

ПРАВЕН ФАКУЛТЕТ, УНИВЕРЗИТЕТ “ГОЦЕ ДЕЛЧЕВ”, ШТИП

FACULTY OF LAW, GOCE DELCEV UNIVERSITY, STIP

**ДЕСЕТТА МЕЃУНАРОДНА НАУЧНА КОНФЕРЕНЦИЈА
TENTH INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE**

**ОПШТЕСТВЕНИТЕ ПРОМЕНИ ВО ГЛОБАЛНИОТ СВЕТ
SOCIAL CHANGES IN THE GLOBAL WORLD**

**ЗБОРНИК НА ТРУДОВИ
PROCEEDINGS**



**ШТИП, 2023
STIP, 2023**

**ПРАВЕН ФАКУЛТЕТ, УНИВЕРЗИТЕТ “ГОЦЕ ДЕЛЧЕВ”, ШТИП,
РЕПУБЛИКА С.МАКЕДОНИЈА**



**ДЕСЕТТА МЕЃУНАРОДНА НАУЧНА КОНФЕРЕНЦИЈА
ОПШТЕСТВЕНИТЕ ПРОМЕНИ ВО ГЛОБАЛНИОТ СВЕТ
ЗБОРНИК НА ТРУДОВИ**



ШТИП, 2023

FACULTY OF LAW, GOCE DELCEV UNIVERSITY, STIP, NORTH MACEDONIA



**GOCE DELCHEV
UNIVERSITY**

FACULTY OF LAW

TENTH INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE

SOCIAL CHANGES IN THE GLOBAL WORLD

P R O C E E D I N G S



STIP, 2023

Tenth International Scientific Conference SOCIAL CHANGES IN THE GLOBAL WORLD

©2023 Copyright Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia:

Adress: Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,

Ul. Krste Misirkov bb, PO box 201,2000, Stip, R.N. Macedonia

www.ugd.edu.mk

Печати / Print: 2-ри Август-Штип / 2-ri Avgust-Shtip

Уредници: Милица Шутова, Јорданка Галева, Наташа Донева, Ана Здравева, Кристијан Панев

Технички уредник: Наташа Донева, Ана Здравева

CIP - Каталогизација во публикација

Национална и универзитетска библиотека "Св. Климент Охридски", Скопје

316.42:34(100)(062)

316.42:32(100)(062)

МЕЃУНАРОДНА конференција "Општествените промени во глобалниот свет" (10 ; 2023 ; Штип)

Десетта Меѓународна научна конференција "Општествените промени во глобалниот свет" : зборник на трудови = Tenth International scientific conference "Social changes in the global world" : proceedings = Десятая Международная научная конференция "Социальные изменения в глобальном мире" : сборник материалов / [уредници Милица Шутова ... и др.]. - Штип : Универзитет "Гоце Делчев", Правен факултет ; Stip : University "Goce Delchev", Faculty of Law : Универзитет им. "Гоце Делчева", Юридически факультет, 2023. - 2. св. (429 ; 187] стр. : илустр. ; 30 см

Други уредници: Јорданка Галева, Наташа Донева, Ана Здравева, Кристијан Панев. - Библиографија кон трудовите

ISBN 978-608-244-998-2 (Т. 1)

ISBN 978-608-277-000-0 (Т. 2)

а) Општествени промени -- Право -- Свет -- Собири б) Општествени промени -- Политика -- Свет -- Собири

COBISS.MK-ID 61683205

- All manuscripts were subject of double-blind peer review process.
- No responsibility can be accepted by the publisher or compilers for the accuracy of the information presented. The expressed opinions are of the authors and does not necessarily coincide with views of the Program Committee and the Organizational Committee of the conference
- The UDK numbers for each of the manuscripts are contained in the electronic version of the proceedings, available on: <http://js.ugd.edu.mk/index.php/scgw>

Организациски комитет/ Organizational Committee

Milica Sutova PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
milica.sutova@ugd.edu.mk

Jordanka Galeva PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
jordanka.galeva@ugd.edu.mk

Natasa Doneva LL.M, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia
natasa.doneva@ugd.edu.mk

Ana Zdraveva LL.M, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
ana.zdraveva@ugd.edu.mk

Kristijan Panev LL.M, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia
kristijan.panev@ugd.edu.mk

Програмаски комитет/ Program Committee

Agim Nuhiu PhD, Faculty of Law, State University of Tetovo, North Macedonia,
agim.nuhiu@unite.edu.mk

Alenka Verbole PhD, currently - OSCE Mission in Tirana, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia,
alenka.verbole@osce.org

Ana Nikodinovska Krstevska PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
ana.nikodinovska@ugd.edu.mk

Anastasia Bermúdez Torres PhD, Faculty of Law, Political Science and Criminology, University of Liege, Belgium, abermudez@ulg.ac.be

Angel Ristov, Faculty of Law, St Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, North Macedonia,
angelristov@yahoo.com

Anđelija Tasić, Faculty of Law, PhD, University of Niš, Serbia,
andjelija@praf.ni.ac.rs

Andon Majhoshev PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
andon.majhosev@ugd.edu.mk

Aleksandra Gruevska Drakulevska, Faculty of Law, St Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, North Macedonia, a.gruevskadrakulevski@pf.ukim.edu.mk

Biljana Puleska - Janushevska, PhD, Faculty of Law, American University of Europe, Skopje, North Macedonia, biljana.puleska@fon.edu.mk

Borka Tusevska PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
borka.tusevska@ugd.edu.mk

