

14th
INTERNATIONAL
SYMPOSIUM

MODERN
TRENDS
IN LIVESTOCK
PRODUCTION



4 - 6 October 2023, Belgrade, Serbia

Institute for Animal Husbandry

Belgrade - Zemun, SERBIA

**14th
INTERNATIONAL
SYMPOSIUM**

**MODERN
TRENDS
IN LIVESTOCK
PRODUCTION**

2023

P R O C E E D I N G S

4 - 6 October 2023, Belgrade, Serbia

PATRONS

Ministry of Science, Technological Development
and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Management
of the Republic of Serbia

ORGANIZER

Institute for Animal Husbandry
Autoput 16, P. Box. 23,
11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia
Tel: +381 11 2691 611; +381 11 2670 121; +381 11 2670 541;
Fax: + 381 11 2670 164;

PUBLISHER

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Editor

Zdenka Škrbić, PhD, Principal Research Fellow
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun

The Proceedings is printed by the Institute for Animal Husbandry,
Belgrade, 2023



biotechnology.izs@gmail.com

www.istocar.bg.ac.rs

Circulation 100 copies.

ISBN 978-86-82431-80-0

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN

Prof. Dr. **Giacomo Biagi**,
Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences,
University of Bologna, Italy

SECRETARY

Dr. **Nikola Delić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

MEMBERS

Dr. **Dragana Ružić-Muslić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Vlada Pantelić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Aleksandar Stanojković**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Miloš Lukić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Zdenka Škrbić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Čedomir Radović**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. **Marjeta Čandek-Potokar**,
Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Dr. **Giuseppe Bee**,
Agroscope Posieux, Posieux, Switzerland

Prof. Dr. **Zoran Stanimirović**,
University of Belgrade, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Serbia

Prof. Dr. **Stayka Laleva**,
Agricultural Institute, Stara Zagora, Bulgaria

Prof. Dr. **Maya Ignatova**,
Institute of Animal Science, Kostinbrod, Bulgaria



INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Dr. Ivan Bošnjak,

Ministry of Human and Minority Rights and Social Dialogue, Serbia

Dr. Veselin Petričević,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Dušica Ostojić Andrić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Galia Zamaratskaia,

Department of Molecular Sciences, BioCenter,
Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

Dr. Dragan Nikšić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Ljiljana Samolovac,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Lidija Perić,

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Novi Sad, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Wladyslaw Migdal,

Department of Animal Product Technology,
University of Agriculture in Kraków, Poland

Dr. Dobrila Jakić-Dimić,

Scientific Veterinary Institute of Serbia, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Yalçın Bozkurt,

Isparta University of Applied Science,
Department of Animal Science, Isparta, Türkiye

Dr. Snežana Mladenović Drinić,

Maize Research Institute „Zemun Polje“, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. Jelena Aleksić,

Institute of Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering, Serbia

Dr. Nikola Stanišić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Jack Bergsma,

Royal Avebe, The Netherlands



INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Dr. Sam Millet,

ILVO, Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries
and Food, Belgium

Prof. Dr. Antonella Dalle Zotte,

Department of Animal Medicine, University of Padova, Italy

Prof. Dr. Snežana Trivunović,

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Predrag Perišić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Martin Škrlep,

Agricultural Institute of Slovenia, Slovenia

Dr. Violeta Caro Petrović,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. Nevena Maksimović,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Vesna Gantner,

Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek,

Faculty of Agrobiotechnical Sciences, Osijek, Croatia

Dr. Maria Munoz,

Departamento Mejora Genética Animal,

INIA, Spain

Dr. Violeta Mandić,

Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Pero Mijić,

Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek,

Faculty of Agrobiotechnical Sciences, Osijek, Croatia

Prof. Dr. Zoran Luković,

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture,

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Croatia

Prof. Dr. Dubravko Škorput,

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture,

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Croatia

Prof. Dr. Aleksandar Simić,

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Simeon Rakonjac,

University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Agronomy, Serbia



INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Dr. Jasna Prodanov-Radulović,
Scientific Veterinary Institute “Novi Sad”, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Rosa Nieto,
Department of Physiology and Biochemistry of Animal
Nutrition Estacion Experimental del Zaidín, CSIC Armilla,
Granada, Spain

Dr. Jordan Marković,
Institute for Forage Crops, Kruševac, Serbia

Dr. Juan M. García Casco,
Departamento Mejora Genética Animal,
INIA, Madrid, Spain

