

2nd INTERNATIONAL MEETING AGRISCIENCE & PRACTICE (ASP 2019)

12th April 2019 Stip, Republic of North Macedonia

Chemical composition, antiradical and antimicrobial activity of extracts and cold-pressed edible oils from Macedonian nutty fruits



Sanja Kostadinović Veličkovska* Violeta Dimovska, Fidanka Ilieva, , Ljupco Mihajlov, and Biljana Kovačevič

Faculty of Agriculture, University "Goce Delčev", Krste Misirkov bb, 2000 Štip, Macedonia

e-mail: sanja.kostadinovik@ugd.edu.mk

Introduction

Edible oils are important foodstuff due to their high level of energy, essential polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin-E-active compounds. The daily intake of edible oils decreases the risk of coronary heart diseases, degenerative diseases and cancer. In addition to essential fatty acids and vitamin E, the chemical composition of edible oils from different plants can also include minor amounts of polar components such phenolic components as powerful antioxidants responsible for human health benefits. Although they have a remarkable antioxidant activity, phenolic compounds usually are removed from edible oils during the refining process as thermally unstable compounds [1]. This study aims to investigate bioactive components of the oils in terms of fatty acid composition, vitamin-E-active compounds, phytosterols and total phenolic content.



Materials and Methods

Determination of the fatty acid composition

Capillary gas chromatography was used for determination of fatty acid composition. The procedure of preparation of fatty acid methyl esters included dissolving of 2 drops of each oil dissolved in 1 ml of heptane. Furthermore, 50 µL of sodium methylate with concentration of 2 mol/L was added and solution was homogenizedThe upper phase of solution was inserted in GC vials and fatty acid methyl esters were analyzed by CP7420 Select FAME column, 100 m x 0.25 mm internal diameter with 0.25 µm film thickness. Analyzes were performed on Agilent 6890 equipped with KAS4Plus and FID.

Total phenolic compounds (TPC)

The total phenolic content of oil extracts was determined with Folin–Ciocalteu reagent. The Folin– Ciocalteu reagent was prepared as described by Tamokou et al. For each sample, 50 µL of diluted (1:5) oil extract were added to 750 µL water and 50 µL Folin–Ciocalteu reagent, the solutions with total volume of 850 μ L were incubated in the dark for 5 min.

Table 1. Fatty acid composition of cold pressed edible oils (%).