Darko Dimovski, PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Niš, Serbia,
darko.dimovski@yahoo.com

Darko Spasevski, PhD, Faculty of Law, St Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, North Macedonia, spasevski_d@yahoo.com

Elena Ivanovna Nosreva PhD, Faculty of Law, Voronezh State University, Russia,
elena@nosyreva.vrn.ru

Gabriela Belova PhD, Faculty of Law, University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria,
gbelova@hotmail.com

Gemma Andreone PhD, Institute for International Legal Studies of the Italian National Research Council (ISGI - CNR), Italy, gemma.andreone@gmail.com

Ice Ilijevski, PhD, Faculty of security – Skopje, University St. Kliment Ohridski – Bitola, North Macedonia, ilijevski@fb.uklo.edu.mk

Zorica Siljanovska, Faculty of Law, American University of Europe, Skopje, North Macedonia,
zoricasiljanovska@yahoo.com

Igor Kambovski PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
igor.kambovski@ugd.edu.mk

Ivana Bajakić PhD, Department of Economic Sciences, Faculty of Law, Zagreb, Croatia,
ivana.bajakic@pravo.hr

Jadranka Denkova PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
jadranka.denkova@ugd.edu.mk

James C. Helfrich PhD, Global Scholars, Liberty University, Colorado, USA,
jchelfrich@aol.com

Jasna Bacovska Nedic, Faculty of Law, St Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, North Macedonia, jasnab2002@yahoo.com

Jovan Ananiev PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
jovan.ananiev@ugd.edu.mk

Jovan Zafirovski, PhD, Faculty of Law, St Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, North Macedonia, j.zafirovski@pf.ukim.edu.mk

Kristina Misheva PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
kristina.miseva@ugd.edu.mk

Kristine Whitnable PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
kristine.whitnable@ugd.edu.mk

Maciej Czerwinski PhD, Institute of Slavic Philology, Jagiellonian University, Krakow,
maciej.czerwinski@uj.edu.pl

Marija Ampovska, PhD, Faculty of Law, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
marija.ampovska@ugd.edu.mk

Marija Ignjatovic PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Nis, Serbia,
marija@prafak.prafak.ni.ac.rs

Migena Leskoviku Prof. Dr, University of Tirana, Albania,
migena.leskoviku@gmail.com

Natalia Vladimirovna Butusova PhD, Faculty of Law, Voronezh State University, Russia,
butusova@law.vsu.ru

Nikolai Baranov PhD, Baltic State Technical University Voenmeh, At. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia,
nicbar@mail.ru

Nives Mazur Kumrić PhD, Faculty of Law, Political Science and Criminology, University of Liège, Belgium,
nives.mazurkumric@ulg.ac.be

Novak Krstić, PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Niš, Serbia,
novak@praf.ni.ac.rs

Olga Kosevaliska PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
olga.kosevaliska@ugd.edu.mk

Ruzica Simic Banovic, PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Zagreb, Croatia,
ruzica.simic@pravo.hr

Silviu G. Totelecan PhD, Cluj-Napoca Branch of Romanian Academy, Socio-Human Research Department of "G. Baritiu" History Institute, Romania,
silviu.totelecan@g.ail.com

Slavejko Sasajkovski PhD, Institute for Sociological, Political and Legal Research, University "St. Cyril and Methodius", Skopje, North Macedonia,
bilbilef@isppi.ukim.edu.mk

Strahinja Miljkovića PhD, Faculty of Law, Mitrovica,
strahinja.miljkovic@pr.ac.rs

Strashko Stojanovski PhD, Faculty of Law, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia,
strasko.stojanovski@ugd.edu.mk

Suzana Dzamtoska Zdravkowska PhD, American University of Ras Al Khaimah, Ras Al Khaimah, suzana.zdravkowska@aurak.ac.ae

Tamara Djurdjic Milosevic, PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Kragujevac, Serbia, tdjurdjic@jura.kg.ac.rs

Tamara Perisin, MJur (Oxon) PhD, Department of European Public Law - Jean Monnet, University of Zagreb - Faculty of Law, Croatia, tamara.perisin@pravo.hr

Tatyana Dronzina, PhD, Professor, Political Science Department, St. Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia, Bulgaria, drozina@gmail.com

Tunjica Petrašević PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Osijek, Croatia, tpetrase@pravos.hr

Viktoria Serzhanova, PhD, University of Rzeszow, Faculty of Law and Administration, viktoria@ur.edu.pl

Wouter Van Dooren PhD, Public Administration and Management, University of Antwerp, Belgium, wouter.vandooren@uantwerpen.be

Yuriy Nikolaevich Starilov PhD, Faculty of Law, Voronezh State University, Russia, juristar@vmail.ru

Zeynep Ece Unsal, PhD, Istanbul Gelisim University, Faculty of Economics Administrative and Social Sciences - Department of Political Science and International Relations, zeunsal@gelisim.edu.tr

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LAW

Bevilacqua Giorgia

ONLINE GAMING AND SUSTAINABILITY: A SOCIAL CHALLENGE FOR
INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW..... 15

Bojadzievska Irena

THE IMPACT OF CASE LAW TO THE AMENDMENTS TO THE LAW
ON CIVIL LIABILITY FOR DEFAMATION AND INSULT 25

Butusova Natalia

FOUNDATIONS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL ORDER OF THE RUSSIAN
FEDERATION: CONCEPT, HISTORY, FOREIGN EXPERIENCE AND PROBLEMS
OF PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION 41

