed on Siemens Emotion 16 CT Scanner using a high-quality mode at 130kV, 85mAs, and 5mm collimation reconstructed at 1.5mm, and drawing region of interest(ROI) measuring size and mean density of stones.

Stones diameter was measured in millimeters (mm) in axial and coronal planes and they were divided into two groups: 1-Small size (10-15mm) and 2-Large size (16-20mm).

According to the site definition, renal stones were divided into 21 renal calculi in the left kidney and 19 renal calculi in the right kidney.

Attenuation of the stones was measured in Hounsfield Unit (HU) and all patients in this study were divided into three categories: 1-Soft stones <650HU; 2-Medium stones 650-1200HU; 3-Hard stones >1200HU.

All ESWL treatments were performed on a Third-generation Electromagnetic Shock Wave Siemens Lithotripter observing stone fragmentation during the treatment by fluoroscopy. In each ESWL treatment between 4000-4500 shock waves were given per patient.

For initial assessment outcome from the treatment, a plain film X-ray was performed after 5-6 weeks.

According to the outcomes of ESWL treatment, all 40 patients in this study were divided into three groups: 1-completely disintegrated calculus; 2-fragments of less than 5mm (considered clinically not significant); 3-"failure group" -stone fragmentation did not occur at all or with a significant residual fragment of 5mm or larger in size.

Unsuccessful ESWL treatment was considered after 4 months of the initial treatment on non-contrast computed tomography if there was: 1-incomplete fragmentation of renal calculus (fragment size 5mm or larger); 2-failure of fragmentation on plain film X-ray.

Chi-square test was used for statistical significance of stone-free rates and a p-value of 0.05 or less was taken as significant.

Results

In a period of eleven months 40 patients were examined in the Department of Radiology with the collaboration of the Department of Urology at the General City Hospital "8th September" in Skopje, RNM.

Most of the calculi were localized in the left kidney - in 21(52.5%)patients and in 19(47.5%)patients they were in the right kidney.

The calculi size ranged from 10-20mm.The highest number of patients had stone size between 10-15mm(small stone size) i.e. 25(62.5%) and 15 patients (37.5%) had stone size between 16-20mm(large stone size).

One treatment session with ESWL was performed in 25(62.5%) patients with calculi, two ESWL treatments were performed in 7(17.5%) patients and three ESWL treatments were performed in 8(20.0%) patients. The percentage difference between one ESWL *versus* two and three ESWL treatments was extremely statistically significant for $p < 0.05$ (Difference test, $p = 0.00051$).

Completely disintegrated calculus was confirmed in 31(77.5%), up to 5mm fragments in 3(7.5%) and unsuccessful in 6(15.0%)patients. The percentage difference between complete disintegration *versus* calculi disintegrated up to 5mm fragments or incomplete calculi disintegration was extremely statistically significant for $p < 0.05$ (Difference test, $p = 0.001$).

Table 1. Characteristics of calculi registered in the examined patients

Calculus size	
10-15 mm, N(%)	25(62.5)
16-20 mm, N(%)	15(37.5)
Calculus site	
left kidney, N(%)	21(52.5)
right kidney, N(%)	19(47.5)
Number of ESWL treatments	
one, N(%)	25(62.5)
two, N(%)	7(17.5)
three, N(%)	8(20.0)
Division by Hounsfield Unit (HU)	
<650 HU, N(%)	9(22.5)
650-1200 HU, N(%)	22(55)
>1200 HU, N(%)	9(22.5)
Disintegration	
complete	31(77.5)
fragments of less than 5mm	3(7.5)
incomplete- failure group	6(15)

The renal stone density range was between 487 HU and 1400 HU. The results showed that out of 40 patients, in 9(22.5%) the stone density was less than 650 Hounsfield Unit(Soft stone) on non-contrast computed tomography, in 22(55%) it was between 650-1200 Hounsfield Unit(Medium Stone) and 9 (22.5%) patients had density of more than 1200 Hounsfield Unit(Hard Stone).

A high percentage was calculated with one treatment and success in the 2-Medium stone group 650-1200HU in 15(60%) patients, in 6(24%) in the 1-Soft stone group, and in the 3-Hard stone group in 4(16%).The percentage difference between the 2-Medium stone group with one ESWL treatment *versus* the 1-Soft stone group and 3-Hard stone group was statistically significant for $p < 0.05$ (Difference test, $p = 0.0162$) (Table2).

