

UDK 37

ISSN 2545 - 4439

ISSN 1857 - 923X

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

Institute of Knowledge Management

KNOWLEDGE



Scientific Papers

MEDICAL SCIENCES AND HEALTH

Vol. 30. 4.

KIJ

Vol. 30

No. 4

pp. 707 - 1084

Skopje 2019

Global Impact & Quality Factor 1.822 (2017) <http://globalimpactfactor.com/knowledge-international-journal/>

KNOWLEDGE



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

SCIENTIFIC PAPERS
VOL.30.4

Promoted in Vrnjacka Banja, Serbia
March, 2019



KNOWLEDGE

International Journal Scientific papers Vol. 30.4

ADVISORY BOARD

Vlado Kambovski PhD, Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Siniša Zarić PhD, Maria Kavdanska PhD, Venelin Terziev PhD, Mirjana Borota – Popovska PhD, Cezar Birzea PhD, Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Veselin Videv PhD, Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Savo Ashtalkoski PhD, Zivota Radosavljević PhD, Laste Spasovski PhD, Mersad Mujevic PhD, Nonka Mateva PhD, Rositsa Chobanova PhD, Predrag Trajković PhD, Dzulijana Tomovska PhD, Nedžad Korajlić PhD, Nebojsa Pavlović PhD, Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Baki Koleci PhD, Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Trajce Dojcinovski PhD, Jana Merdzanova PhD, Zoran Srzentić PhD, Nikolai Sashkov Cankov PhD, Marija Kostic PhD

Print: GRAFOPROM – Bitola

Editor: IKM – Skopje

Editor in chief

Robert Dimitrovski, PhD

KNOWLEDGE - International Journal Scientific Papers Vol. 30.4

ISSN 1857-923X (for e-version)

ISSN 2545 – 4439 (for printed version)

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD

President: Academic, Prof. Vlado Kambovski PhD, Skopje (Macedonia)

Vice presidents:

Prof. Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Institute of Knowledge Management, Skopje (Macedonia)

Prof. Sinisa Zaric, PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade (Serbia)

Prof. Venelin Terziev PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)

Prof. Mersad Mujevic PhD, Public Procurement Administration of Montenegro (Montenegro)

Prof. Tihomir Domazet PhD, President of the Croatian Institute for Finance and Accounting, Zagreb (Croatia)

Members:

- Prof. Aleksandar Korablev PhD, Dean, Faculty for economy and management, Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Saint Petersburg (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Azra Adjajlic – Dedovic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Anita Trajkovska PhD, Rochester University (USA)
- Prof. Anka Trajkovska-Petkoska PhD, UKLO, Faculty of technology and technical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Alisabri Sabani PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Ahmad Zakeri PhD, University of Wolverhampton, (United Kingdom)
- Prof. Ana Dzumalieva PhD, South-West University “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Aziz Pollozhani PhD, Rector, University Mother Teresa, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Branko Sotirov PhD, University of Rousse, Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Boshkovic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Branimir Kampl PhD, Institute SANO, Zagreb (Croatia)
- Prof. Baki Koleci PhD, University Hadzi Zeka, Peja (Kosovo)
- Prof. Branislav Simonovic PhD, Faculty of Law, Kragujevac (Serbia)
- Prof. Bistra Angelovska, Faculty of Medicine, University “Goce Delcev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Cezar Birzea, PhD, National School for Political and Administrative Studies, Bucharest (Romania)
- Prof. Cvetko Andreevski, Dean, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Drago Cvijanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Dusan Ristic, PhD Emeritus, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dimitar Radev, PhD, Rector, University of Telecommunications and Post, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Daniela Todorova PhD, Rector of “Todor Kableshkov” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dragan Kokovic PhD, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Dragan Marinkovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Daniela Ivanova Popova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Dzulijana Tomovska, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Evgenia Penkova-Pantaleeva PhD, UNWE -Sofia (Bulgaria)