Božić Vanda

SECURITY MEASURES OF A MEDICAL NATURE IN THE CRIMINAL
LEGISLATION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA..... 59

Di Simone Federica

THE METAVERSE: ISSUES RELATING TO CRIMINAL LAW 73

Dimitrijević Marko

REGULATORY COMPETENCIES OF THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND IN THE
SYSTEM OF GLOBAL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT 87

Dimovski Darko, Marković Emilija

POSSIBILITIES OF APPLYING RESTORATIVE JUSTICE TO VIOLENCE IN SCHOOL..... 97

Doneva Nada

SOCIAL INTEGRATION OF VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING 113

Gruevska-Drakulevska Aleksandra, Deanoska-Trendafilova Aleksandra

THE CRIMES COMMITTED BY CHILDREN AND THE PENAL POLICY OF THE COURTS
TOWARDS CHILDREN IN THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA 131

Ilic Ivan

THE RIGHT OF THE ACCUSED TO ACCESS TO A LAWYER IN THE
PRE-INVESTIGATION STAGE OF PROCEEDINGS - THE INFLUENCE OF THE ECtHR
PRACTICE..... 149

Josifovic Ivica, Kambovski Igor

THE EUROPEAN PUBLIC PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE: TWO YEARS
OF INVESTIGATIONS AND PROSECUTIONS – CHALLENGES AND PERSPECTIVES..... 159

Jovanovski Zoran GLOBALIZED RELATIONS AND LAW	173
Kaprolli Ismaili Fjolla MEDIATION IN NORTH MACEDONIA AND THE LEGAL NATURE OF THE MEDIATION AGREEMENT	185
Knežević Saša, Luković Aleksandar PENALIZING MINORS WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THE INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS PROTECTION STANDARDS	193
Kosevaliska Olga, Nanev Lazar, Naneva Zimoska Ivona ECOCIDE: A FUTURE ‘NEW’ CRIME IN THE MANDATE OF THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL CODE: REALITY OR PHANTASY?	203
Kostić Miomira GENDER MARK OF MARGINALIZED PEOPLE.....	217
Krstić Novak, Djurdjić-Milošević Tamara SECRET WILL IN SOME EUROPEAN LAWS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SOLUTIONS IN THE DRAFT OF THE CIVIL CODE OF NORTH MACEDONIA.....	227
Maio Emanuela ONLINE GAMING AND SUSTAINABILITY: A SOCIAL CHALLENGE FOR PRIVATE LAW	239
Maksimova Elena RISK FACTORS AND CONSEQUENCES OF VICTIMIZATION OF WOMEN AND GIRLS.....	247
Metaj-Stojanova Albana ECONOMIC VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN IN NORTH MACEDONIA	259
Miço Heliona , Çani Eralda (Methasani) THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION AS A MEANS OF PARTICIPATION IN GOVERNANCE AND ADMINISTRATION. ALBANIAN LEGISLATION ALIGNMENT WITH THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE STANDARDS	269
Mirić Filip, Nikolić Gordana VOLUNTARY ABANDONMENT IN SERBIAN CRIMINAL LAW AND MACEDONIAN CRIMINAL LAW	285
Neimane Kristine ERRORS OF THE COURT OF APPEAL AND REFUSAL TO ACCEPT CASSATION APPEAL AS VIOLATION OF PROCEDURAL JUSTICE IN CIVIL PROCEEDINGS. ANALYSIS OF THE SITUATION IN JUDICIAL PRACTICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF LATVI.....	295
Pavićević Aleksandra CONNEXITY AS A CONDITION FOR THE CREATION OF THE RIGHT OF RETAINING POSSESSION IN COMPARATIVE LAW	313

Pichler Davorin, Nedić Tomislav PREVENTING CO-OWNERS FROM USING CO-OWNED (COMMON) PROPERTY	325
Ristov Angel END OF "DEBT SLAVERY": A REALITY OR UNFULFILLED WISH OF THE LEGISLATOR...	337
Siljanovska Zorica, Jovcheska Silvana, Mitreva Monika INVESTMENT IN HUMAN CAPITAL AS A SIGNIFICANT SEGMENT IN THE MODERN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.....	353
Strus-Wolos Monika DEVELOPMENT OF CONSUMER PROTECTION UNDER PROCEDURAL LAW IN POLAND, AND ITS POTENTIAL SOCIAL IMPACT.....	365
Todorova Biljana, Jovevski Lazar LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS OF A FIXED-TERM EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT	373
Tushevska Gavrilovikj Borka, Shutova Milica THE IMPORTANCE OF INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN THE BUSINESS SECTOR	383
Tushevska Gavrilovikj Borka, Panev Kristijan THE ROLE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF BILL OF LADING IN DETERMINING THE CARRIER'S LIABILITY	397
Vasilkov Zorančo , Petrović Slobodan ,Miladinović Bogavac Živanka IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EU (SCHENGEN) ACQUES IN THE WESTERN BALKANS AND ABOLISHING THE BORDER CONTROLS BETWEEN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA AND THE REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA	411
Zembrzuski Tadeusz EVOLUTION OF PROCEEDINGS IN MATTERS OF LABOUR LAW IN POLAND	425
Zhivkovska Rodna, Przheska Tina THE ESSENTIAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CO-OWNERSHIP AND JOINT OWNERSHIP.....	435
POLITICS	
Ambarkov Nikola A MULTIPARTY SYSTEM WITHOUT A DOMINANT PARTY AS A PREREQUISITE FOR A MORE SUCCESSFUL CONSOCIATION – A COMPARATIVE REVIEW	451
Andeva Marina, Nenovska Gjorgjievaska Nena LEGAL AND POLITICAL RETROSPECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN MIGRATION CRISIS IN 2015 IN NORTH MACEDONIA.....	469
Bachev Janko TREATY OF FRIENDSHIP, GOOD-NEIGHBOURLINESS AND COOPERATION BETWEEN THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA AND THE REPUBLIC OF BULGARIA IN THE LIGHT OF INTERNATIONAL LAW OF TREATIES	479

