

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

Institute of Knowledge Management

# KNOWLEDGE



**Vol. 26. 2.**  
**Scientific Papers**

**EDUCATION**



**KIJ**

**Vol. 26**

**No. 2**

**pp. 405 - 708**

**Skopje 2018**



**KNOWLEDGE**



***INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL***  
***SCIENTIFIC PAPERS***  
***VOL. 26.2.***

*September, 2018*



**INSTITUTE OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT**

**SKOPJE, MACEDONIA**



# **KNOWLEDGE**

**International Journal Scientific papers Vol. 26.2.**

## **ADVISORY BOARD**

Vlado Kambovski PhD, Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Siniša Zarić PhD, Maria Kavdanska PhD, Venelin Terziev PhD, Mirjana Borota – Popovska PhD, Cezar Birzea PhD, Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Aleksandar Nikolovski PhD, Veselin Videv PhD, Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Savo Ashtalkoski PhD, Svetlana Trajković PhD, Zivota Radosavljević PhD, Lasta Spasovski PhD, Mersad Mujevic PhD, Nonka Mateva PhD, Rositsa Chobanova PhD, Predrag Trajković PhD, Dzulijana Tomovska PhD, Nedzat Koraljić PhD, Nebojsa Pavlović PhD, Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Baki Koleci PhD, Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Trajce Dojcinovski PhD, Jana Merdzanova PhD, Zoran Srzentić PhD, Nikolai Sashkov Cankov PhD, Marija Kostic PhD

**Print:** GRAFOPROM – Bitola

**Editor:** IKM – Skopje

### **Editor in chief**

Robert Dimitrovski, PhD

**KNOWLEDGE - International Journal Scientific Papers Vol. 26.2.**

**ISSN 1857-923X** (for e-version)

**ISSN 2545 – 4439** (for printed version)



## SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

**President:** Academic, Prof. Vlado Kambovski PhD, Skopje (Macedonia)

**Vice presidents:**

Prof. Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Institute of Knowledge Management, Skopje (Macedonia)

Prof. Sinisa Zanic, PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade (Serbia)

Prof. Venelin Terziev PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)

Prof. Mersad Mujevic PhD, Public Procurement Administration of Montenegro (Montenegro)

**Members:**

- Prof. Aleksandar Nikolovski PhD, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Aleksandar Korablev PhD, Dean, Faculty for economy and management, Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Saint Petersburg (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Azra Adjajlic – Dedovic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Antoanela Hristova PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Anita Trajkovska PhD, Rochester University (USA)
- Prof. Anka Trajkovska-Petkoska PhD, UKLO, Faculty of technology and technical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Alisabri Sabani PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Ahmad Zakeri PhD, University of Wolver Hampton, (United Kingdom)
- Prof. Ana Dzumalievva PhD, South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Sotirov PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Boshkovic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Branimir Kampl PhD, Institute SANO, Zagreb (Croatia)
- Prof. Baki Koleci PhD, University Hadzi Zeka, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Branislav Simonovic PhD, Faculty of Law, Kragujevac (Serbia)
- Prof. Bistra Angelovska, Faculty of Medicine, University “Goce Delcev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Cezar Birzea, PhD, National School for Political and Administrative Studies, Bucharest (Romania)
- Prof. Cvetko Andreevski, Dean, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Drago Cvijanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Dusan Ristic, PhD Emeritus, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dimitar Radev, PhD, Rector, University of Telecommunications and Post, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Daniela Todorova PhD, Rector of “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dragan Kokovic PhD, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dragan Marinkovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Daniela Ivanova Popova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dzulijana Tomovska, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)