Dr. Slavica Stanković,
Maize Research Institute „Zemun Polje“, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. Vesna S. Krnjaja,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia



ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN

Dr. **Nikola Delić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

SECRETARY

Dr. **Maja Petričević**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

MEMBERS

Dr. **Marina Lazarević**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Marija Gogić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Vladimir Živković**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Nenad Mičić**,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Dr. **Vesna Dragičević**,
Maize Research Institute „Zemun Polje“, Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. **Tanja Vasić**,
Faculty of Agriculture, University of Niš, Serbia

Prof. Dr. **Tanja Petrović**,
Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. **Nataša Tolimir**,
Institute for Science Application in Agriculture, Serbia



SYMPOSIUM SECRETARIAT

CHAIRMAN

Dr. Maja Petričević,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

MEMBERS

Slavko Maletić, grad. econ.
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Olga Devečerski, grad. prof.
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Bogdan Cekić, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Miloš Marinković, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Nenad Stojiljković, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Ivan Ćosić, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Tamara Stamenić, MPharmSc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Tanja Keškić, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Danijel Milenković, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Nemanja Lečić, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Aleksandra Petrović, Msc,
Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia





Address:

Institute for Animal Husbandry,
Autoput 16, P. Box 23,
11080, Belgrade-Zemun, Serbia

Tel:

+381 11 2691 611

+381 11 2670 121

+381 11 2670 541

Fax:

+381 11 2670 164

E-mail: biotechnology.izs@gmail.com

www.istocar.bg.ac.rs

DETERMINATION OF NEW WELFARE AND STRESS INDICATORS OF THE ANIMALS ON CATTLE AND PIG FARMS BASED ON DIFFERENT PUBLICATIONS

Slavča Hristov¹, Marko Cincović², Branislav Stanković¹, Radojica Đoković³, Dušica Ostojić Andrić⁴, Ljiljana Samolovac⁴, Dimitar Nakov⁵

¹The University of Belgrade - Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade-Zemun, Republic of Serbia

²The University of Novi Sad - Faculty of Agriculture, Novi Sad, Republic of Serbia

³University of Kragujevac - Faculty of Agronomy in Čačak, Čačak, Republic of Serbia

⁴Institute for Animal Husbandry, Belgrade – Zemun, Republic of Serbia

⁵Faculty of Agriculture, "Goce Delcev" University in Stip, Stip, Republic of North Macedonia

Corresponding author: Slavča Hristov, hristovs@agrif.bg.ac.rs

Abstract: In recent years, numerous plans and programs, instructions, recommendations, scientific opinions, analyses, reports, best practices, regulations, codes of practices and assurance schemes have been published in publications which were not published in journals and symposiums proceedings that consider indicators of welfare and stress of the animals on cattle and pig farms intending to improve their health and productivity. These indicators were created mainly as results of research in numerous national and international projects. Mentioned projects consider key indicators and prescribe on-farm assessments of animal welfare and stress in cattle and pig farms. In the assessments of the welfare and stress of the animals in different systems of keeping and accommodation, the need to determine new welfare and stress indicators on cattle and pig farms was observed. The publications about plans and programs, instructions, recommendations, scientific opinions, analysis, reports, best practices, regulations, code of practices and assurance schemes related to animal welfare and stress contain numerous indicators. The analysis of these publications aims to determine the main characteristics of the existing and to generate ideas to define new welfare and stress indicators of the animals on cattle and pig farms.

Key words: cattle, pig, welfare indicators, stress indicators, publications

Introduction

Numerous scientific projects and publications such as monographs, textbooks and doctoral dissertations have considered the welfare and stress of cattle

and pigs in different production systems. Based on the tangible results of those projects and detail descriptions in publications in recent years, numerous plans and programs, instructions, recommendations, scientific opinions, analyses, reports, best practices, regulations, codes of practices and assurance schemes for farmers, veterinary and animal science experts, as well as for policymakers were defined. Also, numerous regulations and guides have been published describing the abovementioned aspects of cattle and pig welfare and stress.

Mentioned projects and related publications consider key indicators and prescribe on-farm assessments of animal welfare and stress in cattle and pig farms. Although numerous indicators were identified in the assessments of the welfare and stress of the animals in different systems of rearing and accommodation, the need to determine new welfare and stress indicators on cattle and pig farms was observed. The paper analyses the most important publications, which were not published in journals and symposium proceedings, in which the main characteristics of the existing and generate ideas to define new welfare and stress indicators of the animals on cattle and pig farms are determined.