In the 2-Medium stone group of density measured in Hounsfield Unit(HU)between 650-1200HU was observed in 15(68.1%) patients after one ESWL treatment, in 2(9.1%) patients after two ESWL treatments and after three treatments in 2(9.1%) patients the calculus was completely disintegrated, and in 3(13.62%) patients there was incomplete disintegration.

There was a weak correlation between calculus size and site *versus* disintegration (Pearson Chi-square: $p = 0.243741$; $p = 0.420350$).

There was a strong positive correlation between the number of ESWL treatments and the disintegration of the calculus.

The average value of HU in successful disintegration was 933.0HU, in calculus with fragments less than 5mm 925.0HU(considered clinically not significant).

The average value of an attenuation of the stone measured in the Hounsfield Unit (HU) after one successful ESWL treatment was 903.0HU.

Table2. Number of session and HU

number of sessions treatment / HU	<650	650-1200	>1200	in total
1	6	15	4	25
2	1	2	4	7
3	2	5	1	8
in total	9	22	9	40

Discussion

As the stone density on NCCT has been reported to be correlated with ESWL outcome [16], the measurement of the mean stone density by NCCT plays an important role in predicting stone disintegration.

Stones with a density > 1000 HU are less likely to be disintegrated [16].

CT is a non-invasive diagnostic investigation for upper urinary tract calculus, has a high sensitivity, and can be used in diagnosing of other pathologies of the urinary tract.

ESWL treatment is the most used non-invasive modality for treating renal calculi[17].

ESWL treatment outcome is measured in terms of stone fragmentation and clearance.

In this study, we proved that the calculi with density of 650HU-1200HU from 2-Medium stone category had the biggest success rate after ESWL treatment in 22(55%) patients, where in 15 patients calculi were completely disintegrated after 1 ESWL treatment, in 2 patients after two ESWL treatments calculi were completely disintegrated, in 1 patient calculi were completely disintegrated after three ESWL treatments,

in 1 patient calculi were fragmented in less than 5mm (considered clinically not significant) after 3 ESWL treatments and in 3 patients calculi were not at all fragmented after three ESWL treatments.

There was a weak correlation between calculus size and side *versus* disintegration. The average value of HU in successful disintegration was 933.0HU.

Pareek *et al.* correlated stone clearance with calculus density in their study of 100 patients [18], and concluded that patients with residual calculi had a mean calculus density of more than 900 HU. However, they did not correlate the calculus density with fragmentation.

These results are in agreement with those of Joseph *et al.* [7] showing that the stone density has an inverse relation with ESWL success rate, and CT stone density has a positive correlation with the number of shockwaves and the number of treatments for successful fragmentation.

Our results have shown that the success of ESWL treatment is almost always guaranteed when the CT attenuation value is less than 925HU.

Conclusion

The findings of this study have shown that stone density measured on CT can predict the success rate of ESWL treatment for the upper urinary tract. There is a strong positive correlation between the number of ESWL treatments and the disintegration of the calculus.

Attenuation values of upper urinary tract stones are useful in defining the success in ESWL treatment outcome or defining an alternative treatment in patients with suspected poor outcome from ESWL.