Galeva Jordanka
CONSTITUITVE HOLDERS AND DEFINITION OF MINORITIES IN
THE HUNGARIAN AND MACEDONIAN CASE 489

Gjorgiev Toni, Stoilovski Goce
THROUGH BRICS FROM THE PRESENT TO THE NEW WORLD ORDER IN THE FUTURE ... 503

Jelisavac Trošić Sanja, Arnaudov Mitko
OPEN BALKANS - BETWEEN ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES AND POLITICAL REALITY 513

Ricci Fiammetta
INTERCULTURAL TRANSFORMATION OF PHILOSOPHY AND RECOGNITION
REPORT IN RAUL FORNET-BETANCOURT. A RESPONSE TO THE CHALLENGES OF
GLOBALIZATION AND NEOLIBERALISM..... 523

Kobeleva Christina Alekseevna
MODEL OF THE SWEDISH SOCIAL STATE: PAST AND PRESENT 531

SOCIETY

Diodati Consuelo
CHANGES IN EDUCATION 539

Dragovic Anica, Drakulovska Chukalevska Marija, Koceva Daniela
QUALITATIVE APPROACH IN POPULATION STUDY RESEARCH 549

Radulovic Makedonka, Krstic Kamelija
UNDERSTANDING THE KEY FACTORS THAT IMPACT THE QUALITY OF PARTNERSHIP
RELATIONS 559

Sasajkovski Slavejko
STATE INTERVENTIONISM, “TOO BIG TO FALL”, BEHAVIORAL ECONOMICS-
A POSSIBLE THEORETICAL ECONOMIC-SOCIOLOGICAL
AND POLITICAL-ECONOMIC VIEW 573

Serafimovska Eleonora, Markovikj Marijana, Koneska-Vasilevska Tea
VISUAL HEALTH COMMUNICATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC:
THE CASE OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA..... 583

Shopi Meleq, Himçi Denis
CHANGES IN THE POLITICAL-ECONOMIC SYSTEM AND THEIR CONSEQUENCES IN
ALBANIAN ECONOMIC TERMINOLOGY 603

MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS

Cungu Jonida
USING AND UNDERSTANDING OF SEMIOTIC ELEMENTS IN ONLINE MEDIA..... 611

Koroveshvoska Iskra, Galeva Jordanka, Majhoshev Andon, Denkova Jadranka MEDIA WORKERS PERCEPTION OF FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION IN REPUBLIC OF N. MACEDONIA.....	621
Shopi Meleq, Hoxha Rudina LANGUAGE OF HATE THROUGH COMMUNICATION IN SOCIAL NETWORKS.....	635

QUALITATIVE APPROACH IN POPULATION STUDY RESEARCH

Anica Dragovic

Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Faculty of Philosophy
e-mail: anica.dragovikj@fzf.ukim.edu.mk

Marija Drakulovska Chukalevska

Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Faculty of Philosophy
e-mail: marijad@fzf.ukim.edu.mk

Daniela Koceva

Goce Delchev University in Shtip, Faculty of Educational Science
e-mail: daniela.jakimova@ugd.edu.mk

Abstract

This article addresses the assessment of data quality in qualitative research. The analysis shows that the use of the qualitative approach in demographic research has a selective approach in terms of topics. It is used to increase the information about a certain problem or to clarify the existing knowledge obtained from the quantitative approach. The criteria for evaluating the quality of qualitative data are in the context of the methodological aspects in the research, but still there is no agreement regarding the framework for evaluating the quality of the data. In perspectives, aspects suggested for assessing data quality are data collection method, sample, selection and training of interviewers, field work and data analysis.

Keywords: demography; qualitative; methodology; population.

1. Introduction

Three scientific paradigms are used in social research methodology⁷⁷. The first is positivism, the second is interpretive, and the third is critical paradigm⁷⁸(Crotty, 1998). If we distinguish them according to the reason for research⁷⁹ positivism tends to reveal patterns so that people can predict and control events, and good evidence counts as precise, repeatable data. This approach is used in the quantitative research strategy, where reality is objective.

The reason for interpretive approach research is to understand and describe a meaningful social action, and the evidence is embedded in the context of fluid social interactions. For the critical paradigm,

⁷⁷ Paradigm refers to the progress achieved in scientific knowledge based on philosophy and man's assumptions about the world and the nature of knowledge.

⁷⁸ There are other divisions. Examples: Positivism, post-positivism, critical theory and constructivism: Lincoln, S. S. & Guba, E. G. (2000)..

⁷⁹ These approaches differ on eight topics, namely: reasons for research, nature of social reality, nature of human beings, role of common sense, appearance of theory, type of explanations, evidence of values.

dispelling myths and empowering people to radically change society is the reason to start research, and theory that dispels illusions is the source of evidence. The positivist approach in research, that is, quantitative research, is "number one" in the domain of social research, including population research. This is due to the nature of the data and indicators. Namely, the nature of demographic phenomena and variables allows easy quantification of the phenomenon, but also quantification of the relations between the phenomena - quantitative documentation, synthesizing and summarizing of the data. According to the existing analyses, more than half of the research uses the quantitative method whose methodology is in accordance with the positivist approach in research (Lindlof&Taylor, 2002).