-
- Prof. Fadil Millaku, PhD, Rector, University “Hadzi Zeka”, Peja (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Fatos Ukaj, University “Hasan Prishtina”, Prishtina (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Georgi Georgiev PhD, National Military University “Vasil Levski”, Veliko Trnovo (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Halit Shabani, PhD, University “Hadzi Zeka”, Peja (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Halima Sofradzija, PhD, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Haris Halilovic, Faculty of criminology and security, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Helmut Shramke PhD, former Head of the University of Vienna Reform Group (Austria)
 - Prof. Hristina Georgieva Yancheva, PhD, Rector, Agricultural University, Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Hristo Beloev PhD, Bulgarian Academy of Science, Rector of the University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Hristina Milcheva, Medical college, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Izet Zeqiri, PhD, Academic, SEEU, Tetovo (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Ivan Marchevski, PhD, Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
 - Doc. Igor Stubelj, PhD, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
 - Prof. Ivo Zupanovic, PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
 - Prof. Ivan Petkov PhD, Rector, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Isa Spahiu PhD, AAB University, Prishtina (Kosovo)
 - Prof. Ivana Jelik PhD, University of Podgorica, Faculty of Law, Podgorica (Montenegro)
 - Prof. Islam Hasani PhD, Kingston University (Bahrein)
 - Prof. Jova Ateljevic PhD, Faculty of Economy, University of Banja Luka, (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Jove Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO , Bitola (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Jonko Kunchev PhD, University „Cernorizec Hrabar“ - Varna (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Jelena Stojanovic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
 - Prof Karl Schopf, PhD, Akademie fur wissenschaftliche forchung und studium, Wien (Austria)
 - Prof. Katerina Belichovska, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Krasimir Petkov, PhD, National Sports Academy “Vassil Levski”, Sofia (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Kamal Al-Nakib PhD, College of Business Administration Department, Kingdom University (Bahrain)
 - Prof. Kiril Lisichkov, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Lidija Tozi PhD, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Laste Spasovski PhD, Vocational and educational centre, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Larisa Velic, PhD, Faculty of Law, University of Zenica, Zenica (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Lujza Grueva, PhD, Faculty of Medical Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Lazar Stosic, PhD, Association for development of science, engineering and education, Vranje (Serbia)
 - Prof. Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Global Vice President of Sun Moon University (Albania)
 - Prof. Lence Mircevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Ljubomir Kekenovski PhD, Faculty of Economics, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Ljupce Kocovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)

-
- Prof. Marusya Lyubcheva PhD, University “Prof. Asen Zlatarov”, Member of the European Parliament, Burgas (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Maria Kavdanska PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Maja Lubenova Cholakova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Mirjana Borota-Popovska, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Mihail Garevski, PhD, Institute of Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Seismology, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Misho Hristovski PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Mitko Kotovchevski, PhD, Faculty of Philosophy, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Milan Radosavljevic PhD, Dean, Faculty of strategic and operational management, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
 - Prof. Marija Topuzovska-Latkovikj, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Marija Knezevic PhD, Academic, Banja Luka, (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Margarita Bogdanova PhD, D.A.Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Mahmut Chelik PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Marija Mandaric PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
 - Prof. Marina Simin PhD, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
 - Prof. Miladin Kalinic, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
 - Prof. Mitre Stojanovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Miodrag Smelcerovic PhD, High Technological and Artistic Vocational School, Leskovac (Serbia)
 - Prof. Nadka Kostadinova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Natalija Kirejenko PhD, Faculty For economic and Business, Institute of Entrepreneurial Activity, Minsk (Belarus)
 - Prof. Nenad Taneski PhD, Military Academy “Mihailo Apostolski”, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Nevenka Tatkovic PhD, Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Pula (Croatia)
 - Prof. Nedžad Korajlic PhD, Dean, Faculty of criminal justice and security, University of Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
 - Prof. Nikolay Georgiev PhD, “Todor Kableshev” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Faculty of Music, SEU - Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Nishad M. Navaz PhD, Kingdom University (India)
 - Prof. Oliver Iliev PhD, Faculty of Communication and IT, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
 - Prof. Oliver Dimitrijevic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies “Hipokrat”, Bujanovac (Serbia)
 - Prof. Paul Sergius Koku, PhD, Florida State University, Florida (USA)
 - Prof. Primoz Dolenc, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
 - Prof. Predrag Trajkovic PhD, JMPNT, Vranje (Serbia)
 - Prof. Petar Kolev PhD, “Todor Kableshev” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
 - Prof. Pere Tumbas PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Novi Sad, Subotica (Serbia)

- Prof. Rade Ratkovic PhD, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Budva (Montenegro)
- Prof. Rositsa Chobanova PhD, University of Telecommunications and Posts, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Valcovski PhD, Imunolab Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Rumen Stefanov PhD, Dean, Faculty of public health, Medical University of Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Sasho Korunoski, Rector, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Sashko Plachkov PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Snezhana Lazarevic, PhD, College of Sports and Health, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Stojan Ivanov Ivanov PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Snezana Stoilova, PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Stojna Ristevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Suzana Pavlovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Sandra Zivanovic, PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, University of Kragujevac, Vrnjacka Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Shyqeri Kabashi, College “Biznesi”, Prishtina (Kosovo)
- Prof. Trayan Popkochev PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, South-West University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Todor Krystevich, Vice Rector, D.A. Tsenov Academy of Economics, Svishtov (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Todorka Atanasova, Faculty of Economics, Trakia University, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Tatyana Sobolieva PhD, State Higher Education Establishment Vadiym Getman Kiyev National Economic University, Kiyev (Ukraine)
- Prof. Tzako Pantaleev PhD, NBUniversity, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Violeta Dimova PhD, Faculty of Philology, University “Goce Delchev”, Shtip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Volodymyr Denysyuk, PhD, Dobrov Center for Scientific and Technological Potential and History studies at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Ukraine)
- Prof. Valentina Staneva PhD, “Todor Kableshev” University of Transport, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Vasil Zecev PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Venus Del Rosario PhD, Arab Open University (Philippines)
- Prof. Yuri Doroshenko PhD, Dean, Faculty of Economics and Management, Belgorod (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Zlatko Pejkov, PhD, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, UKIM, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Zivota Radosavljevic PhD, Dean, Faculty FORCUP, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Zorka Jugovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)

REVIEW PROCEDURE AND REVIEW BOARD

Each paper is reviewed by the editor and, if it is judged suitable for this publication, it is then sent to two referees for double blind peer review.