Cattle and pig welfare law regulations

In the publication by *Hild and Schweitzer (2019)* as editors of *Animal Welfare: From Science to Law*, detailed information on animal welfare and stress as taken into account by law around the world (acts, directives, regulations, etc.), recommendations, scientific opinions, plans and programs and other aspects of animal welfare and stress are presented in various topics. In the same publication, the European Union legislation on animal welfare by *Simonin and Gavinelli (2019)* is presented. An overview of laws and regulations on animal welfare in our country can be found in the publication "Ten Years of the Law on Animal Welfare of the Republic of Serbia" by *Stojanović (2019)*. A prominent place in these publications in this regard is the mentioned aspects related to the welfare of cattle and the welfare of pigs. They contain the principles and concepts for defining regulations, as well as the provisions of welfare regulations that serve as a basis for defining reliable indicators.

In Council directives (1. Council Directive 98/58/EC of 20 July 1998 concerning the protection of animals kept for farming purposes were adopted for the welfare of pigs and cattle; 2. Council Directive 2008/119/EC of 18 December 2008 laying down minimum standards for the protection of calves; 3. Council Directive 2008/120/EC of 18 December 2008 laying down minimum standards for the protection of pigs) and Council regulations (1. Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC, 2. Regulation (EC)

No 1255/976 and 3. Council Regulation (EC) No 1099/2009 of 24 September 2009 on the protection of animals at the time of killing) contain direct or indirect indicators of the welfare of different categories of cattle and pigs.

Projects related to the welfare and stress of cattle and pigs

In our country, two significant projects were realized in connection with the generation of ideas, principles and concepts for defining the new welfare indicators of cattle and pigs which the project managers were the authors of this paper. In the first project (*Projekt 26/13*), in 2007 and 2008, the aspects of reviewing and implementing minimum standards of growing conditions and welfare on ruminant farms were considered in this regard. In the second project (*Projekt TR 20110*), from 2008 to 2011), aspects of the development and implementation of welfare and biosecurity standards to improve the technology of cattle and pig production were discussed. In addition, as members of the MC, the authors of this paper participated in two COST actions. In the first *COST Action CA15134*, from 2016 to 2020, the relevant aspects of synergy for preventing damaging behaviour in group-housed pigs and chickens were considered, and in the second *COST Action CA21124*, which is ongoing (from 2022 to 2026), the aspects lifting farm animal lives – laying the foundations for positive animal welfare. At the international level, the Welfare Quality® project (*Canali and Keeling, 2009*) is of great importance for the consideration of welfare indicators. The numerous categories of indicators listed in these projects can mainly be divided into animal-based, resource-based and management-based.

Textbooks and monographs related to the welfare and stress of cattle and pigs

In the monograph on the stress of domestic animals (*Hristov and Bešlin, 1991*), indicators of welfare and stress related to the health of animals, productivity, physiological changes in organisms and biochemical changes in the blood of animals, normal and abnormal behaviour, the relationship between the intensity of rearing and behaviour, experimental causing stress in animals, opportunities to choose the environment and behaviour of the animals in a specific environment are described. The genetics of stress syndrome is described in the monograph by *Stanković et al. (1992)*.

The chapters of the monographs listed as references in this paper describe the growing conditions, welfare and health care of animals in organic livestock production (*Hristov and Relić, 2005*), microclimatic and hygienic conditions for raising cattle (*Hristov et al., 2006*) and the welfare of dairy cattle on farms (*Hristov*

and Stanković, 2016). The textbooks listed as references in this paper describe farming conditions, stress and welfare of farm animals (Hristov, 2002), health care of domestic and farmed animals (Hristov and Stanković, 2021) and behaviour, welfare and protection of animals (Vučinić, 2006). The textbooks by Webster (2005), Vučinić (2006) and Broom and Fraser (2015) are particularly important, which discuss domestic animal behaviour, stress and welfare along with numerous aspects of determining welfare and stress indicators in different categories of cattle and pigs.