- Prof. Evgenia Penkova-Pantaleeva PhD, UNWE -Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Georgi Georgiev PhD, National Military University “Vasil Levski”, Veliko Trnovo (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Helmut Shramke PhD, former Head of the University of Vienna Reform Group (Austria)
- Prof. Hristina Georgieva Yancheva, PhD, Rector, Agricultural University, Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Hristo Beloev PhD, Bulgarian Academy of Science, Rector of the University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Hristina Milcheva, Medical college, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Izet Zeqiri, PhD, Academic, SEEU, Tetovo (Macedonia)
- Prof. Ivan Marchevski, PhD, Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Igor Stubelj, PhD, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Prof. Ivan Petkov PhD, Rector, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Isa Spahiu PhD, AAB University, Prishtina (Kosovo)
- Prof. Ivana Jelik PhD, University of Podgorica, Faculty of Law, Podgorica (Montenegro)
- Prof. Islam Hasani PhD, Kingston University (Bahrein)
- Prof. Jova Ateljevic PhD, Faculty of Economy, University of Banja Luka, (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Jove Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO , Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Jonko Kunchev PhD, University „Cernorizec Hrabar“ - Varna (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Jelena Stojanovic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof Karl Schopf, PhD, Akademie fur wissenschaftliche forchung und studium, Wien (Austria)
- Prof. Katerina Belichovska, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Krasimir Petkov, PhD, National Sports Academy “Vassil Levski”, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Kamal Al-Nakib PhD, College of Business Administration Department, Kingdom University (Bahrain)
- Prof. Lidija Tozi PhD, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Laste Spasovski PhD, Vocational and educational centre, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Lujza Grueva, PhD, Faculty of Medical Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Global Vice President of Sun Moon University (Albania)
- Prof. Lence Mircevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Economics, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Ljupce Kocovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marusya Lyubcheva PhD, University “Prof. Asen Zlatarov”, Member of the European Parliament, Burgas (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Maria Kavdanska PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Maja Lubenova Cholakova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Mirjana Borota-Popovska, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)



- Prof. Mihail Garevski, PhD, Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Seismology, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Misho Hristovski PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Mitko Kotovchevski, PhD, Faculty of Philosophy, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Milan Radosavljevic PhD, Dean, Faculty of strategic and operational management, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Marija Topuzovska-Latkovikj, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Knezevic PhD, Academic, Banja Luka, (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Prof. Margarita Bogdanova PhD, D.A.Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Mahmut Chelik PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Mandaric PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Mustafa Kacar PhD, Euro College, Istanbul (Turkey)
- Prof. Marina Simin PhD, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Miladin Kalinic, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Mitre Stojanovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Miodrag Smelcerovic PhD, High Technological and Artistic Vocational School, Leskovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Nadka Kostadinova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nenad Taneski PhD, Military Academy “Mihailo Apostolski”, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Nevenka Tatkovic PhD, Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Pula (Croatia)
- Prof. Natalija Kirejenko PhD, Faculty For economic and Business, Institute of Entrepreneurial Activity, Minsk (Belarus)
- Prof. Nikolay Georgiev PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Faculty of Music, SEU - Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nedzat Korajlic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Nishad M. Navaz PhD, Kingdom University (India)
- Prof. Oliver Iliev PhD, Faculty of Communication and IT, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Oliver Dimitrijevic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Paul Sergius Koku, PhD, Florida State University, Florida (USA)
- Prof. Primoz Dolenc, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Prof. Predrag Trajkovic PhD, JMPNT, Vranje (Serbia)
- Prof. Petar Kolev PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Pere Tumbas PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Novi Sad, Subotica (Serbia)
- Prof. Rade Ratkovic PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
- Prof. Rositsa Chobanova PhD, University of Telecommunications and Posts, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Valcovski PhD, Imunolab Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Stefanov PhD, Dean, Faculty of public health, Medical University of Plovdiv

- (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Sasho Korunoski, Rector, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
  - Prof. Sashko Plachkov PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Sreten Miladinoski, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Law, MIT University (Skopje)
  - Prof. Snezhana Lazarevic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
  - Prof. Stojan Ivanov Ivanov PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Snezana Stoilova, PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
  - Prof. Stojna Ristevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
  - Prof. Suzana Pavlovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
  - Prof. Sandra Zivanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
  - Prof. Shyqeri Kabashi, College “Biznesi”, Prishtina (Kosovo)
  - Prof. Trayan Popkochev PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Todor Krystevich, Vice Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Todorka Atanasova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
  - Doc. Tatyana Sobolieva PhD, State Higher Education Establishment Vadiym Getman Kiyev National Economic University, Kiyev (Ukraine)
  - Prof. Tzako Pantaleev PhD, NBUniversity , Sofia (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Tosko Krstev PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Tihomir Domazet PhD, President of the Croatian Institute for Finance and Accounting, Zagreb (Croatia)
  - Prof. Violeta Dimova PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
  - Prof. Volodymyr Denysyuk, PhD, Dobrov Center for Scientific and Technological Potential and History studies at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Ukraine)
  - Prof. Valentina Staneva PhD, “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Vladimir Lazarov PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Vasil Zecev PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
  - Prof. Venus Del Rosario PhD, Arab Open University (Philippines)
  - Prof. Yuri Doroshenko PhD, Dean, Faculty of Economics and Management, Belgorod (Russian Federation)
  - Prof. Zlatko Pejkov, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
  - Prof. Zivota Radosavljevik PhD, Dean, Faculty FORCUP, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
  - Prof. Zorka Jugovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)