Since the eighties of the XX century, the qualitative approach has been used in social research as well as in demography more and more often. This approach corresponds to the interpretive paradigm (Schwandt, 1989).

The specificity of the qualitative approach is that it studies the event in its natural environment, but also tries to interpret the meaning as objectively as possible. Such research seeks to preserve and analyze the form, content and experience of a particular event in its original form as much as possible. They are much less or not at all interested in mathematical or any other transformation of the data. These surveys document the facts in detail. The need to explain the phenomenon, to understand the specific situation and understand the relationships between the phenomena, and not only its description and forecast of the expected results, are the arguments why the qualitative approach to data collection is more often applied in population studies.

The frequency of the use of qualitative approaches in research raises the questions of assessing the quality of the data that are collected as well as their analysis (Randal&Koppenhaver 2004). One of the essential questions on this occasion is how the demographer, the one who studies the population, can assess the qualitative results, but also how to present the qualitative data. This issue is reinforced by the fact that population researchers, demographers, in relation to the rest have little or no experience in applying the qualitative research approach. In that sense, they more often than others face the dilemmas of which research is good, that is, if the quality of the data is good.

In addition to this introductory part, the following parts will present the representation of the qualitative approach in the study of the population and the perspectives for determining the quality from a demographic point of view.

2. The qualitative approach in demographic research

Based on certain analyses, 24 articles were recorded in the period from 1991 to 1995, 43 from 1996 to 2000, and 54 articles for the period from 2001 to 2005 (Coast, 2003; Randall&Koppenhaver, 2004) in which the qualitative research strategy is used⁸⁰.

It should be mentioned that the application of qualitative research in demographic research has a selective approach, first and foremost in relation to the topics being researched. The most common researches are topics related to the family, fertility behavior, sexual behavior or topics related to HIV/AIDS due to its nature of manifestation, it is possible to apply qualitative methods.

The qualitative approach in demographic research is used to increase information on a certain demographic topic or to enrich and clarify the existing knowledge obtained from the quantitative approach in research. Also, the qualitative strategy is a function of designing and testing the survey questionnaire for the needs of the quantitative approach. Furthermore, it is used to better understand unexpected research results, but also to understand sensitive topics, perceptions as well as certain elements of the social world that cannot be measured using a quantitative research strategy (Bozon, 2006).

⁸⁰ The selection of the articles was made based on the key words (anthropology, ethnography, qualitative, focus group, in-depth semi-structured interview, observation with participation) in the abstracts of the following journals available based on JSTOR Demographs, Perspectives in Sexual and Reproductive Health, International Families Planning Perspectives, International Migration Review, Population - English edition, Population Studies, Population and Development Review, Studies in Family Planning.

Methods of data collection for the needs of population research are variable. From the ethnographic approach, to the application of focus groups (Barker & Rich, 1992; Calves, 2000; Guese, M. et al, 2001; Castle et al, 1999), and in-depth interviews, semi-structured interviews (LeGrand et al., 2003; Randall & LeGrand, 2003; Mondain&Delaunays, 2006; Mondain et al., 2007) and the historiographical method (Randall and Koppenhaver, 2004).

Regarding the qualitative strategy or approach in research, there are many papers that deal with the topics of how to collect, analyze and present qualitative data in the social sciences, but also specifically for demographic research. However, the assessment of data quality is less often debated.

More and more frequently, the question is whether the criteria for determining the quality of data that are also used in quantitative research, such as reliability, validity and replicability, can be used in qualitative research? (Poppas, 2006, Rolfe, 2006).

There are considerations that when it comes to the qualitative approach, the scientific approach should not be used to such an extent in terms of rigor when determining the quality of the research approach. For example, Sandelowski explains and says that trust, that is, the criterion of believing can be replaced by the term of validity, which is used in quantitative research. This author's explanations are in the context of quality assessment criteria. He explains that the data obtained from qualitative research depends on the context. The wider environment is a particularly significant element in determining whether empirical evidence is good or bad, even when using an identical method for the same methodological approach.

Other considerations are contained in the fact that the process of conducting qualitative research is dominated by diversity, which is explained by the fact that there is 'anarchy' in the process of data collection (Didgeon-Newwoods et al, 2004). Such ratings complicate the process of reaching consensus on how to assess quality in qualitative research. In that sense, dilemmas arise to think about whether the quality of data in qualitative research can be measured according to some other criteria, different from those in quantitative research.

The analysis of about a hundred proposals regarding quality in qualitative research indicates that there is no agreement as to whether the quality of the data can be checked at all, even when it is desired to evaluate it. (Didgeon-Newwoods, et al, 2004) Dixon-Woods believes that it is more appropriate in the process of developing assessment criteria to think in a more flexible way and what he recommends is to make distinctions between 'fatal gaps', and 'minor errors'.

Recently, in certain scientific journals, there are also guides regarding how to evaluate qualitative data in a clear way.

In addition to the doubts expressed above by some authors regarding the possibility of evaluating the quality of research and research records, there are attempts to define the criteria for quality evaluation.

The analysis focus on the quality assessment of qualitative data were performed on data from research conducted in the field of medicine and similar research in the domain of human health (Spencer et al. 2003). Based on available records for Spencer and her colleagues identified 29 research frameworks, and they differed in terms of purpose (is it a journal or a project proposal) use of different methods, their length, form and coverage.