The editorial review board is consisted of 45 members, full professors in the fields 1) Natural and mathematical sciences, 2) Technical and technological sciences, 3) Medical sciences and Health, 4) Biotechnical sciences, 5) Social sciences, and 6) Humanities from all the Balkan countries and the region.

Contents

ANTICANCER MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES AND THEIR RADIOIMMUNOCONJUGATES - GATEWAY TO THE MORE SUCCESSFUL THERAPY.....	725
Marija Sterjova Arev.....	725
Emilija Janevik-Ivanovska.....	725
PLASMA CORTISOL LEVELS IN HEROIN ADDICTS	733
Aneta Spasovska Trajanovska	733
Danijela Janicevic Ivanovska.....	733
ANALYSIS OF THE MECHANISM OF ACTION AND THE ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF CERTAIN ANTISEPTICS AND DISINFECTANTS AGAINST <i>BACILLUS SPP.</i> , <i>ACINETOBACTER</i> <i>SPP.</i> , <i>KLEBSIELLA SPP.</i> , <i>SERRATIA MARCESCENS</i> AND <i>CANDIDA SPP.</i> AS ONE OF THE MOST COMMON CAUSES OF INTRA–HOSPITAL INFECTIONS	739
Biljana Gjorgjeska	739
Dino Karpicarov.....	739
ELECTRONEGATIVE LOW-DENSITY LIPOPROTEINS AS A CAUSE OF SECONDARY CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES IN CHRONICALLY ILL PATIENTS – A METHOD FOR IDENTIFICATION AND MONITORING	747
Ivana Tusheva	747
Mishko Milev.....	747
Gordana Kamceva Mihailova	747
Manuela Maneva.....	747
Tatjana Ruskovska	747
IMPACT OF ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION ON ATRIAL FIBRILLATION.....	753
Antoniya Kisheva.....	753
ROLE OF TRANSCRANIAL DOPPLER FOR ASSESSMENT OF PERSISTENT FORAMEN OVALE IN PATIENTS WITH STROKE.....	757
Antoniya Kisheva.....	757
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF AN INTEGRATIVE MODEL FOR CONTROL OF SUGAR DIABETES AND PREVENTION OF ITS CONDITIONS	761
Varvara Pancheva	761
HEALTH CARE IN LATE COMPLICATIONS OF DIABETES - NEUROPATHIC DIABETIC FOOT	765
Petya Kasnakova.....	765
Yovana Todorovska	765
Silvija Madzovska.....	765
Anna Mihaylova.....	765
COMPLEX OF REHABILITATION MEETINGS INCREASING HEALTHY WELFARE IN PATIENTS WITH SUGAR DIABETES	771
Varvara Pancheva	771
Snezhina Georgieva	771
IMPROVING THE MODEL OF HEALTH CARE FOR SUGAR DIABETES (SD)	775
Varvara Pancheva	775
CHRONIC NON-INFECTIOUS DISEASES - A CHALLENGE FOR THE MEDICAL TEAM IN OUTPATIENT CARE	779
Ivanka Stambolova.....	779
Stefan Stambolov	779

INNOVATIVE APPROACHES IN THERAPY OF NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASES THROUGH USE OF CYCLODEXTRINES	785
Daniel Argilashki	785
Nina Koleva	785
Bozhidarka Hadzhieva	785
THE IMPORTANCE OF THE DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF LATENT TUBERCULOSIS INFECTION ON THE TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL	793
Ljiljana Simonovska	793
Iva Paneva	793
SENSORY INTEGRATIVE APPROACH IN CHILDREN FROM INFANCY TO PRESCHOOL AGE – GUIDELINES FOR PREVENTION, EARLY DIAGNOSIS AND INTERVENTION AT FIRST SIGNS OF SENSORY INTEGRATIVE DYSFUNCTION	799
Stela Marinkova	799
HUMAN BRUCELLOSIS IN REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA IN THE PERIOD 2008 – 2017	805
Meri Nikolova-Giceva	805
Gjorgji Shumanov	805
Gordana Panova	805
INCIDENCE OF PTERYGIUM IN EASTERN MACEDONIA FOR 2018	811
Strahil Gazepov	811
Alen Gorgiev	811
OVERVIEW OF THE THEORIES OF POSTPARTUM DEPRESSION	815
Daniela Gavrailova	815
Delyna Hadzhideleva	815
SATISFACTION AND SELF-ASSESSMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP IN THE PRACTICE OF THE OBSTETRIC PROFESSION IN HOSPITAL CONDITIONS	821
Svetlana Radeva	821
Lora Georgieva	821
THE PROBLEM RELATED TO JUVENILE AND TEEN MOTHERS THROUGH THE EYES OF THE GENERAL PRACTITIONERS	827
Marieta Gunovska	827
IMPLEMENTING OPTIMISATION OF MEDICAL EXPOSURE IN CLINICAL PRACTICE AT THE INSTITUTE OF RADIOLOGY, SKOPJE, MACEDONIA	835
Sonja Nikolova	835
CONTEMPORARY METHODS FOR EVALUATION AND COLOR SELECTION FOR CERAMIC PROSTHETIC CONSTRUCTIONS	843
Aneta Mijoska	843
Gordana Kovachevska	843
FLEXIBLE POLYMER DENTURES - CONTEMPORARY SOLUTIONS FOR SUPERIOR ESTHETIC AND COMFORT	851
Gordana Kovacevska	851
Aneta Mijoska	851
CORELLATION BETWEEN THE POSITION OF THE IMPACTED TOOTH AND THE SURGICAL TECHNIQUE IN EXTRACTION OF THIRD MANDIBULAR MOLARS	859
Pisevska Cholakova Natasha	859
Mencheva Zaklina	859
Apostolova Gordana	859
Terzievska Aneta	859