### **EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD**

Each paper is reviewed by the editor and, if it is judged suitable for this publication, it is then sent to two referees for double blind peer review.

The editorial review board is consisted of 45 members, full professors in the fields 1) Natural and mathematical sciences, 2) Technical and technological sciences, 3) Medical sciences and Health, 4) Biotechnical sciences, 5) Social sciences, and 6) Humanities from all the Balkan countries and the region.



**Contents**

ESTABLISHMENT AND FUNCTIONALITY OF THE SYSTEM OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHERS IN REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA .....	421
Sonja Petrovska.....	421
Despina Sivevska .....	421
Jadranka Runceva .....	421
IMPROVEMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION AS A FACTOR OF COMPETITIVENESS IN SERBIA .....	427
Tanja Stanišić.....	427
Marija Kostić .....	427
FAMILY-SCHOOL RELATIONSHIP IN BULGARIA: TWO POINTS OF VIEW .....	433
Bistra Mizova.....	433
Boncho Gospodinov.....	433
Siyka Chavdarova-Kostova .....	433
PROACTIVE ENVIRONMENT IN PRE-SCHOOL AND SCHOOL INSTITUTIONS (Monitoring and evaluation of the activities under the project "Municipality of Blagoevgrad - a place for ethno-innovation - OBMEN").....	439
Sofiya Dermendzhieva.....	439
Veska Vardareva.....	439
Violin Vasilev .....	439
HIDDEN CURRICULUM OR THE POWER OF THE SIDE EFFECTS IN SCHOOL EDUCATION .....	447
Silvia Varbanova.....	447
SCHOOL PEDAGOGIST – THE CREATOR AND THE CORE OF THE PROFESSIONAL EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE SCHOOL.....	451
Snezana Jovanova – Mitkovska .....	451
Biljana Popeska.....	451
STUDY OF THE MOTIVES FOR CHOICE AND RETENTION IN THE TEACHING PROFESSION OF MASTER AND POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS .....	459
Nadezhda Kaloyanova .....	459
STUDY ON STUDENT TEACHERS’ EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL ATTITUDES AND EXPECTATIONS CONCERNING THEIR PRACTICAL TRAINING.....	467
Evgenia Topolska.....	467
Svetlana Angelova .....	467
PEDAGOGICAL PARADIGM OF THE SCHOOL IN THE FUTURE.....	475
Tamara Stojanović-Đorđević .....	475
Vojislav Ilić.....	475
Andrijana Šikl-Erski .....	475
WHAT ARE THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF BEING AN UNIVERSITY TEACHER .....	481
Jadranka Runceva .....	481
PRECONDITONS FOR SUCCESSFUL MENTORING IN THE COURSE OF TRAINING TEACHERS AND INTRODUCING THEM TO THE PROFESSION .....	487
Marinella Mihova.....	487
Darinka Kostadinova .....	487
PROACTIVE EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT FOR LEARNING ACTIVITY AT THE STUDENTS - FUTURE PEDAGOGISTS .....	495

