
Isogonal cevians and inequalities for quotients of cevians

Martin Lukarevski and Dan Stefan Marinescu

Martin Lukarevski obtained his Ph.D. from Leibniz University Hannover. Currently, he works as a professor in the Faculty of Informatics at the University Goce Delcev (North Macedonia). His interests include geometric inequalities, analysis and history of mathematics.

Dan Stefan Marinescu has studied in Cluj-Napoca. He has a Ph.D. in mathematics, obtained from the University Babes-Bolyai of Cluj-Napoca. Until his retirement, he was a teacher at the National College Iancu de Hunedoara, Hunedoara.

1 Isogonal cevians

In a triangle ABC with sides a, b, c , semiperimeter s and angle bisector $w = AD$, let $d = AM$ be a cevian. Let $e = AN$ be its isogonal cevian, which is defined as its reflection in the angle bisector; see Figure 1.

Our first result is a formula for the length of the isogonal cevian.

Theorem 1. *Let $d = AM$ be a cevian in a triangle ABC and let $BM/MC = x/y$. Then, for the length of its isogonal cevian $e = AN$, it holds*

$$e = \frac{(x+y)bc}{xb^2 + yc^2}d.$$

Eine Cevane oder Ecktransversale ist eine Strecke, die einen Eckpunkt eines Dreiecks mit der gegenüberliegenden Seite verbindet. Cevane spielen eine zentrale Rolle in der Dreiecksgeometrie. Die isogonale Cevane einer Cevane ist deren Spiegelung an der entsprechenden Winkelhalbierenden. Die Autoren der vorliegenden Arbeit berechnen die Länge der isogonalen Cevane in Abhängigkeit der anliegenden Dreiecksseiten, der Länge der Cevane und dem Verhältnis, unter dem die Cevane die Dreiecksseite teilt. Daraus ergibt sich dann eine Formel für die Länge einer Cevane in Abhängigkeit der angrenzenden Dreiecksseiten, dem entsprechenden Eckwinkel und dem Winkel zwischen der Cevane und der Winkelhalbierenden. Als Anwendung werden die Länge der Symmediane und mehrere Ungleichungen für Quotienten einiger spezieller Cevane angegeben.




Figure 1. Isogonal cevians AM and AN

Proof. We will use the following relation for the segments determined by the feet of the isogonal cevians, descriptively named “Whisker-Lemma” [3, p. 161]:

$$\frac{BM}{MC} \cdot \frac{BN}{NC} = \frac{c^2}{b^2}.$$

Another result that we will use is Stewart’s theorem [1, 5] which says that, in a triangle ABC with sides $BC = a$, $CA = b$, $AB = c$, cevian $AD = d$ and segments $BD = m$, $DC = n$, the relationship

$$b^2m + c^2n = a(d^2 + mn)$$

holds. Without loss of generality, we can take $a = 1$. Then we have that x and y are simply the lengths BM and MC . By the Whisker-Lemma, we have $BN = \eta yc^2$, $NC = \eta xb^2$, where η is to be determined from $\eta yc^2 + \eta xb^2 = 1$. Hence $\eta = 1/(xb^2 + yc^2)$. Stewart’s theorem for the cevians d and e yields

$$d^2 + xy = xb^2 + yc^2 = \frac{1}{\eta},$$

$$e^2 + \eta^2 c^2 b^2 xy = \eta (yc^2 b^2 + xb^2 c^2) = \eta b^2 c^2.$$

Dividing the second equation by $\eta^2 c^2 b^2$ and subtracting from the first gives

$$e = d \cdot bc\eta,$$

and the proof is complete. ■

2 The formula for the cevian

Knowing how much one cevian AM deviates from the angle bisector AD and how it divides the corresponding side is enough information to calculate its length. We have the following remarkable result; see Figure 1.

Theorem 2. *Let AM be a cevian in a triangle ABC , let $BM/MC = x/y$, and let $\theta/2 = \angle DAM$. Then we have*

$$AM \cdot \cos \frac{\theta}{2} = \left(\frac{x}{x+y}b + \frac{y}{x+y}c \right) \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. Let AN be the isogonal cevian of AM . From Theorem 1, using AM in the nominator of $(AN + AM)/(AN \cdot AM)$, we have

$$\frac{AN + AM}{AN \cdot AM} = \frac{AN \cdot \left[1 + \frac{xb^2 + yc^2}{(x+y)bc} \right]}{AN \cdot AM} = \frac{(xb + yc)(b + c)}{(x + y)bcAM}. \quad (2)$$

By Pappus, for the angle bisector $w_a = AD$ in triangle ABC , we have

$$w_a = AD = \frac{2bc}{b + c} \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, AD is also angle bisector in triangle ANM . Hence

$$AD = \frac{2AN \cdot AM}{AN + AM} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Dividing the former by the latter equation and substituting (2), we arrive at formula (1). ■

3 Applications

As a first application, we have new proof of [4, Theorem 1], which is an inequality for the general cevian AM ,

$$AM \geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1}b + \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}c \right) \cos \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

where $BM/MC = \lambda$. It is obtained simply by putting $BM/MC = \lambda = x/y$ in (1) and noting that

$$AM \geq AM \cdot \cos \theta = \left(\frac{x}{x+y}b + \frac{y}{x+y}c \right) \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (4)$$

Next we give an easy derivation of the length of a symmedian s_a of a triangle ABC – the cevian which is a reflection of the median m_a in the corresponding angle bisector. We will show that

$$s_a = \frac{bc \sqrt{2(b^2 + c^2) - a^2}}{b^2 + c^2}. \quad (5)$$

Let, in Theorem 1, $AM = m_a$ be the median. Then $x = y = 1$, and we have, for its isogonal cevian, the symmedian $AN = s_a$,

$$s_a = \frac{2bc}{b^2 + c^2}m_a.$$

The length of the median is well known, $m_a = \sqrt{2(b^2 + c^2) - a^2}/2$. Hence, for the symmedian s_a , we obtain (5).

