

MATERIOVIGILANCE IN NORTH MACEDONIA-REGULATION AND HARMONIZATION

Bistra Angelovska, Elena Drakalska Sersemova, Katarina Stefanovska University "Goce Delcev"-Stip, Faculty of Medical sciences *corresponding author:bistra.angelovska@ugd.edu.mk

INTRODUCTION

Medical devices equally as medicines, are an important segment for normal functioning of the health system and an integral part of pharmacy practice. Diversity and specifics are complicating the harmonization of the regulative. The care and actions which ensure safe and proper use of medical devices as well as monitoring of possible safety problems and adverse reactions, are the subject of numerous recommendations, regulations and standards.

METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this study is: to analyze the recommendations of the WHO, EU, FDA, PGEU and their implementation in developed countries and RM, to realize the aim of harmonizing the vigilance system, to define the specifics on the classification, the quality standards of materiovigilance that guarantee safety for using the medical devices and legal support that it provides, the opportunities and the degree of harmonization of the vigilance system in North Macedonia compared to other countries.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to achieve the set goals, we reviewed relevant literature sources of primary and secondary literature, documents and recommendations of the WHO, EU, FDA, PGEU and specific regulatory authorities for nomenclature, classification, standardization and quality assurance. We made a comparison of the regulation systems of materiovigilance in America, Australia, England, India and Macedonia. The obtained results show that there is a high degree of harmonization of regulatory systems of the Republic of Macedonia with other members of GHTF which guarantees a high level of efficiency, security and accuracy, partly limited by the possibility of parallel imports.

Table 1. Difference in vigilance systems in UK. USA. Australia and India

(Continued)

| Parameters of countries | FDA | TGA (Australia) | MHRA | CDSCO (India) |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Definition of medical device | Includes all instruments, appliances, materials, machines, in vitro diagnostic agents, implants, software, | Excludes tampons and hospital, household, and commercial-grade disinfectants | Excludes materials used for disinfection of medical devices | 10-device category regulated as drug |
| Medical device classification Basis of | accessories, and disinfectants 3 classes: class I, class II, and class III Level of control | 5 classes: class I, classes IIa and IIb, class III, and class AIMD Classification rules | 4 classes: class I, class IIa, class IIb, and class III Classification rules | No defined classes for devices |
| classification | Medical specialties | | | |
| Postmarketing surveillance activities | Medical device tracking MDR MDR event files, records, and written procedures Complaint handling Recall procedure and seizures | Adverse event reporting Vigilance exchange program Enforcement activities Distribution records Audits | Adverse event reporting FSCA and field safety notices Investigations Enforcement Postmarket clinical follow-up Records | Adverse event reporting For importers complaint handling adverse event reporting procedure for distribution of records procedure for recall |
| Medical device tracking | Have established tracking system since 1993 | IMDTS developed recently for tracking of patients with implantable medical devices | AITS developed to investigate the failure modes of the device by assessment of user reports | In labeling provisions, the lot number/batch number for device is mandatory for easy traceability |
| Who need to report AE | Manufacturers, importers, user facilities, users, distributors, and health professionals | Manufacturers, sponsors, users, health professionals, and TGA | Manufacturers, users, health professionals, authorized representatives, and MHRA | Manufacturers only |
| Criteria for reporting | Death or serious injury Device malfunctions User error Injury/illness requiring medical intervention | Event has occurred Medical device's association with the event Event led/might lead to death/ serious injury | Event has occurred Medical device's association with the event Event led/might lead to death/serious injury | Event has occurred Medical device's association with the event Event led/might lead to death/ serious injury |
| Not-reportable incidents/events | Manufacturers can apply for RAE, eg, Erroneous information When other manufacturer makes the device | User-detected deficiencies Root cause of the adverse event is due to the patients' pre- existing condition Exceeded service life of device Likelihood of adverse event is acceptable after risk assessment Side effects clearly identified in the manufacturer's labeling and documented in device master record | User-detected deficiencies Root cause of the adverse event is due to the patients' pre-existing condition Exceeded service life of device Likelihood of adverse event is acceptable after risk assessment Side effects clearly identified in the manufacturer's labeling and documented in device master record | User-detected deficiencies Root cause of the adverse event is due to the patients' preexisting condition Exceeded service life of device Likelihood of adverse event is acceptable after risk assessment Side effects clearly identified in the manufacturer's labeling and documented in device master record |

CONCLUSION

Medical devices equally as medicines, are an important segment for normal functioning of the health system and an integral part of pharmacy practice. We conclude that Implementation of the system of materiovigilance is in accordance with the recommendations of the WHO, EU and specific bodies established for that purpose.