Iliya Stoychev Lassin.....	495
Biserka Angelova.....	495
SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT - KEY THESES IN SEARCH OF CHANGE .....	501
Iliyan Rizov.....	501
WHAT PARENTS EXPECT FROM KINDERGARTEN FOR THEIR KIDS .....	507
Mariana Mincheva - Rizova.....	507
POLITICAL AND RELIGIOUS POWER IN PRIMARY EDUCATION.....	515
Jovan Bazić.....	515
Bojana Sekulić .....	515
ATRIBUTIVE FUNCTIONS OF SPEECH ACTIVITY THE CHILDHOOD TEACHER FOR STIMULATING THE SOCIAL COMPETENCE IN THE CHILD AT PRE-SCHOOL AGE .....	521
Gergana Dyankova.....	521
Sofia Dermendzchieva .....	521
INDUCTION INTO THE TEACHING PROFESSION FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF BEGINNING TEACHERS.....	527
Darinka Kostadinova .....	527
Larissa Gruncheva .....	527
CHECKLIST FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE PREVENTION OF AGRESSION IN CHILDREN OF PRIMARY SCHOOL .....	535
Vesela Ivanova Bozhkova.....	535
CONDITIONS FOR IMPLEMENTING HEALTH EDUCATION IN EARLY CHILDHOOD.....	541
G. Markova .....	541
G. Petrova .....	541
APPLICATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES IN MULTICULTURAL EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT .....	545
Maya Sotirova.....	545
MODELING PROACTIVE ENVIRONMENT FOR MASTERING TECHNOLOGY TO SOLVE INVENTIVE PROBLEMS BY STUDENTS.....	551
Mariana Balabanova .....	551
PRINCIPLES OF MULTIMEDIA LEARNING .....	557
Siniša Tomić .....	557
Dalibor Drljača.....	557
STUDY THE OPINION OF PHARMACY STUDENTS ON ELECTRONIC LEARNING IN MOODLE .....	565
Anna Mihaylova.....	565
Petar Uchikov.....	565
Radiana Staynova.....	565
ПОЛУЧЕНИЕ ВЫСШЕГО ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ СТУДЕНТОВ С ОСОБЫМИ ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНЫМИ ПОТРЕБНОСТЯМИ ПОСРЕДСТВОМ ДИСТАНЦИОННОГО ОБУЧЕНИЯ .....	569
Мовкебаева Зульфия Ахметвалиевна.....	569
Дерижан Ицка Михайлова .....	569
Хамитова Дана Сункарбековна .....	569
EXPERIMENTAL DIDACTICAL OPTIONS FOR THE CREATIVITY OF STUDENTS OF 3-4 CLASS IN COMPULSORY TEACHING HOURS OF TECHNOLOGY AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP.....	575

Elka Valcheva .....	575
EDUCATIONAL POLICY IN BULGARIA BETWEEN 1878 - 1944 .....	583
Mariela Todorova-Koleva.....	583
EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM IN THE REPUBLIC OF SERBIA.....	589
Radomir Arsić.....	589
VALUE OF KNOWLEDGE - POSSIBLE MISCONCEPTIONS .....	595
Vesna Pavlović.....	595
Slobodan Despić .....	595
THE TRAINING IN BULGARIAN LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE IN IV GRADE – A FACTOR FOR THE FORMATION OF KEY COMPETENCIES FOR PUPILS .....	603
Iva Andonova Stamenova.....	603
TEACHING ENGLISH IN A MULTICULTURAL CLASSROOM.....	609
Natka Jankova Alagjovska.....	609
TEACHING GRAMMAR IN ESP CLASSES .....	615
Lindita Skenderi.....	615
Suzana Ejupi .....	615
CRITICAL AWARENESS AND CLASSROOM STRATEGIES IN ESP CLASSES.....	621
Edita Bekteshi .....	621
IMPROVING THE PROCESS OF READING FOR A SUCCESSFUL UNDERSTANDING OF THE READ.....	625
Irena Kitanova.....	625
METHODOLOGY OF LEARNING OF ADDING AND SUBTRACTING NUMBERS UP TO 20 IN THE NEW BULGARIAN MATHEMATICS FOR THE FIRST GRADE.....	629
Gabriela Kirova.....	629
CREATIVE WORK WITH TEXT TASKS IN EDUCATION IN MATHEMATICS IN GRADES 1.-4.....	637
Maria Temnikova.....	637
APPLICATIONS OF THE FREE MATHEMATICAL SOFTWARE IN PRIMARY AND IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS .....	643
Ilhan Istikbal Ibryam.....	643
Byulent Mustafa Mustafa.....	643
Atti Rashtid Mustafa.....	643
THE STUDENT EDUCATION PORTFOLIO IN THE DIDACTICS OF CHEMISTRY .....	649
Petinka Galcheva .....	649
GROUP DYNAMIC DEMONSTRATION WITH GRAPHIC MATERIALS AND TECHNIQUES ....	655
Petya Uzunova .....	655
DIDACTIC SUPPORTS FOR SITUATING THE GEOGRAPHIC TRAINING OF BULGARIA .....	663
Stella Dermendzhieva .....	663
Tamara Draganova.....	663
PICTORIAL PRINCIPLES IN BUILDING AMPLITUDE OF STUDENTS OF ROMA ORIGIN .....	671
Vanya Godzhelova.....	671
STILL LIFE IN ART TRAINING- DRAWING PRINCIPLES, MATERIALS AND TECHNIQUES ..	679
Petya Uzunova .....	679
INTERCULTURAL EDUCATION IN TEACHING MUSIC AT YOUNGER SCHOOL AGE .....	687
Andjelka Kovač .....	687
Biljana M. Pavlović .....	687