References

1. Stoller ML, Bolton DM. Chapter 17 Urinary stone disease. In: McAninch, Jack W., Lue, Tom F. eds. Smith & Tanagho's general urology 18e, Lange Medical Books/McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. 2013, ISBN 978-0-07-162497-8, <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=508§ionid=41088075/>
2. Federle MP, McAninch JW, Kaiser JA, Goodman PC, Roberts J, Mall JC. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. Computed tomography of urinary calculi 1981 Feb;136(2):255-8. doi: 10.2214/ajr.136.2.255. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/6781243/> PMID: 6781243;
3. Parienty RA, Ducellier R, Pradel J, Lubrano JM, Coquille F, Richard F. Diagnostic value of CT numbers in pelvicalyceal filling defects. *Radiology* 1982;145:743-7. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.145.3.7146407/> PMID: 7146407;
4. Fielding JR, Steele G, Fox A, Heller H, Loughlin KR. Spiral computerized tomography in the evaluation of acute flank pain: a replacement for excretory urography. *J Urol*. 1997 Jun;157(6):2071-3. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9146582/> PMID: 9146582;
5. Dretler SP. Stone fragility- a new therapeutic distinction. *J Urol*. 1988 May;139(5):1124-7. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(17)42801-1/ <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3361657/> PMID: 3361657;
6. Mostafavi MR, Ernst RD, Saltzman B. Accurate determination of chemical composition of urinary calculi by spiral CT. *J Urol*. 1998 Mar;159(3):673-5. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9474123/> PMID: 9474123;
7. Joseph P, Mandal AK, Sharma SK. CT attenuation value of renal calculus: can it predict successful fragmentation of the calculus by extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy? A preliminary study. *J Urol*. 2002 May;167(5):1968-71. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(05)65064-1. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11956419/> PMID: 11956419;
8. Lingeman JE, Newman D, Mertz JH, et al. Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy: the Methodist Indiana experience. *J Urol*. 1986 Jun;135(6):1134-7. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5347(17)46016-2. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3520015/> PMID: 3520015;
9. Cass AS. Comparison of first-generation (Dornier HM3) and second-generation (Medstone STS) lithotriptors: treatment results with 13,864 renal and ureteral calculi. *J Urol*. 1995 Mar;153(3 Pt

- 1):588-92. doi: 10.1097/00005392-199503000-00006.<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7861488/>; PMID: 7861488;
10. Shikih AR, Shaikh NA, Somoro AA, Shaikh AH, Iqbal M, Malik M. Experience of Upper Urinary Tract Stones with Dornier MPL 9000 Lithotripter. *Pak J Med Res* Oct-Dec 2010; 49 (4):127-30.<https://www.pakmedinet.com/16388/>;
 11. Bon D, Dore B, Irani J, et al. Radiographic prognostic criteria for extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy. *Urology*. 1996 Oct;48(4):556-60; discussion 560-1. doi: 10.1016/s0090-4295(96)00251-8.<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8886060/>; PMID: 8886060;
 12. Martin TV, Sosa RE. Shockwave lithotripsy. In: Walsh PC, Retick AB, Vaughan ED Jr, Wein AJ. Eds. *Campbeils urology*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Inc. 1998,:2735-52.http://www.sld.cu/galerias/pdf/sitios/rehabilitacion-fis/lithotrip_renal_and_ureteral.pdf/
 13. Al- Marhoon MS, Shareef O, Al – Habsi IS, Al-Balushi AS, Mathew JK, Venkiteswaran KP. Extracorporeal shockwavelithotripsy success rate and complications: initial experience at Sultan Qaboos University Hospital. *Oman Med J*2013;28(4):255-259.<https://squ.pure.elsevier.com/en/publications/extracorporeal-shock-wave-lithotripsy-success-rate-and-complicati/>;
 14. Polat F, yeşil S, Ak E, Farahvash A, Karaoğlan U, Biri H, etal. Safety of ESWL in elderly: evaluation of independentpredictors and comorbidity on stone-free rate andcomplications. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. 2012 Jul;12(3):413-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1447-0594.2011.00781.x. Epub 2011 Dec 23.<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22212400/>; PMID: 22212400;
 15. Lee HY, Yang YH, Lee YL, Shen JT, Jang MY, Shih PM, et al. Noncontrast computed tomography factors that predict the renal stone outcome after shock wave lithotripsy. *Clin Imaging*. Sep-Oct 2015;39(5):845-50. doi: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2015.04.010. Epub 2015Apr 25. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25975631/>; PMID: 25975631;
 16. El-NahasAR, El-AssmyAM, MansourO, SheirKZ. Aprospective multivariateanalysis of factors predictingstonedisintegration by extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy: the value of highresolution noncontrast computedtomography. *Eur Urol*. 2007 Jun;51(6):1688-93; discussion 1693-4. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2006.11.048. Epub 2006 Dec 4.<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17161522/>; PMID: 17161522;
 17. Motola JA, Smith AD. Therapeutic options for the management of upper tract calculi. *Urol Clin North Am*. 1990 Feb;17(1):191-206.<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1968301/>; PMID: 1968301;
 18. Pareek G, Aremenakas NA, Fracchia JA. Hounsfield units on computerized tomography predictstone-free ratesafter extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. *J Urol*. 2003 May;169(5):1679-81. doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000055608.92069.3a.<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12686807/>; PMID: 12686807.