Doctoral dissertations related to the welfare and stress of cattle and pigs

The realized doctoral dissertations of the authors of the paper as candidates or mentors to doctoral students in our country represent a special contribution to deepening the understanding of indicators of welfare in cattle and pigs. In this sense, important are the doctoral dissertations on the influence of the way of keeping and some physiological conditions on the blood parameters of heifers and cows (Hristov, 1992), the assessment of the quality of welfare on dairy cow farms (Ostojić - Andrić, 2013), the influence of lameness on the milk yield of Simmental cows (Zlatanović, 2015) and the influence of rearing conditions and the season of birth on the welfare of calves in the first month of life (Samolovac, 2016). When it comes to the welfare of pigs, in the doctoral dissertation by Živković (2023), the influence of body weight, tryptophan levels and certain environmental factors on the behaviour and production results of piglets during the confinement period was considered.

Indicators of welfare and stress of cattle and pigs in scientific opinions and code of recommendations

It is considered that the abovementioned Council directives and regulations are out of date in certain aspects, and that is why the scientific opinion of the experts of the EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (AHAW) is needed. That is why methodological guidance for the development of animal welfare mandates in the context of the Farm to Fork Strategy was defined by the *EFSA AHAW Panel (2022)*. In this methodology, the importance of animal-based measures (ABMs) is particularly emphasized.

In scientific opinion (EFSA, 2022) experts EFSA Panel on Animal Health and Welfare (AHAW) focused on the welfare of all categories of pigs on farms based on numerous literature data and expert opinions. The most relevant husbandry systems used in Europe were taken into account. For each system, highly relevant welfare consequences were identified, as well as related ABMs,

and hazards leading to the welfare and stress consequences. In addition to ABMs, resource-based and management-based indicators were considered in terms of mutual conditionality.

The overall effects of farming systems on dairy cow welfare and disease, in which the indicators used for assessment of welfare and disease are listed by the experts of the AHAW panel (*EFSA, 2009a*) were described. In the same year (*EFSA, 2009b*) the scientific opinion on the risk assessment of the impact of housing, nutrition and feeding, management and genetic selection on behaviour, fear and pain problems in dairy cows was discussed in detail with relevant indicators. This was followed by a scientific opinion (*EFSA, 2009c, 2009d*) on the risk assessment of the impact of housing, nutrition and feeding, management and genetic selection on metabolic and reproductive problems in dairy cows and the risk assessment of the impact of housing, nutrition and feeding, management and genetic selection on leg and locomotion problems in dairy cows with associated indicators. When it comes to indicators, the scientific opinion on the use of animal-based measures to assess the welfare of dairy cows (*EFSA, 2012*) is of particular importance.

In considering the welfare of calves, fifteen highly relevant welfare consequences were identified by the AHAW panel of *EFSA (2023)*, the most significant of which are respiratory disorders, inability to perform exploratory or foraging behaviour, gastro-enteric disorders and group stress. In this regard, adequate indicators for assessing the welfare and stress of calves are proposed. Also, recommendations are given to improve the welfare of calves, which include increasing space allowance, keeping calves in stable groups from an early age, ensuring good colostrum management and increasing the amount of milk fed to dairy calves.

The publication Code of Recommendations for the Welfare of Livestock: Cattle (*DEFRA, 2003*) lists numerous welfare and stress indicators concerning stockmanship, health, feed, water and other substances, accommodation, equipment, management, fire and other emergency precautions, pregnancy and calving, calf rearing, breeding animals and dairy cows, which are applied in England. Recommendations and indicators to the breeders of these animals are described in detail, which relate to procedures with animals, preservation of health, provision of water, food and other substances, housing and keeping, equipment, organization and production technology. In a similar principle, the indicators and recommendations for pigs are defined in the Code of Practice for the Welfare of Pigs (*DEFRA, 2020*), which is applied in England, in two sections (section 1 – Recommendations applying to all pigs and Section 2 – Additional specific recommendations).

Welfare and stress monitoring systems

Different welfare monitoring systems have been developed in some European countries such as the animal welfare index TGI35L in Austria (*Bartussek, 2000*), TGI200 in Germany (*Sundrum, 1994*) for organic farms or specific tools for dairy cows, in France for assessing welfare in loose housed dairy cows (*Capdeville and Veissier, 2001*) and for assessing welfare quality in cattle, pigs and chickens (*Keeling and Veissier, 2005*).