on type							Fatty A	cid							
						Satura	ted fatty	v acid (SFA	A)						
		C14:0	C16:0		C17:0	(C 18:0	C2	20:0		C22:0		C24:0		
Poppy see	d oil	0.03±0.03 ^a	8.51±0.	.03 ^b	0.05±0.00) ^a 2	2.37±0.01	a 0.1	12±0.00)a	ND ^a]	ND ^a		
Walnut oi	1	ND ^a	5.93±0.	.02 ^a	0.05±0.00) ^a 2	2.34±0.01	.a 0.1	10±0.00) ^a	ND ^a]	ND ^a		
Almond o	il	ND ^a	6.38±0.	.01 ^a	0.06±0.00)a 2	2.82±0.00	^{ab} 0.1	15±0.00)a	0.03±0.00	a]	ND ^a		
Wheat gen bil	rm	0.04±0.01ª	9.29±0.	.03 ^b	0.09±0.00) ^b 3	3.98±0.01	. ^b 1.0)4±0.01	[^b	1.79±0.01	b	1.04±0.00 ¹)	
							Monoun	saturated	fatty a	cid (MU	JFA)				
	C16:1D)9 (C17:1	C18:1 trans 2	C1 2	8:1 trans	51	C18:1 D9		C18:1 D11		C20:1 11		C20:1	C22:1 13
seed oil	0.13	±0.01ª	ND ^a	14.35	5±0.02 ^b	ND ^a		14.35±0.	.02ª	1.06±	0.01 ^b	ND ^a		0.08±0.01 ^a	ND ^a
oil	0.07:	±0.00ª	ND ^a	ND ^a		ND ^a		17.89±0.	.01 ^a	0.78±0	0.00 ^a	ND ^a		0.20±0.00ª	ND ^a
l oil	0.42	±0.01 ^b	0.09±0.00 ^a	ND ^a		ND ^a		67.57±0.	.02 ^c	1.04±0	0.00 ^b	ND ^a		0.07±0.00 ^a	ND ^a
germ oil	0.08:	±0.00 ^a	0.06±0.00 ^a	0.11±	: 0.00 ^a	0.05±0	.00 ^a	38.14±0.	.04 ^b	0.97±0	0.00 ^{ab}	0.04±0).00 ^a	0.82±0.00 ^b	0.07±0.00ª
							Polyuns	saturated f	fatty a	cid (PUI	FA)				
C18	:2	C18:	3 0	C18:2 tran	ns 1 C18	8:3 trans	2 C18	3:3 trans 1	C2	0:2 5,11	C2():2 11,14	C20	:3 5,11,14	
seed oil	72.28	8±0.06 ^d	0.89±0.01ª	ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a	
oil	60.73	3±0.01°	11.74±0.01 ^c	ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a	
l oil	20.90	6±0.01ª	0.39±0.00 ^a	ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a		ND ^a	
germ oil	37.7	1±0.01 ^b	2.23±0.00 ^b	0.64±	:0.01 ^a	0.11±0	.00 ^a	0.54±0.0	0 ^a	0.10±	0 .00 ª	0.07±0).00 ^a	0.06±0.01 ^a	
	Poppy see Walnut oi Almond o Wheat gen bil	Poppy seed oil Walnut oil Almond oil Wheat germ bil	Poppy seed oil $C14:0$ Poppy seed oil 0.03 ± 0.03^a Walnut oil ND ^a Almond oil ND ^a Wheat germ 0.04 ± 0.01^a oil $C16:1D9$ seed oil 0.13 ± 0.01^a oil 0.07 ± 0.00^a d oil 0.42 ± 0.01^b germ oil 0.08 ± 0.00^a C18:2 C18:2 C18:2 C18:2 seed oil 72.28 ± 0.06^d oil 60.73 ± 0.01^c d oil 20.96 ± 0.01^a germ oil 37.71 ± 0.01^b	C14:0 C16:0 Poppy seed oil 0.03 ± 0.03^{a} 8.51 ± 0.03^{a} Walnut oil ND ^a 5.93 ± 0.03^{a} Walnut oil ND ^a 6.38 ± 0.03^{a} Almond oil ND ^a 6.38 ± 0.03^{a} Wheat germ 0.04 ± 0.01^{a} 9.29 ± 0.03^{a} vil	C14:0 C16:0 Poppy seed oil 0.03 ± 0.03^{a} 8.51 ± 0.03^{b} Walnut oil ND ^a 5.93 ± 0.02^{a} Almond oil ND ^a 6.38 ± 0.01^{a} Wheat germ 0.04 ± 0.01^{a} 9.29 ± 0.03^{b} vil	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Samples	TPC assay	DPPH assay for	DPPH assay for	TEAC assay for	
	(mg/L GAE)	(mg of α -tocopherol/L	methanol extracts	methanol extracts	
		oil)	(mg Trolox/L oil)	(mg of Trolox/L oil)	
Almond oil	$558.82 \pm 10.335^{\circ}$	952.93 ± 34.09^{b}	160.30±7.10 ^c	$173.98 \pm 1.565^{\circ}$	
Walnut oil	$524.78 \pm 18.246^{\circ}$	$1191.41 \pm 19.89^{\circ}$	66.69±1.03 ^b	138.83 ± 2.209^{b}	
Poppy seed oil	368.23 ± 17.717^{b}	$792.57\pm4.06^{\mathrm{a}}$	56.47±3.43 ^b	126.46 ± 4.938^{b}	
Wheat germ oil	61.57 ± 3.816^{a}	1418.92 ± 16.01^{d}	27.89±13.61 ^a	86.71 ± 21.069^{a}	

Antimicrobial assays

The samples of four cold-pressed oils were investigated for their "in vitro" antbacterial and antifungal properties using a disk-diffusion method, in Petri dishes. The four oils were tested against antibacterial activity against two Gram-positive bacterial strains: Listeria monocytogenes (ATCC 13076), and *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 49444), and against two Gram-negative bacterial strains: Salmonella enteritidis (ATCC 13076), Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), and against antifungal activity using: Candida albicans (ATCC 10231).