FUNCTION OF SALIVA AT PATIENTS WITH COMPLETE DENTURES. AN OVERVIEW	867
Natasha Stavreva.....	867
MUCOGRAFT: 3D COLAGEN MATRIX FOR SOLVING GINGIVAL RECESSIONS	871
Zaklina Menceva.....	871
Biljana Evrosimovska	871
Aneta Terzievska	871
Daniela Veleska Stevkovska.....	871
T-SCAN IN ORTHODONTICS, CONTEMPORARY OCCLUSAL ANALYSIS	877
Ana Radeska – Panovska	877
Mira Jankulovska	877
USAGE OF INTERDENTAL BRUSHES IN EVERYDAY STUDENTS' ORAL HYGIENE	881
Cena Dimova	881
DIFFERENT GROUP OF TOOTH IN RELATION OF ENDODONTIC TREATMENT	885
Ivona Kovacevska.....	885
Natasa Longurova	885
Katerina Zlatanovska	885
ALVEOLA STORAGE FOR BUILDING OF DENTAL IMPLANTS WITH RESORBA® DENTAL	893
Biljana Dodevska	893
MONOLITHIC LITHIUM-DISILICATE GLASS-CERAMIC RESTORATIONS	895
Julija Zarkova Atanasova.....	895
Sandra Atanasova.....	895
PREPOSITION FOR NEW CLASSIFICATION OF ORAL MUCOSAL CHANGES.....	901
Mihajlo Petrovski.....	901
Cena Dimova	901
Olivera Terzieva- Petrovska	901
MEASUREMENT IS ONLY THE FIRST STEP IN QUALITY MANAGEMENT	907
Mitko Ivanovski	907
ANALYSIS AND INVESTIGATION THE OPINION OF NURSES AND PHYSIOTHERAPISTS ON THEIR QUALITY OF WORK WITH CHRONIC VASCULAR DISEASE'S PATIENTS.....	915
Milan Tsekov	915
Mihaela Mireva.....	915
Mariana Albert	915
ACTIVITIES OF THE MEDICAL NURSES IN THE KARIL DEPARTMENT	921
Ruzica Madzarova	921
Gordana Panova	921
NECESSITY OF PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE OF HEALTHCARE SPECIALISTS AT THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH BURNS.....	931
Anushka Dimitrova.....	931
ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTIVENESS BETWEEN TWO PREOPERATIVE REHABILITATION PROGRAMS FOR HERNIATED DISC	939
Kristijan Garevski	939
Toshe Krste	939
Gordana Panova	939
FORMATING THE COMPETENCE OF THE STUDENTS OF THE "MIDWIFERY" SPECIALTY DURING THE PRACTICAL TRAINING	947
Svetlana Radeva.....	947
Lora Georgieva	947