In the guides concerning the welfare standards of cattle on farms (*Hristov et al., 2011a*) and the welfare standards of pigs on farms (*Hristov et al., 2011b*) in our country, questionnaires, as monitoring systems, containing indicators and criteria for their assessment were created. In essence, a total of 15 indicators were created with parameters and criteria for evaluation: 1. assessment of planning, organization and implementation of welfare protection, 2. assessment of employees concerning welfare protection, 3. competencies of employees concerning welfare protection, 4. the attitude of breeders according to the needs of animals, 5. assessment of monitoring and inspection of animals and equipment, 6. treatment of animals, 7. nutrition and feeding, 8. housing conditions, 9. microclimatic conditions, 10. hygienic conditions in the barn, 11. hygiene and body care of animals, 12. reproduction, 13. productivity, 14. behaviour and 15. health condition.

Analysis of the animal welfare and stress indicators in publications

In the mentioned publications in the paper, there are numerous physiological, behavioural and production indicators. When it comes to physiological indicators, it should be borne in mind that a change in the animal's welfare status causes physiological and psychological changes in the animal's organism, as well as changes in behaviour. That is why indicators of changes in the physiological status of the organism are taken into account as one of the groups of welfare and stress indicators. It is a well-known fact that when the animal's welfare status changes, its behaviour indicators also change. Behavioural indicators are indicators of the mental and emotional status of the animal. When the welfare status of the animal changes, there are also changes in its production characteristics. Therefore, changes in production characteristics can serve as an indicator of animal welfare and stress (*Hristov and Bešlin, 1991; Webster, 2005; Vučinić, 2006; Broom and Fraser, 2015*).

All the analysed animal welfare and stress indicators in this paper can be classified into two groups according to their impact on the animal welfare status, namely: 1. indicators originating from the external environment or the animal itself

and 2. indicators of the animal organism that reflect the action of external and internal environmental factors.

The first group of indicators indicate the influence of the living environment on the welfare and stress of animals and includes the way the animal is housed, the degree of freedom of movement, the degree of contact with animals of the same species, the use of bedding materials, microclimatic and macroclimatic factors that affect the animal, the method and quality of nutrition and feeding, etc. In addition, the attitude of the breeder towards the animals is also included, as well as factors originating from the animals themselves. The breeder's attitude towards animals implies the expertise of the breeder for a certain type of animal exploitation or a certain type of livestock production, the breeder's feelings towards animals, the breeder's ability to recognize the welfare and change in the animal's welfare status, understanding and valuing the life of animals, etc. Factors originating from the animal itself include the genetic predisposition of the animal for a certain type of exploitation or production, the use of an appropriate breed of animal for a certain type of production or another way of exploitation, the use of animals of the appropriate age, etc (*Hristov and Bešlin, 1991; Hristov, 2002; Webster, 2005; Vučinić, 2006; Broom and Fraser, 2015; Hristov and Stanković, 2016*).

The second group of welfare and stress indicators is viewed through physiological, behavioural and production indicators. Physiological indicators include the physiological status of the organism, the presence or absence of clinically manifest diseases, the presence or absence of wounds and injuries, nutritional status, physical condition, etc. Behavioural indicators include physiological forms of behaviour (intake of food and water, body hygiene, exploratory behaviour, territorial behaviour, social interactions, reproductive behaviour, rest and sleep, etc.), changes in behaviour, behavioural disorders and pathological forms of behaviour. Production indicators mean the physiological level of production that corresponds to the species and breed standard or age and production category, as well as changes in the animal's productivity level (*Hristov and Bešlin, 1991; Hristov, 2002; Webster, 2005; Vučinić, 2006; Broom and Fraser, 2015; Hristov and Stanković, 2016*).

Conclusion

Based on the data presented in the paper on the determination of new welfare and stress indicators of the animals on cattle and pig farms contained in different publications, which were not published in journals and symposium proceedings, it can be concluded:

- In the mentioned publications, there are numerous new physiological, behavioural and production indicators related to stress and welfare of cattle and pigs.
- Analysis of publications, that were not published in journals and symposium proceedings, indicates that they contain numerous new direct and indirect welfare and stress indicators of cattle and pigs.
- All the analyzed new animal welfare and stress indicators of cattle and pigs can be classified into two groups according to their impact on the animal welfare and stress status: 1. indicators originating from the external environment or the animal itself and 2. indicators of the animal organism that reflects the action of external and internal environmental factors.
- In the mentioned publications in the paper, there are numerous new physiological, behavioural and production indicators which can be used to assess cattle and pigs welfare and stress.

Acknowledgement

This research was funded by the Ministry of Science, Technological Development and Innovation of the Republic of Serbia, on the basis of the Agreement on the realization and financing of scientific research work of SRO No. 451-03-47/2023-01/200116 and No. 451-03-47/2023-01/200022.