INSTANTANEOUS READING OF A MUSICAL TEXT - A PREREQUISITE FOR THE OPTIMAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE FUTURE TEACHERS IN MUSIC .....	691
Iliana Chervenakova .....	691
ENSEMBLE PLAYNG – A KEY APPROACH TO PIANO TRAINING FOR PEOPLE WITH SPECIAL EDUCATIONAL NEEDS .....	695
Evgeniya Tagareva.....	695
BEGINNING OF BULGARIAN CHILDREN’S CHORAL SONG.....	703
Ekaterina Georgieva.....	703



## TEACHING ENGLISH IN A MULTICULTURAL CLASSROOM

**Natka Jankova Alagjovska**

FON University, Skopje, Macedonia, natka.jankova@fon.edu.mk

**Abstract:** This paper will be concerned with multiculturalism in English language teaching as an important component in education. Multicultural education as an idea has a major goal to reform the educational institutions in order to find a way for all the students no matter their gender, race, ethnicity, language or cultural group to have an equal opportunity to learn and study at school. A multicultural classroom consists of students from different cultures which are supposed to form a diverse learning environment. In order to accomplish a relaxing environment the teacher should possess skills, creativity and knowledge. Multicultural education as a whole process should be infused into the school programs in order to achieve equal rights for every student. In order to accomplish that, students should be educated in terms of intercultural communication i.e. they should learn how to respond to different cultures and ethnicities and intercultural communication will teach them how to respect and understand the diverse cultures. If teachers become aware that language skills and knowledge need to be connected to the intercultural competence, students will feel that energy also and will show much better results. That is the reason why the intercultural competence is essential in achieving goals on an international level and more precisely by implementing intercultural competence in education a bigger cultural awareness can be attained. Approaches to multicultural education will be discussed in details and finally some strategies for developing multicultural lessons will be proposed.

**Keywords:** multiculturalism, education, culture, language, intercultural communication.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Foreign language teaching and learning has gone through major changes over the past years. Replacing the old grammar-translation approach with the communicative and audio-lingual method and the practices of real-life situations, most of the educators have moved from pedagogy to language acquisition and learning. According to the theory of Stephen Krashen (1958), the unconscious development of the target language system happens because of the usage of the language for real communication (p.1). From here, learning would be related to the conscious representation of grammatical knowledge and non-spontaneous processes. Thus, students who read literature books can acquire better communicative and linguistic competence. Speaking about English language teaching, students become aware of certain culture very often through literature texts. Using literature texts are not so useful if only taken as tools to improve students' knowledge in the language. As Corbett (2003) posits, the primary aim of the foreign language teacher is to help students understand texts in a foreign language and to cope with, mediate between or even enter into 'cultural texts' (if seen as social practices).

In *Multidimensional Model of Intercultural Competence* Byram (1997) puts an emphasis on the attitude and uses words such as openness and curiosity to explain his conviction that an individual must remain open to learning about new beliefs, values and worldviews in order to participate in relationships of equality (Moeller and Nugent, 2014). Because culture is who we are and how we live and this process is changeable, those changes bring new challenges in the society. Globalization, migration and the mingling of cultures in one place or community has made this world highly multicultural. Globalization brings the need to interact and have different kinds of relationships with people from different cultures. It creates a new challenge for people who are to cross the borders of the countries altogether with their cultural boundaries.

### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW


Multicultural education as an idea has a major goal to reform the educational institutions in order to find a way for all the students no matter their gender, race, ethnicity, language or cultural group to have an equal opportunity to learn and study at school. According to Bennett (2003), "Multicultural Education is an approach to teaching and learning that is based upon democratic values and beliefs and that affirms cultural pluralism within culturally diverse societies in an interdependent world" (p.14). Individuals are able to see and observe the conduct of others through their own perception and perception is very often shaped by culture. Gorski (2001) defines multicultural education as a transformative movement in education that produces critically thinking, socially active members of society. It is not simply a change of curriculum or the addition of an activity. It is a movement that calls for new attitudes, new

approaches, and a new dedication to laying the foundation for the transformation of society (p.1). Multiculturalism actually influences how individuals interpret things. If an individual accepts and values different cultures without having certain prejudice about them it does not mean that they are happy with that. Banks (1999) defines multicultural education as an idea and educational reform movement, and a process whose major goal is to change the structure of educational institutions so that male and female students, exceptional students, and students who are members of diverse, racial, ethnic and cultural groups will have an equal chance to achieve academically in school.

Along with the different definitions the conclusion will be that multicultural education is a whole process which should be infused into the school programs in order to achieve equal rights for every student. In order to accomplish that, students should be educated in terms of intercultural communication i.e. they should learn how to respond to different cultures and ethnicities and intercultural communication will teach them how to respect and understand the diverse cultures.

### 3. APPROACHES TO MULTICULTURAL CURRICULUM

A famous scholar in this field is James A. Banks. He is regarded as one of the founders of the discipline of multicultural education. Banks states that, “a major goal of multicultural education is to change teaching and learning approaches so that students of both genders and from diverse cultural, ethnic, and language groups will have equal opportunities to learn in educational institutions” (2013, p. 10). He points out that citizens need multicultural education in order to enter into the dialogue with fellow citizens and future citizens.



**Figure 1 – Banks' model of multicultural education**

As shown in Figure 1, he has established four approaches to the integration of ethnic content in order to break the barriers of stereotypes and any kind of discrimination of the students and teachers. The approaches are: the contributions approach, the ethnic additive approach, the transformative approach and decision-making approach (Banks, 1988):

- the contributions approach

This is one of the most popular way to implement multiculturalism in education. This approach marks the addition of ethnic heroes in the study program whereas the curriculum is unchanged in terms of objectives and goals. It is usually connected to famous ethnic days, weeks or events and are usually celebrated in schools. As Banks (2010) points out "an important characteristic of the contributions approach is that the mainstream curriculum remains unchanged in its basic structure, goals and salient characteristics" (p. 246). During these events students are usually involved in the lessons, sharing their experiences and stories. Unfortunately, from these lessons the class students usually learn little or nothing about the ethnic groups before or after the special event or occasion.

- the ethnic additive approach

This is an approach with integration of ethnic content to the curriculum and is characterized by the addition of a book, a unit, or a course to the curriculum without making any serious change. This method gives freedom to the teacher to insert ethnic content into the curriculum without restructuring it, which takes substantial time, effort, training, and rethinking of the curriculum. According to Banks (2010), the disadvantage of this approach is that it usually results in the viewing of ethnic content from the perspective of some mainstream historians, writers, artists, and does not involve a restructuring of the curriculum but the teacher chooses the materials they consider appropriate.

- the transformative approach

This approach is a lot different than the previous ones. Here the basic goal of the curriculum is changed and students are able to view concepts, issues, themes, and problems from different points of views. In this approach the various perspectives, frames of reference, and content from various groups are infused in the curriculum and in that way students will understand the nature, development, and complexity of other cultures' societies. This means that for example "when studying U.S. history language, music, arts, science, and mathematics, the emphasis should not be on the ways in which various ethnic and cultural groups have contributed to mainstream U.S. society and culture but it should be focused on how the common U.S. culture and society emerged from a complex synthesis and interaction of the diverse cultural elements that originated within the various cultural, racial, religious groups that make up the U.S. society" (Banks, 2010:252).