LEADING ROLE OF CLINICAL PRACTICE FOR THE FORMING OF PROFESSIONAL SKILLS IN MEDICAL LABORATORY ASSISTANTS	953
Pavlina Teneva.....	953
Petya Tancheva	953
THE MANIFESTATION OF EMPATHY AND ITS DEVELOPMENT DURING THE TRAINING OF HEALTHCARE SPECIALISTS.....	959
Zhulieta Gerenova.....	959
Todor Dimitrov	959
THE ROLE OF THE NURSE IN MEDICAL CARE AND TREATMENT OF PATIENTS AT THE INTENSIVE TREATMENT DEPARTMENT.....	963
Angela Ilievska Jovanovska.....	963
Gordana Panova	963
METHODS OF EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE OF EMPLOYEES IN HEALTH INSTITUTIONS	973
Mitko Ivanovski	973
Lidija Naumovska.....	973
CHEST DEVELOPMENT AT BABIES INVOLVED IN SWIMMING ACTIVITIES.....	979
Bilyana Rangelova.....	979
OSTEOPOROSIS – THE SILENT EPIDEMIC	983
Mariyana Petrova.....	983
Anushka Uzunova.....	983
Krasimira Takucheva	983
EFFECTS OF ACUPUNCTURE IN THE TREATMENT OF DISTORTION OF THE ARTICULATIO TALOCRURALIS	989
Lence Nikolovska	989
Evgenija Bojcevska.....	989
THE ROLE OF COMPLEX REHABILITATION IN THE MAINTENANCE AND TREATMENT OF COCSARTROSIS.....	995
Nedyalka Kosturkova.....	995
APPLICATION OF PHYSICAL EXCERCISES FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF SCOLIOSIS	1001
Tsvetan Mozakov.....	1001
Petya Kasnakova.....	1001
Anna Mihaylova.....	1001
MANUAL APPROACHES AND ANALYTICAL EXERCISES PERFORMED WITH A TOOL FOR TREATMENT OF LUMBO-SACRAL PART OF THE SPINE.....	1007
Svetla Shopova.....	1007
POSSIBILITIES FOR COMBINING KINESITHERAPEUTIC WITH OTHER METHODS OF TREATMENT IN PATIENTS WITH CERVICAL SPINE PAIN.....	1011
Tony Gjuzeleva.....	1011
Katya Mollova	1011
Nazife Bekir	1011
ANALYTICAL MANUAL TRAINING TECHNIQUES FOR M. SERRATUS ANTERIOR	1017
Jordan Gavrailov.....	1017
METHODS OF PHYSIOTHERAPY FOR STUDY IN A SPINAL INSULT	1021
Daniela Popova	1021
Mariela Filipova.....	1021

MIOFACIAL TECHNIQUES FOR IMPACT ON M. ERECTOR SPINAE WITH A SET OF HEALING MASSAGE TOOLS	1023
Svetla Shopova.....	1023
TYPES OF MASSAGE APPROACHES FOR IMPACT ON M. SUBSCAPULARIS	1027
Jordan Gavrilov.....	1027
DETERMINING SUBGROUPS OF PEOPLE WHO STUTTER WITH DIADOCHOKINETIC PRODUCTIONS AND FUNCTIONAL PARAMETERS	1031
Elka Goranova	1031
Stoyan R. Vezekov.....	1031
Dafina Kostadinova	1031
THE ACADEMIC FORMATION OF NEW LOGOPEDIANS IN ALBANIAN MEDICAL FACULTIES	1037
Aurela Basha.....	1037
Lidra Ballhysa.....	1037
ROLE AND PLACE OF EGIS PHARMACEUTICALS PLC FOR THE VIABILITY OF THE HUMAN FACTOR.....	1043
Petar Tishkov	1043
SUGGESTIVE APPROACHES IN PHARMACEUTICAL COMMERCIALS	1051
Nezabravka Nenkov	1051
DEINSTITUTIONALIZATION AND LIFE IN COMMUNITY FOR PEOPLE WITH MENTAL RETARDATION	1057
Veronika Spasova	1057
NORMATIVE REGULATION OF SOCIAL SERVICES PROVIDED IN THE COMMUNITY AND IN SPECIALIZED INSTITUTIONS FOR PEOPLE AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER IN BULGARIA ...	1063
Galina Haralanova	1063
Lora Georgieva	1063
THE NEED TO IMPLEMENT INTEGRATED SOCIAL-HEALTH SERVICES	1067
Kalina Kancheva Kancheva.....	1067
MICROORGANISMS IN POOL WATER	1073
Slavica Ostojić Krsmanović.....	1073
Ljiljana Crnčević Radović	1073
Milenko Budimčić	1073
KINETIC AND EQUILIBRIUM STUDIES ON THE REMOVAL OF Mn^{2+} IONS FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS BY PERLITE.....	1079
Viktorija Bezhovska.....	1079
Erhan Mustafa.....	1079
Kiril Lisichkov	1079
Stefan Kuvendziev	1079
Mirko Marinkovski	1079
Katerina Atkova	1079