Remark 1. The standard way to derive the length of the symmedian (5) is to apply Stewart’s theorem knowing that the symmedian $s_a = AD$ divides the side BC in the ratio of the squares of the adjacent sides, that is $BD/DC = c^2/b^2$. The last fact is a consequence of the Whisker-Lemma.

4 Inequalities for quotients of cevians

Further applications concern inequalities for quotients of some cevians. Let h_a , g_a and n_a denote the lengths of the altitude, Gergonne cevian and Nagel cevian, respectively, in triangle ABC , from the vertex A . For completeness, we will give the definitions in the sequel. We have that [2] (see Figure 2)

$$h_a \leq g_a \leq w_a \leq m_a \leq n_a.$$

Our aim is to obtain improvements of $m_a/h_a \geq 1$, $m_a/w_a \geq 1$, $n_a/h_a \geq 1$ and $n_a/w_a \geq 1$.

Since any cevian AN in a triangle ABC is at least as long as the corresponding altitude h_a , we have, from Theorem 1,

$$\frac{AM}{h_a} \geq \frac{AM}{AN} = \frac{xb^2 + yc^2}{(x + y)bc}. \tag{6}$$

Taking $AM = m_a$ to be the median, we have $x = y = 1$, and we get, from (6),

$$\frac{m_a}{h_a} \geq \frac{b^2 + c^2}{2bc},$$

which is the well-known first Tsintsifas inequality from [6].

A *Nagel point* is the point of concurrency of the three segments of a triangle connecting each vertex to the point of contact of the corresponding excircle and the opposite side. It is named after the German geometer Christian Heinrich von Nagel (1803–1882).

Let $AM = n_a$ be the Nagel cevian. Then $BM/MC = (s - c)/(s - b) = x/y$, and we have, from (6),

$$\frac{n_a}{h_a} \geq \frac{(s - c)b^2 + (s - b)c^2}{abc} \geq 1.$$

The last inequality is easily proved using the substitution

$$x = s - a, \quad y = s - b, \quad z = s - c,$$




Figure 2

for three positive $x, y, z > 0$. Then $(s - c)b^2 + (s - b)c^2 \geq abc$ is equivalent to the simple inequality

$$y^3 + z^3 + x(y^2 + z^2) \geq 2xyz + y^2z + yz^2.$$

From (4) and (3), we have

$$\frac{AM}{w_a} \geq \left(\frac{x}{x+y}b + \frac{y}{x+y}c \right) \frac{b+c}{2bc}. \quad (7)$$

Taking $AM = m_a$ to be the median, $x = y = 1$ and (7) gives us the second Tsintsifas inequality from [6],

$$\frac{m_a}{w_a} \geq \frac{(b+c)^2}{4bc}.$$

For the Nagel cevian $AM = n_a$, it holds $x/y = (s - c)/(s - b)$, and from (7), it follows

$$\frac{n_a}{w_a} \geq \frac{(s - c)b + (s - b)c}{2abc} (b + c) \geq 1.$$

The last inequality can be rewritten as the above inequality $(s - c)b^2 + (s - b)c^2 \geq abc$.

A *Gergonne point*, named after the French geometer Joseph Diaz Gergonne (1771–1859) is the point of concurrency of the three segments of a triangle connecting each vertex to the point of contact of the incircle and the opposite side.

For the end, let $AM = g_a$ be the Gergonne cevian. Then

$$\frac{BM}{MC} = \frac{s-b}{s-c} = \frac{x}{y}.$$

Inequality (7) is

$$\frac{g_a}{w_a} \geq \frac{(s-b)b + (s-c)c}{2abc} (b+c).$$

Since $1 \geq g_a/w_a$, we have a curious proof of the inequality

$$(s-b)b^2 + (s-c)c^2 \leq abc.$$

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the anonymous referee for the suggestions that improved this paper.

References

- [1] N. Altshiller-Court, *College geometry*, Barnes & Noble, New York, 1952
- [2] R. H. Eddy, A sequence of inequalities for certain sets of concurrent cevians, *Elem. Math.* **35** (1980), 145–146
- [3] L. Halbeisen, N. Hungerbühler and J. Lächli, *Mit harmonischen Verhältnissen zu Kegelschnitten. Perlen der klassischen Geometrie*, Springer, Berlin, 2016
- [4] M. Lukarevski and D. S. Marinescu, An inequality for cevians and applications, *Elem. Math.* **75** (2020), 166–171
- [5] A. Ostermann and G. Wanner, *Geometry by its history*, Undergrad. Texts Math., Springer, Heidelberg, 2012
- [6] G. Tsintsifas and L. Bankoff, Problems and solutions: Solutions of elementary problems: E2471, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 523–524

Martin Lukarevski
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 University “Goce Delcev”
 Stip, North Macedonia
martin.lukarevski@ugd.edu.mk

Dan Stefan Marinescu
 Colegiul National “Iancu De Hunedoara”
 Hunedoara, Romania
marinescuds@gmail.com