- decision-making and social action approach

If the traditional goal of schooling had been to socialize students so they would accept unquestioningly the existing ideologies, institutions, and practices within society (Banks, 2004; Arthur, Davies, & Hahn, 2008), today's new approaches such as the social action approach has an aim to educate students for social criticism and social change in order to teach them how to make decisions. This approach combines all the elements of previous approach and adds components that ask students to make decisions and take actions related to issue they have previously learned in the lecture. Students in this approach can address some social problems such as racial discrimination and they can think about the question "What actions should we take to reduce prejudice and discrimination in our school?" The most important aims of this approach is to teach students to think, analyze, collect materials and help them get a sense of decision making and political efficiency.

Other scholars who are leaders in this field besides Banks are Sleeter, Grant and Gay. Sleeter and Grant (1988) came up with the following ways of introducing multiculturalism:

- teaching students from different cultures to fit into the society;
- human relations that focus on diverse people living together in harmony;
- studies for single groups that will focus on showing respect, awareness and acceptance of that particular group;
- finding ways to reduce prejudice, equality for all groups in the society;
- multicultural way of teaching whereas students will be analyzers, critical thinkers and also some kind of reformers who will redistribute all resources among every group.

In spite of combining already set up approaches Gay(1988) generalized three main approaches: teaching content about cultural pluralism, teaching culturally different students, and using cultural pluralism to teach other academic subjects and intellectual skills. Teaching content about cultural pluralism is content-centered, with an emphasis on developing units of instruction (lessons, modules, courses) about the history, heritage, contributions, and social issues of ethnic groups (Gay, 1988).

#### **4. STRATEGIES FOR DEVELOPING MULTICULTURAL LESSONS**

A multicultural classroom consists of students from different cultures which are supposed to form a diverse learning environment. In order to accomplish a relaxing environment the teacher should possess skills, creativity and knowledge. However, teachers should not be blamed if they lack knowledge in this field because it is not known whether they have access to training programs of intercultural communication and multiculturalism. Recently, in our country multi-ethnic integration was introduced as optional and there are teachers who have just started implementing these lessons and some of them find it very difficult because they do not have the knowledge, the needed resources and clear directives of how to implement multicultural content.

The above mentioned approaches to multicultural education are proved to be effective and should be studied and implemented. Below, I will provide some tips for implementing multicultural content during the English language lessons:

- Role-play of interesting situations

This can be an interesting activity where students can express their own opinion about a real-life situation. For example if they are told to make up a role-play that shows how they perceive English people this will allow students to invent their own scenario and show their feelings and perceptions about British people. Thus, by organizing a role play activity in the class the teacher can raise some questions related to British and American culture, ask them to

compare it to their own culture or explain some history topics that are probably difficult to explain them in regular lessons.

- Access to literatures of different cultures

Because the main aim of multicultural education is to help students recognize the differences and similarities among themselves this means that if students are exposed to literatures from French authors for example, they can make a research about the author's life and his culture. When students learn about different customs, languages and traditions they also share some common traits. Learning about cultures makes them realize that people can be different and unique, yet still share a lot of common things and will help students to develop cultural awareness i.e. acceptance of differences. These realizations assist students to accept and respect people from all cultures and backgrounds.

- Sharing knowledge about the students' cultures and origins

For a successful multicultural classroom setting the most fundamental thing is gathering information of various cultures. The educators should use the lessons as an opportunity to learn about the origin of the students. Information of the conventions, convictions, values, traditions, food habits, financial status and so forth helps in understanding the students more profoundly. This information should then be shared with the classmates during the learning process. Students ought to be urged to make inquiries that will help them learn more about other people who have an origin different from their own. The teachers may find out about different societies and afterward deliberately consolidate them in the learning experience. Finally, students from different ethnicities might be offered chances to talk about and share the experience they have from their culture.