MONOLITHIC LITHIUM-DISILICATE GLASS-CERAMIC RESTORATIONS

Julija Zarkova Atanasova

Faculty of Medical Sciences, Goce Delcev University-Stip, Macedonia,

julija.zarkova@ugd.edu.mk

Sandra Atanasova

Faculty of Medical Sciences, Goce Delcev University-Stip, Macedonia,

sandra.atanasova@ugd.edu.mk

Abstract: In a pursuit for perfect esthetic and natural appearance of restorations in contemporary dentistry, porcelain fused to metal restorations are gradually being replaced by all-ceramics. All-ceramic restorative systems are not a new concept. They were first introduced with the so-called “porcelain jacket crown” in the 1900s by Charles H. Land, fabricated with high-fusing feldspathic porcelain on platinum foil matrix. Because of the low strength of this porcelain, the failure rate was very high and they were slowly abandoned. In the last 10 years with the introduction of lithium-disilicate class ceramics IPS e.max System (Ivoclar Vivadent) with a strength of 470 MPa monolithic restorations made a big comeback. Monolithic or full-contour lithium-disilicate restorations can be stained and glazed for more natural tooth colour look. The ideal restoration shade is optimally reproduced by means of the IPS e.max Shade Navigation App. It facilitates the material selection, leads to results that feature optimum shade match and thus provides efficiency and reliability. This material has two forms: a homogeneous ingot with various degrees of translucency and opacity used with hot-pressed technology Emax Press and a pre-crystallized block used with CAD/CAM technology Emax CAD. Regarding the strength of the material, pressed lithium disilicate is 11% stronger than the CAD/CAM lithium disilicate according to Ivoclar’s 2011 scientific report. Pressable lithium disilicate restorations demonstrated a 98.4% survival rate. Machineable lithium disilicate restorations (IPS e.max CAD) demonstrated a 97.9% survival rate. The pressing technique demonstrated a significantly smaller marginal gap than the CAD/CAM technique. Some in vitro studies have pointed out that lithium disilicate monolithic crowns and FDPs, both CAD/CAM and hot-pressed, are more resistant to fatigue fracture compared to bilayered, hand veneered ones, showing higher fracture loads (1900 N), that are comparable to the metal-ceramic standard. Clinical outcomes of different IPS e.max lithium disilicate prostheses like single crowns, 3 unit bridges, veneers, inlays and onlays, both in the bilayered and monolithic forms, in a 4-year retrospective study reported: 0.91% for monolithic and 1.83% for bilayered single crowns (twice the rate of the monolithic); 4.55% for monolithic FDPs; 1.3% for monolithic and 1.53% for bilayered veneers; and 1.01% for monolithic inlays/onlays. This research supports the use of monolithic lithium disilicate restorations in everyday treatment of choice for high-strength and esthetic indirect treatments, whether for the anterior or posterior regions of the mouth. The material offers specific advantages to dentists such as the ability to provide strong and durable restorations with highly esthetic characteristics without metal. More clinical studies for the esthetic outcome of monolithic restorations are needed in the future.

Keywords: all-ceramics, press ceramic, CAD/CAM, full contour restorations

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the years, metal-ceramic restorations were the gold standard in crown and bridge procedures, but despite the material strength, their esthetic outcome was not fully satisfying. In a pursuit for perfect esthetic and natural appearance in contemporary dentistry, porcelain fused to metal restorations are gradually being replaced by all-ceramics. All-ceramic restorative systems are not new concept. They were first introduced with the so-called “porcelain jacket crown” in the 1900s by Charles H. Land, fabricated with high-fusing feldspathic porcelain on platinum foil matrix. But, because of the low strength of this porcelain, the failure rate was very high and they were slowly abandoned. Today technology of dental materials is very advanced and all-ceramic restorations become everyday practice. Generally, according to their structure, there are two types of all-ceramics bilayered and monolithic. In bilayered restorations, the core or the framework is made from high strength ceramic or zirconia veneered with layers of veneering ceramic for better esthetic. In, monolithic restorations the full construction is made of only one layer of ceramic or zirconia and the flexural strength remains the same throughout the entire restoration. With the monolithic concept, clinicians are able to overcome so many problems with bilayered restorations like fracture and chipping of low strength veneering layers (Fischer et al, 2007) and problems with bond strength between the core and veneer manifested with delamination (Von Steyern, et al. 2005) (Sailer et al. 2007). The shortcomings of high strength zirconia monolithic restorations like lack of translucency of zirconia, made a

narrow span of indication and using this kind of constructions in the posterior region only. In the last 10 years with the introduction of lithium disilicate class-ceramics IPSe.max System (Ivoclar Vivadent) with strength of 470 MPa monolithic restorations made a big comeback. New dental products have always been a challenge to clinicians because, with little more than promotional information to guide them, they must judge between those that are new and those that are better and have proven success. The aim of this study was to elaborate on the information from literature for monolithic lithium disilicate class-ceramics restorations their indications and survival rate in comparison to other monolithic and bilayered constructions.

2. MATERIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND TECHNICAL PROCEDURES

Lithium disilicate glass-ceramic restorations show impressive results with excellent light-optical properties. Full-contour monolithic ceramic restorations could be stained and glazed for more natural tooth color look. The ideal restoration shade is optimally reproduced by means of the IPS E.max Shade Navigation App. It facilitates the material selection, leads to results that feature optimum shade match and thus provides efficiency and reliability. (Ivoclar Vivadent). This material has two forms and two manufacturing techniques: homogeneous ingots with various degrees of translucency and opacity used with hot-pressed technology E.max Press and a pre-crystallized block used with CAD/CAM technology E.max CAD. The wide range of available ingots with different shades for press technique (lost wax technique) gives us more options and wider indications for use. There are monochromatic and polychromatic multi ingots in three sizes. Monochromatic ingots have three levels of translucency, high translucency HT, medium translucency MT, low translucency LT, two levels of opacity ingots medium MO and high opacity HO and opal ingots with different levels of brightness (opal 1, opal 2). Polychromatic multi ingots are an ideal material for quickly and efficiently making of monolithic solutions. They have gradual transitions of color from dentin to enamel. E.max CAD pre-crystallized blocks have the same range of color as press ingots. In the initial condition, this bluish blocks show moderate hardness and strength (around 130MPa) because they are easier to mill, reducing wear of the machining devices at the same time, with evident advantages during chairside procedures (Denry and Holloway, 2010), but after the heat treatment, they get the needed toughness.

3. INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS FOR MONOLITHIC RESTORATIONS

There are a lot of indications for the production of monolithic lithium disilicate restorations, from veneers to 3-unit bridges. Given the high strength of ceramics, full and partial posterior and anterior crowns can be made with great esthetic, enhanced by the staining technique. Minimally invasive crowns with maximum tissue preservations, especially in a young patient with vital teeth 1mm thin can be made in the anterior and posterior region. Today very popular minimal preparation smile rehabilitation can be done with veneers also ultra-thin 0.3 mm. Inlays, onlays, occlusal veneers, and classical veneers are also perfect candidates for monolithic lithium disilicate ceramics. Fixed dental prosthesis up to 3-units are indicated in the anterior region and in the posterior region up to the second premolar. Implant superstructure up to 3-unit also is limited in the premolar region. Because of the brittleness of glass ceramic patient with occlusal parafunction like bruxism are not good candidates for this type of restorations. Temporary seating of IPS E.max Press restorations is also not recommended. Sharp edge preparations and very deep subgingival preparations are contraindications for minimally invasive crowns.

4. SURVIVAL RATE OF MONOLITHIC RESTORATIONS

Prosthetic therapy success with monolithic restorations depends mainly on the esthetic outcome of the restoration, its color and translucency, strength and fracture resistance of material, color stability, the relationship with the soft tissue and remaining teeth, cemented construction stability, the marginal closure and fitting, integrity of abutment teeth etc. One clinical chair side research showed that monolithic lithium disilicate posterior crowns has survival rate from 87,6% after 6 years evaluation. The main reasons for failure were crown fracture, abutment fracture, secondary caries below crown margin, loss of retention and severe endodontic problems.(Rauch, Reich & Schierz, 2016).Veneered lithium disilicate press ceramic restorations demonstrated greater survival rate 94,8% after 9 years of service.(Gehrt et al. 2013).Studies in literature are showing different survival rates because of the different years of follow-up but , survival rates for bouts monolithic and bilayer forms of press lithium disilicate ceramic are very high. Breemer et al. (2017) also evaluated high survival rate of press monolithic lithium disilicate posterior crowns after 5, 10, and 15 years check-up. The survival of the restoration was 92%, 85.5%, and 81.9% respectively. When this type of crowns are cemented on zirconia implants also promising survival rates 95.7% areb showed after an observation period of 3 years. (Spies et al., 2015) Machinable lithium disilicate crowns chair side CAD/CAM after 10 years showed survival rate of 83.5% (Rauch, at al., 2017). Reasons for failure were retention loss, carious lesions and a change in sensibility perception of abutment teeth. Similar survival rate Fasbinder et al.

(2010) evaluated after two years of clinical service 86.9%. Monolithic lithium disilicate single crowns in the posterior region fabricated with feather-edge margins and adhesively cemented in one retrospective study showed survival rate 97.93% up to 12 years of service. (Schmitz et al. 2017). Secondary caries that occur on the crown's margins due to the bad marginal crown fit or cement dissolution is one of the main reasons for monolithic crown failure. (Baig, Tan & Nicholls, 2010) (Pak, Han, Lee, Kim & Yang, 2010). Other complications associated with a big marginal gap are marginal discoloration or staining and microleakage. Therefore a smaller marginal gap increases chances for successful treatment. The different techniques lost wax and milling for making monolithic restorations may have discrepancies in the size of marginal gaps because of the distinguished series of steps for manufacturing. Also, big role in the marginal fit plays the expertise of the dental technician. Azar et al. (2018) in-vitro study demonstrated that pressing technique crowns have a significantly smaller marginal gap compare to those made with the CAD/CAM technique, but they are bought in the range of clinical recommendations. As far as the impact of the various impression techniques is concerned studies showed that pressed and CAD/CAM single crowns made with digital impressions had a better internal fit than pressed single crowns produced with polyvinylsiloxane impressions. (Alfaro et al. 2015). Clinical study for monolithic lithium disilicate fixed dental prosthetics showed survival rate of 100% after 5 years, and 87.9% after ten years follow-up, survival being defined as remaining in place either with or without complications (Kern, Sasse & Wolfart, 2012).