The available data have been analyzed with respect to the following aspects. The first is in terms of the contribution of the particular research to the extension and understanding of policy, practice, theory or some other specific interest. The second concerns the research design. The third aspect refers to the rigor in the process of conducting the research. The assessment refer to whether the data were collected, analyzed and interpreted in a systematic and transparent manner. The last aspect concerns the reliability of the data. It is evaluated whether the arguments are offered and how strong they are in relation to the significance of the collected data. These aspects were assessed through 18 questions and a concept of indicators for each question separately. The evaluation approach was applied in the domain of research design, results, sample, method of data collection, analysis, report, degree of reflexivity and neutrality.

The Walsh and Down (2006) model is a continuation of the previous model, the model of Spencer and his group of collaborators. Walsh and Down's analysis has 12 quality assessment criteria, and 53 specific questions have been identified.

The evaluation criteria are: 1) a clearly defined research subject that includes a research question, set objectives; 2) investigation of the subject in the context of the existing literature; 3) compliance of the method or research design with the intentions of the researcher; 4) a clear and appropriate strategy for data collection; 5) appropriate research sample; 6) appropriate analytical approach; 7) that the context is taken into account and is clearly shown in the interpretation of the results; 8) given a clear overview of the steps in the research; 9) data to be visible and used to support interpretation; 10) to perceive the reflections of the researcher; 11) that the ethical components in the research are visible; 12) relevant data and the same can continue to be used.

As can be seen, the criteria for evaluating the quality of qualitative data are in the context of methodological aspects in research, but there is still no agreement regarding the framework for evaluating the quality of data. In that sense, it can be said that the question still remains whether it is possible to determine the criteria for evaluating the quality of the data similar to the data obtained from quantitative research, or whether this topic should be considered in a more flexible way.

3. Certain aspects for determining the quality of data during population surveys

In qualitative research, the researcher has a special place in obtaining quality empirical evidence. More specifically, the researcher, in addition to having a good knowledge of the problematic situation, should also have a good knowledge of the reality and the context in which the research is conducted. Furthermore, the researcher should set aside all preconceptions regarding the problem under investigation and seek to discover new perspectives for understanding reality.

The specifics of the qualitative approach are that in the process of collecting the experiential records from the field, the researcher does not have an exact figure for how many people should be included in the conversation. The researcher expands the number of respondents until he judges that he has obtained a solid fund of empirical evidence.

In qualitative research, speech and words are analyzed. In that sense, it is especially important when using the qualitative approach to talk about the motive of the researcher, but also what is the motive of the respondent to agree to the conversation. This moment is considered particularly significant because it affects the quality of the information received from the interlocutors, but it is also a significant part or is unavoidable from the analysis itself.

Based on the mentioned specifics of qualitative research, certain aspects that can determine the quality of qualitative data will be suggested below. On this occasion we consider the following: data collection method, number of respondents and sample design, selection and training of interviewers, field work and data collection and analysis.

3.1 Research Methods Selection

In general, the collection method is conditioned by the tasks set in the research, by the nature of the variables, that is, the nature of the research object. In addition, for qualitative research in the study of the population, it is of particular importance to know the context in which the appropriate technique is applied.

For example, researching the process of deciding the number of children born in Senegal and Zimbabwe, both countries use the same methodological approach and identical research methods for data collection, field experiences, but the quality of the data differs. LeGrand L., T. Koppenhaver, N. Mondain and S. Randall (2003). In both countries, the methods of data collection are informal conversations (free discussions), in-depth interviews, photo stories and focus groups. Researches were carried out both in villages and in urban areas.

As a result of the specific research, it was established that the focus group gave good data for the group of women in urban areas, but not in rural areas. Possible explanations are that in rural areas people know each other well and did not feel free enough to express their thinking, practice, etc. regarding the topic.

The informal conversations conducted by the researcher at the end of the day in his office with the younger people in the rural areas have been evaluated as highly valuable and usable in further analyses.

Focus groups in urban areas did not give the expected result. It was assessed that men did not want to talk in an informal situation in which they debated about certain private experiences. Men have been shown to be more willing to talk, but when the interviewer calls them to give their opinion on a certain topic.

In Zimbabwe the surveys were more open and ready for discussion in the focus groups, and in Senegal they gave more honest answers when the interviews were conducted.

These examples indicate that the degree of successful application of each method is individually influenced by the social environment, tradition in cultural norms and attitudes, gender differences and as such have an impact on the quality of the collected data.

3.2 Sample size

In general, qualitative research does not use large samples, and drawing generalizations about the underlying mass is not a primary goal. In qualitative research, the units for analysis are chosen purposefully, and representativeness is not a key element, but it is still good that the groups are heterogeneous according to certain characteristics of the examinations. Most often, these are the characteristics of gender, age and education or some other characteristics that are significant for the research itself.

The fact that the respondents are not randomly selected indicates that the research participants may not be typical representatives of the category they belong to or that there is a bias in the selection of the respondents. But that shouldn't be a problem. In such a situation, the researcher should be skilled enough to recognize that bias, isolate it if possible or give explanations for it in order to be able to control the responses from the selected research participants.

What affects the quality of the data is the behavior of the respondents. That is, for qualitative research it is less important that they are typical representatives of their category. However, it is especially important that respondents want to participate in the research and give honest answers.

Establishing a successful social interaction between the researcher and the respondents is a primary success factor in qualitative research. Sample size doesn't matter much in that context.