References

- BARTUSSEK H., LEEB C.H., HELD S. (2000): Animal needs index for cattle. Federal Research Institute for Agriculture in Alpine Regions BAL Gumpenstein. Austria.
- BROOM D.M., FRASER A.F. (2015): Domestic animal behaviour and welfare, 5th edition. CABI, Wallingford.
- CANALI E., KEELING L. (2009): Welfare Quality® project: from scientific research to on farm assessment of animal welfare. Italian Journal of Animal Science, 8(sup2), 900-903.
- CAPDEVILLE J., VEISSIER I. (2001): A Method Of Assessing Welfare In Loose Housed Dairy Cows At Farm Level, Focusing On Animal Observations. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section A: Animal Science, 30 (Suppl.), p. 62-68.
- CA15134, (2016-2020): Synergy for preventing damaging behaviour in group-housed pigs and chickens (COST action: GroupHouseNet, MC member: Hristov S.)
- CA21124, (2022 – 2026): Lifting farm animal lives – laying the foundations for positive animal welfare (COST action: LIFT, MC member: Hristov S.)

- DEFRA, (2020): Code of Practice for the Welfare of Pigs. Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs, Published 26 February 2020.
- DEFRA, (2003): Code of recommendations for the welfare of livestock: cattle. Defra Publications, Admail, 6000, 1-39.
- EFSA, (2009a): Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Animal Health and Welfare on a request from the European Commission on the overall effects of farming systems on dairy cow welfare and disease. The EFSA Journal (2009) 1143, 1- 38.
- EFSA, (2009b): Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Animal Health and Welfare on a request from the Commission on the risk assessment of the impact of housing, nutrition and feeding, management and genetic selection on behaviour, fear and pain problems in dairy cows. The EFSA Journal (2009) 1139, 1-68.
- EFSA, (2009c): Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Animal Health and Welfare on a request from the Commission on the risk assessment of the impact of housing, nutrition and feeding, management and genetic selection on metabolic and reproductive problems in dairy cows. The EFSA Journal (2009) 1140, 1-75.
- EFSA, (2009d): Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Animal Health and Welfare on a request from the Commission on the risk assessment of the impact of housing, nutrition and feeding, management and genetic selection on leg and locomotion problems in dairy cows. The EFSA Journal (2009) 1142, 1-57.
- EFSA, (2012): Scientific Opinion on the use of animal-based measures to assess welfare of dairy cows. EFSA Journal EFSA Journal 2012; 10(1):2554, 1-81 pp.
- EFSA, (2022): Scientific Opinion on the Welfare of Pigs during Transport. EFSA Journal 2022; 20(9):7445, 108 pp.
- EFSA, (2022): Scientific Opinion on the methodological guidance for the development of animal welfare mandates in the context of the Farm to Fork Strategy. EFSA Journal 2022; 20(7):7403, 29 pp.
- EFSA, (2023): Scientific Opinion on the welfare of calves. EFSA Journal 2023;21(3):7896, 197 pp.
- EFSA, (2022): Welfare of pigs on farm. EFSA Journal, 20(8), e07421.
- HILD S., SCHWEITZER L. (2019): Animal welfare: From science to law. In Conference Proceedings by La Fondation Droit Animal, Éthique et Sciences. Paris, 198.
- HRISTOV S., STANKOVIĆ B., PLAVŠIĆ B., ANDRIJAŠEVIĆ M. (2011a): Standardi dobrobiti goveda na farmama – vodič. Uprava za veterinu, Ministarstvo poljoprivrede, šumarstva i vodoprivrede
- HRISTOV S., STANKOVIĆ B., PLAVŠIĆ B., ANDRIJAŠEVIĆ M. (2011b): Standardi dobrobiti svinja na farmama – vodič. Uprava za veterinu, Ministarstvo poljoprivrede, šumarstva i vodoprivrede.

HRISTOV S. (1992): Uticaj načina držanja i nekih fizioloških stanja na parametre krvi junica i krava. Doktorska disertacija. Univerzitet u Beogradu – Veterinarski fakultet, Beograd.

HRISTOV S. (2002): Zoohigijena. Udžbenik, Poljoprivredni fakultet – Univerzitet u Beogradu, Beograd.

HRISTOV S., BEŠLIN R. (1991): Stres domaćih životinja. Monografija, Poljoprivredni fakultet – Univerzitet u Beogradu, Beograd.