- Learning in groups with cooperation

Subsequent to making the kids acknowledge contrasts and similarities among classmates the following stage for the educator ought to be to support helpful learning of different cultures. The atmosphere of the classroom should help students from other ethnicities in creating collaboration and social attitudes. The general thought behind the agreeable learning atmosphere is that making little heterogeneous groups of students have the goal to learn the different patterns of social communication. By doing this, the students become more motivated to study and produce stronger connections than they would do by working alone. Group work helps students concentrate on different styles and strategies of learning. It is known that cooperative groups have solid beneficial outcomes especially when speaking about the classmates' relationships between students from different backgrounds.

- Positive and negative team debate on different topics

Group discussions stimulate thinking. It is an excellent strategy for enhancing student motivation, fostering intellectual ability and encouraging democratic habits. By creating the positive and negative teams, students still work in groups and look for positive or negative arguments from books or the internet and thus provide opportunities for students to practice numerous skills including the ability to search, organize and defend positions and consider different point of views. In such debate students deal with different ideas and should find a way to consolidate and choose the best ideas and come up with original conclusions and this becomes a challenging task in the end. Hence, the teachers are supposed to make use of discussions on even sensitive cultural topics which can initiate a discussion and help develop students questioning power, in depth analysis of situation and provoke them to express their opinions and thoughts of the topic.

## 5. CONCLUSION

When students enter an intercultural classroom, the teachers must guide their students and describe the environment and when this happens than the learning is learner-centered, engaging, interactive, participatory and cooperative. It is very important to point out that nowadays teachers are not expected to do the drilling and transmit information to students but they have to turn the learners into researchers, analyzers learn them to think critically and express their opinions through authentic texts, multimedia materials and in this way the environment is going to be a learning environment where knowledge, opinions and new values are to be shared. If teachers become aware that language skills and knowledge need to be connected to the intercultural competence, students will feel that energy also and will show much better results. That is the reason why the intercultural competence is essential in achieving goals on an international level and more precisely by implementing intercultural competence in education a bigger cultural awareness can be attained.

A multicultural classroom consists of students from different cultures which are supposed to form a diverse learning environment. In order to accomplish a relaxing environment the teacher should possess skills, creativity and

knowledge. However, teachers should not be blamed if they lack knowledge in this field because it is not known whether they have access to training programs of intercultural communication and multiculturalism.

#### LITERATURE

- [1] Krashen, S. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications*. Harlow: Longman.
- [2] Corbett, J. (2003). *An intercultural approach to English language teaching*. Clevedon, England: Multilingual Matters.
- [3] Byram, M. (1997). Language learners' perceptions of a foreign culture: The teacher's role Online Submission for ERIC, 1-7
- [4] Aleidine J. Moeller and Nugent, Kristen. (2014). *Unlock the Gateway to Communication Building intercultural competence in the language classroom*: University of Nebraska-Lincoln,
- [5] Bennet, M.J. (2003). *Towards Ethnorelativism: A developmental model of intercultural sensitivity*. In R.M. Paige (Ed.) *Education for the intercultural experience*. Yoarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press.
- [6] Gorski, P.C. (2001). *Mission and purpose*. St. Paul, MN: Ed Change Multicultural Pavilion. Available at: [www.edchange.org/multicultural/mission.html](http://www.edchange.org/multicultural/mission.html).
- [7] Banks, J. and Banks, C. (2010). *Multicultural education*. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley.
- [8] Banks and Banks, eds. (2013). *Multicultural Education, 'Multicultural Education: Characteristics and Goals', 'Culture, Teaching and Learning'* (John Wiley & Sons).
- [9] Banks, J. (1993). *Multicultural Education: Development, Dimensions, and Challenges*. *The Phi Delta Kappan*, Vol. 75, No 1 (Sep, 1993), pp.22-28
- [10] Banks, J. (2002) *Cultural Diversity and Education: Foundations, Curriculum, and Teaching* (4th
- [11] Banks, J. and Banks, C. (2010). *Multicultural education*. Hoboken, N.J.: Wiley.
- [12] Bennett, M. J. (1986). *A Developmental Approach to Training for Intercultural Sensitivity*. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 10(2):179-195.
- [13] Banks and Banks, eds. (2013). *Multicultural Education, 'Multicultural Education: Characteristics and Goals', 'Culture, Teaching and Learning'* (John Wiley & Sons).
- [14] Sleeter, C.E. (1988). *Empowerment through Multicultural Education*. New York: SUNY Press.