3.3 Selection and training of interviewers

Understanding, interpreting and constructing reality based on the collected data inevitably leads to opening the topic of who should lead the conversation. This topic is particularly significant, as the principal investigator will not be able to be present at all stages of data collection. So who should lead the talks? A person who recognizes well the behavior and language of the local community and where the research is carried out, but is less trained or a person who has solid qualifications in conducting a conversation, but does not come from the environment in which the research is carried out and thus there is a possibility of not knowing well enough the context in which the conversation takes place.

These dilemmas are particularly significant when research is conducted in environments that are characteristic according to certain specifics in terms of lifestyle, behavior, speech, and the like.

For example, if a certain research is carried out in rural areas or in poor areas and in areas where the educational level of the population is at a lower level in relation to the environment from which the researcher comes, the question is: what education should the person conducting the conversation have? Should you choose the person who does not have a secondary education, but can carry out a good conversation with the person and extract good information, because they belong to the same economic and social status and thus the respondent feels close and open, or should he be a doctoral student who is intellectually stronger, but it may not be able to collect higher quality respondent data. However, the principal investigator should pay attention to these components in the part of data collection, which as a problem can be overcome with appropriate training and coaching.

In order to ensure good quality of the data, it is necessary during the training that the trained interviewers understand the purpose of the research being conducted. In addition to the fact that the person conducting the conversation should have the appropriate skills to be able to collect the necessary

data, but at the same time the interviewer should have certain skills to be able to collect information and data from the respondents that are not required of him, but the one who leads the conversation, he should sense some other problems, that is, potential problems or ways of explanations. These data can only be known by the one who is directly involved in the field.

3.4 Field work

The data obtained in qualitative research largely depend on the field work, that is, on the way the research itself is carried out.

The way of conducting the conversation, the way of asking the questions in qualitative research are flexible, but it must be ensured that the answers obtained from the field are not general, but provide certain specific insights. Such specific knowledge should be presented with examples. It is not desirable that general feelings prevail in the received answers. It is especially important in demographic research that the data and answers are based on the personal experience of the respondents.

During the conversation, the interviewers should encourage the respondents to illustrate their attitudes, opinions with concrete, specific situations with personal experiences. In this part, the one who leads the conversations makes a special contribution, both with his approach during the collection of data, and in the part of arranging the data. Namely, the method of recording the answers should be done in a systematic way and it should be done after every interview. In this way, the contextual information, the parts of the non-verbal communication that are present during the conversation, and which are considered relevant in the process of data interpretation, in terms of what was said and how it was said, are easier to enter. Non-verbal communication should be noted in addition to responses, as it indicates the intensity of the claim. And, it can be noticed only while the interviewer remembers and therefore it is necessary to do it as soon as he finishes the interview. Namely, the contextual information, that is, the way in which the answer is given, for example, whether something is said with a serious tone, with a strong facial expression, quickly giving an answer, etc. are contextual information that contribute to the quality of interpretation.

Since the principal investigator cannot always be present in the field, during the data collection phase the principal investigator must be in constant contact with the interviewer. (Matheness, 2005).

3.5 Presentation of the results

How to present the data? The data should be presented in a way that will allow the one who reads the research report or reads the text to be able to assess the quality of the conducted research, that is, the quality of the data.

Citations are the main form of data representation. They should be presented in such a way that they represent a record of knowledge, not that they represent an example. The selection of the quotes is made by the researcher, and the selection criteria may be different. It can be something that usually happens or something that deviates from the usual. Of course, the quote should express a rare occurrence of an attitude, opinion, perception or experience.

In addition to the criterion for the selection of the quotations, the following topics are opened that need to be clarified in this section. These are: should the qualitative data be quantified? In relation to citations, questions are raised regarding length, scope and form of presentation.

Basically, it is not desirable to quantify the data obtained in qualitative research. For example, the precise answer: "4 out of 6 interlocutors answered that they do not talk to their spouses about family planning" is better to replace with the more flexible style, "more than a part of the interlocutors mentioned that they do not talk to their spouses about planning." of the family".

This approach is justified by the fact that when conducting the interview, it is not mandatory to ask the questions in the same way, in the same order with each interlocutor. In that sense, giving the answers depends a lot on the way the question is asked, in what context. In such a flexible situation of asking questions, it is considered wrong to use statistics when analyzing the answers. In addition, silence is not necessarily a sign that something is not important, that something is not accepted or practiced... (Randall & Kopenhagen, 2004).

There is no established rule when determining the optimal length of citations. Each citation should elaborate the results, and the length should not burden the text. Ideally, larger citations can be

provided in an appendix at the end of the text. (Brand, 2001) Depending on the obtained results, it is possible to elaborate a specific topic through discourse analysis. However, to assess the quality, for the systematicity in the presentation of the answers, it is desirable to cite the question that leads to the answers obtained. This is considered significant because the reader should have knowledge of the context in which the conversation took place. However, there is a danger of not over-contextualizing information, which can lose the essence. The place of quotations in the text is a matter of discretion. They may be part of the text, but it is quite correct to additionally present the quotations in a separate section outside the text. The use of additional data, so-called additional information that may be useful for solving the problem being analyzed, should preferably be presented.

Concluding observations

The specificity of the qualitative approach is that it studies the event in its natural environment, but also tries to interpret the meaning as objectively as possible. In this sense, the question of the possibility to evaluate the qualitative data is rightly raised. And, this question is asked much more often among demographers, population researchers, because these scientists used this approach in "company" with the quantitative one, which has clear criteria for assessing the quality of the data. But it is a fact that demographers, compared to other researchers, have less experience in conducting qualitative research and thus are less skilled in assessing the quality of data in qualitative research.