Fatty acid composition

The fatty acid composition of examined oil is presented at Table 1. The most dominant saturated fatty acid in four samples of oils was palmitic acid with levels between 5.93 ± 0.02 and $9.29 \pm 0.03\%$. Regarding unsaturated fatty acid, the most dominant was monounsaturated oleic acid in roasted almond oil with level of $67.57 \pm 0.02\%$ and polyunsaturated linoleic acid with level of 20.96 ± 0.01 . Although walnut oil was coldpressed oil obtained from the same family of nuts, the level of unsaturated fatty acid was significantly different. The polyunsaturated linoleic acid was the most dominant in this oil with abundance of $60.73 \pm 0.01\%$ and oleic acid was present with level of $17.89 \pm 0.01\%$. The results obtained from this oil was in excellent agreement with those published in the work of Tapia et al. According to their findings, the fatty acid Table 2. Total phenolic compounds, DPPH assay for the pure oils, DPPH for methanolic oil extracts and TEAC assay for methanolic oil extract. Macedonia with linoleic acid as the most abundant fatty acid with percentages between 59 and 60.6%. The

second most abundant was oleic acid with level between 12.3 and 16.9%. Poppy seed oil had very similar fatty acid composition with $72.28 \pm 0.06\%$ of linoleic and $14.35 \pm 0.02\%$ of oleic acid. However, wheat germ oil consisted almost equal abundance of oleic and linoleic acid with 38.14 ± 0.04 and $37.71 \pm 0.01\%$ respectively. The significant amount of α -linolenic acid (ALA) was present only in walnut oil with level of 11.74±0.01%. Total phenolic content (TPC) and antiradical potential of cold-pressed oils determinated by DPPH and

Table 3. Antibacterial and antifungal activity (inhibition zone expressed in mm) a of four investigated oils.

	Inhibition zone in diameter (mm)								
Samples	Staphylococcus aureus	Listeria monocytogenes	Salmonella enteritidis	Escherichia coli	Candida albicans				
Almond oil	_	_	_	_	+				
Walnut oil	_	_	_	_	+				
Poppy seed oil	_	+	_	—	++				
Wheat germ oil	_		_	_	_				

ABTS radicals

There is significant impact of total phenolic content on antiradical activity of the examined oils. The methanolic extracts of roasted almond and walnut oil contained the highest amounts of phenolic compounds $(558.82 \pm 10.33 \text{ and } 524.78 \pm 18.24 \text{ mg/L}, \text{ respectively})$. Significantly lower quantity was detected for poppy seed oil $(368.23 \pm 17.71 \text{ mg/L})$ and the lowest total phenolic contents was determinate for wheat germ oil $(61.57 \pm 3.81 \text{ mg/L})$ (Table 2). The antiradical activity of methanol extracts estimated by ABTS radical was in a good correlation with the amount of total phenolic contents ($R^2 = 0.8789$). As can be seen from the Table 5, the highest scavenger ability had the methanol extracts of roasted almond and walnut oil (173.98 \pm 1.56 mg and 138.83 ± 2.20 mg Trolox/L of oil, respectively). Following the trend of the results estimated using TPC assay, lower scavenger ability than almond and walnut oils had poppy seed oil with 126.46 \pm 4.93 mg Trolox/L of oil. The lowest antiradical capacity had wheat germ oil of 86.71 \pm 21.06 mg Trolox/L of oil. However, if we compare the data from Table 5, we can notice that although poppy seed oil had approximately 30% less total phenolic content in comparison to walnut oil, the antiradical activity of their methanolic extracts by two radicals is very similar.

Antibacterial and antifungal tests

The results from antibacterial and antifungal activity of the oils are presented in Table 3. Although coldpressed poppy seed oil had the lowest level of Vitamin-E-active compounds and the lowest antiradical activity measured by DPPH assay, it looks that this oil is the most interested as microbiologically active oil which indicated low antibacterial activity against gram positive bacteria *Listeria monocytogenes* and moderate antifungal activity against Candida albicans. Furthermore, cold-pressed almond and walnut oil showed low antifungal activity against Candida albicans. None of four cold pressed oils showed activity against grampositive bacterial strain Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 49444), and two gram-negative bacterial strains Salmonella enteritidis (ATCC 13076) and Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922).

Reference

Kostadinovic Velickovska Sanja, Naumova Galaba, Cocevska Maja, Bruhl Ludger, Silaghi-Dumitrescu Radu, Mirhosseini Hamed, Ilieva Fidanka, Mihajlov Ljupco, Dimovska Violeta, Kovacevik Biljana, Gulaboski Rubin. Matthäus, Bertrand (2018) Effect of bioactive compounds on antiradical and antimicrobial activity of extracts and cold-pressed edible oils from nutty fruits from Macedonia. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization, 23(4), 2545-2552.