HRISTOV S., RELIĆ RENATA (2005): Uslovi gajenja, dobrobit i zdravstvena zaštita životinja u organskoj stočarskoj proizvodnji. Poglavlje u monografiji (urednik Urošević M.), Poljoprivredni fakultet, Beograd-Zemun

HRISTOV S., RELIĆ R., JOKSIMOVIĆ-TODOROVIĆ M., DAVIDOVIĆ V. (2006): Mikroklimatski i higijenski uslovi gajenja goveda. Poglavlje u monografiji (urednik Ostojić M.): Zlatarski sir. Institut za ekonomiku poljoprivrede, Beograd, 55-78.

HRISTOV S., STANKOVIĆ B. (2016): Dobrobit mlečnih goveda na farmama. Poglavlje u monografiji: Optimizacija tehnoloških postupaka i zootehničkih resursa na farmama u cilju unapređenja održivosti proizvodnje mleka [Elektronski izvor]: monografija/urednik Vladan Bogdanović. - Beograd: Univerzitet, Poljoprivredni fakultet, 2016 (Beograd: Poljoprivredni fakultet). - 1 elektronski optički disk (CD-ROM); 12 cm, strana 159-182. ISBN 978-86-7834-212-7.

HRISTOV S., STANKOVIĆ B. (2021): Zdravstvena zaštita domaćih i gajenih životinja. Udžbenik, Univerzitet u Beogradu - Poljoprivredni fakultet, Beograd.

KEELING, L, VEISSIER I. (2005): Developing a monitoring system to assess welfare quality in cattle, pigs and chickens. In Science and society improving animal welfare. Welfare Quality conference proceedings 17/18 November 2005. Edited by: Butterworth A. Brussels, Belgium; 46-50.

OSTOJIĆ ANDRIĆ D. (2013): Ocena kvaliteta dobrobiti na farmama mlečnih krava. Doktorska disertacija. Univerzitet u Beogradu - Poljoprivredni fakultet, Beograd – Zemun.

PROJEKAT 26/13 (2007-2008): Sagledavanje i implementacija minimalnih standarda uslova gajenja i dobrobiti na farmama preživara. Uprava za veterinu Ministarstvo poljoprivrede šumarstva i vodoprivrede, Beograd, Republika Srbija.

PROJEKAT TR 20110 (2008-2011): Razvoj i implementacija standarda dobrobiti i biosigurnosti u cilju unapređenja tehnologije proizvodnje goveda i svinja, MNTR, Beograd, Republika Srbija.

SAMOLOVAC LJ. (2016): Uticaj uslova gajenja i sezone rođenja na dobrobit teladi u prvom mesecu života. Doktorska disertacija. Univerzitet u Beogradu - Poljoprivredni fakultet, Beograd – Zemun.

-
- SIMONIN D., GAVINELLI A. (2019): The European Union legislation on animal welfare: State of play, enforcement and future activities. *Animal Welfare: From Science to Law*, 59-70.
- STANKOVIĆ M., MARKOVIĆ Z., STANKOVIĆ B. (1992): *Genetika stres sindroma svinja*. Grafoekspres, Beograd.
- STOJANOVIĆ N. (2019): Deset godina Zakona o dobrobiti životinja Republike Srbije. *Zbornik radova Pravnog fakulteta u Novom Sadu*, 53(2), 441-447.
- SUNDRUM A., ANDERSSON R., POSTLER G. (1994): *Animal needs index 200 - a guide for the assessment of housing systems*, Köllen-Verlag, Bonn, Germany,
- VUČINIĆ M. (2006): *Ponašanje, dobrobit i zaštita životinja*. Udžbenik, Fakultet veterinarske medicine, Beograd.
- WEBSTER J. (2005): *Animal Welfare: Limping Towards Eden*. Blackwell Publishing.
- ZLATANOVIĆ Z. (2015): *Uticaj šepavosti na mlečnost krava simentalске rase*. Doktorska disertacija. Univerzitet u Beogradu - Poljoprivredni fakultet, Beograd – Zemun.
- ŽIVKOVIĆ V. (2023): *Uticaj telesne mase, nivoa triptofana i određenih faktora sredine na ponašanje i proizvodne rezultate prasadi u periodu zalučenja* Doktorska disertacija. Univerzitet u Beogradu - Poljoprivredni fakultet, Beograd – Zemun.