In general, the qualitative approach makes it possible to clarify the research situation, to understand how people understand the problem being investigated, but also to assess how their experience, perceptions and attitudes affect the specific activity.

Regarding the data collection methods, it can be said that all those that identify the qualitative research are used, namely the ethnographic approach, to the application of focus groups and in-depth interviews, semi-structured interviews and the historiographic method.

As can be determined the criteria for evaluating the quality of qualitative data are in the context of methodological aspects in the research, but still there is no agreement regarding the framework for evaluating the quality of the data. In that sense, it can be said that the question still remains whether it is possible to determine criteria for evaluating the quality of data similar to the data obtained from quantitative research, or whether this topic should be considered in a more flexible way.

However, in order to preserve the basic principles of scientific research, among which repeatability is considered significant, it is especially important that qualitative studies have as comprehensive a presentation of methodological explanations as possible. In this way, a greater insight into the methodological standards of qualitative research is possible.

As an aspect that should be taken care of in order to be able to evaluate the quality of the data, it is that the interaction between the interviewer and the interviewee has been described.

In qualitative research, citations are the main form of data presentation, in a way that they should be a record of knowledge, not an example. The need for longer citations exists, precisely for the purpose of improving the so-called data quality checklist, but they should be presented in a systematic way. In addition to citations that serve to verify the validity of the data, it is necessary to add additional sources, additional explanations that can capture the context when the empirical evidence was collected, a primary success factor in qualitative research.

The primary factor for success in qualitative research is the establishment of successful social interaction between the researcher and the respondents. In addition, the person leading the conversation needs to be skilled at eliciting answers, opening topics that are significant and that will help shed light on the problem.

Reference list

1. Barker, G. Susan, R., (1992). Influences on Adolescent Sexuality in Nigeria and Kenya: Findings from Recent Focus Groups Discussions, in *Studies in Family Planning*, 23,3: 199-210.

2. Burrell, G. and Morgan, G. (1979) *Sociological paradigms and organizational analysis: Elements of the sociology of corporate life*. Brookfield: Ashgate Publishing Company
3. Bozon M. (2006). "L'apport des méthodes qualitatives en démographie ». Chapter 136 in : / *Démographie : analyse et synthèse. 8, Observation, méthodes auxiliaires, enseignement et recherche* . - Paris : INED, p. 439-463.
4. Calves, A., (2000). Premarital childbearing in urban Cameroon: Paternal recognition, child care and financial support" in *Journal of Comparative Family Studies* 30(1): 443-452.
5. Castle S., Konate, M., Ulin, P., Martin, S., (1999). A qualitative study of clandestine contraceptive use in *Urban Mali Studies in Family Planning* 30 (3).
6. Coast, E., (2003). An evaluation of demographer's use of ethnographies in *Population Studies* 57(3), 337-346.
7. Crotty, M., (1998). *The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process*. St. Leonard, Australia: Allen & Uniwinn.
8. Denzin, N. K. and Lincoln, Y.S. (Eds.). (1994). *Handbook of qualitative research* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
9. Dixon-Woods, M., Shaw, R. L. et al. (2004). The problem of appraising qualitative research in *Qual Saf Health Care* 13: 223-225.
10. Gueye, M, Sarah, C., Mamadou, K.K., (2001). Timing of First Intercourse Among Malian Adolescents: Implications for Contraceptive Use in *International Family Planning Perspectives*, 27,2: 56-62.
11. LeGrand, T., Koppenhaver, T., Mondain, N. & Randall, S., (2003). Reassessing the Insurance Effect: A Qualitative Analysis of Fertility Behavior in Senegal and Zimbabwe in *Population and Development Review*, 29(3), 375-403.
12. Lidlof R. T. & Taylor, C. B., (2002). *Qualitative Communication Research Methods*, Sage.
13. Lincoln, Y. & Guba, E. G., (2000). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences. Vo Denzin, N. K. and Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
14. Mondain, N. LeGrand, T. & Sabourin, P., (2007). Changing Patterns in Men's First Marriage among the Sereer in Rural Senegal in *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 38(4): 627-644.
15. Popay, J., (2006). Moving beyond effectiveness in evidence synthesis, London, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
16. Randall, S., & LeGrand, T., (2003). Reproductive Strategies and Decisions in Senegal: the role of child mortality in *Population-E*, 58(6): 687 - 716.
17. Randall, S. & Koppenhaver, T., (2004). Qualitative data in demography: The sound of silence and other problems in *Demographic Research*, 11(3): 57 - 94.
18. Rolfe, G., (2006). Validity, trustworthiness and rigour: quality and the idea of qualitative research in *Methodological Issues in Nursing Research*: 53(3): 304 - 310.
19. Sandelowski, M., Docherty, Sh. et al. (1997). Qualitative metasynthesis: issues and techniques in *Research in Nursing & Health* 20(4): 365-371.
20. Spencer, L., Ritchie, J., Lewis, J. Dillon, L., (2003). *Quality in qualitative evidence: a framework for assessing research evidence: a quality framework*. London, Cabinet Office Government Chief Social Researcher's Office.
21. Schwandt, T., (1989) Solution to the paradigm conflict: Coping with conflict in *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography* 17 (4): 379-407.
22. Walsh, D., & Downe, S., (2006). Appraising the quality of qualitative research in *Midwifery* vol 22.