5. STRENGTH AND FRACTURE RESISTANCE OF MONOLITHIC RESTORATIONS

Restoration fracture is not influenced only by the mechanical properties of the used material. Tooth preparation and restoration geometry, the material used for cementation of the restoration and progressive damage caused by occlusal function also effect on the fracture probability. Rekow et al. (2011) state that the crown material and crown thickness are the most significant factors that influence on the restoration fracture. The manufacturers' of the lithium disilicate ceramic says that the strength of any ceramic material is totally dependent on the thickness of the material and the preparation design. They recommend very specific tooth preparation protocols for their materials in order to guarantee greatest strength and predictable longevity of restorations. Needless to say, anything less than following these recommendations will result in a weaker final restoration. Ivoclar recommends tooth reduction for E.max posterior crowns at least 1.5 mm occlusal for cusp tips and the central groove, 1.5 mm on the axial walls and at least 1.0 mm deep flat shoulder margin, chamfer or feather edge. Nawafleh et al., (2017) said that tooth reduction required for lithium disilicate crowns is a crucial factor for a long-term successful application of this all-ceramic system. They found that bulk fracture of monolithic E.max CAD was the predominant failure observed and concluded that with reducing the tooth preparation by 0.5 mm on the occlusal and proximal/axial wall with a 0.8 mm chamfer significantly fracture load of the restoration is reduced. The mean fracture load for the crowns with 1,5 wall thickness were 2340N before and 2149N after aging and for 1mm wall thickness 1752N and 1054N. In contrary to this Seydler et al., (2013) suggested that the wall thickness of posterior E.max CAD lithium disilicate crowns can be decreased to 1 mm wall thickness without cracking during artificial aging, thus reducing the invasiveness of the preparation, which is essential for young patients. Differences between crowns with $d = 1.0$ mm and $d = 1.5$ mm wall thickness were not significant, while crowns with $d = 0.5$ mm wall thickness were different and after aging they did crack. The loads to the failure of the crowns without aging (with aging) were 470.2N (369.2N) for $d = 0.5$ mm, 801.4N (889.1N) for $d = 1.0$ mm, and 1107.6N (980.8N) for $d = 1.5$ mm. According to other in vitro study CAD/CAM lithium disilicate single crowns should have a thickness of at least 1.5mm to withstand occlusal loads in posterior areas. (380 to 390 N) (Dhima et al., 2014)

In comparison with monolithic zirconia-based ceramic, lithium disilicate glass–ceramics have lower fracture resistance but much more esthetic. Monolithic ceramic restorations show markedly superior fracture resistance on their porcelain-veneered counterparts, from both chipping and radial cracking modes. (Zhang et al., 2013). A manufacturer's internal study comparing the difference in failure load for monolithic and bilayered crowns showed that adhesively retained monolithic lithium-disilicate restorations had the highest load to failure numbers. (Albakry, Guazzato & Swain, 2003). Zirconia crowns had highest fracture loads, lithium disilicate intermediate, and dental nanocomposite lowest. (Zhang et al., 2016)

Hamza & Sherif, 2017 in there in vitro research showed that monolithic lithium disilicate glass ceramic crowns had fracture resistance mean higher than bilayered zirconia-based crowns (after exposure in a chewing simulator and loaded until fracture in a universal testing machine), but lower than zirconia-reinforced lithium silicate. The mean fracture resistance for monolithic E.max CAD was 1565.2N. Similar to this in another study monolithic lithium disilicate crowns showed fracture strength of 1856 N, but lower than the monolithic zirconia crowns 2795 N and higher compared to the veneered high zirconia groups 1480 N (Johansson et al. 2013). Some in vitro studies have pointed out that lithium disilicate monolithic crowns and bridges, both CAD/CAM and hot-pressed, are more

resistant to fatigue fracture compared to bilayered, hand veneered ones, showing higher fracture loads (1900 N), that are comparable to the metal-ceramic standard.(Mitsias et al, 2012)(Zhao et al., 2012).

Guess et al 2010 said that there is a threshold for damage/bulk fracture of the lithium disilicate ceramic and is in the range of 1,100 to 1,200 N. Regarding the strength of the material, it is considered that pressed lithium disilicate is 11% stronger than the CAD/CAM lithium disilicate according to Ivoclar's 2011 scientific report (IPS e.max Scientific Report Vol. 01/2001–2011) CAD-CAM monolithic lithium disilicate SCs showed an optimum in vitro stiffness and strength values when cemented onto both prefabricated titanium abutments and customized zirconia abutments (Joda et al.,2015)

One in vitro study of the fracture resistance of a different type of fixed dental prosthesis showed that monolithic lithium disilicate pressed bridges has significantly lowest fracture resistance followed by lithium disilicate glass ceramics pressed on zirconia-based and monolithic zirconia. (Choi et al. 2017)

Clinical outcomes of different IPS e.max lithium disilicate prostheses like single crowns, 3 unit bridges, veneers, inlays and onlays, both in the bilayered and monolithic forms, in a 4-year retrospective study reported low fracture rates: 0.91% for monolithic and 1.83% for bilayered single crowns (twice the rate of the monolithic); 4.55% for monolithic FDPs; 1.3% for monolithic and 1.53% for bilayered veneers; and 1.01% for monolithic inlays/onlays. (Sulaiman, Delgado & Donovan, 2015)When bonded to enamel (supported by dentin), the load-bearing capacity of lithium disilicate can approach 75% of that of zirconia, despite the flexural strength of lithium disilicate (400 MPa) being merely 40% of zirconia (1000 MPa). When bonded to dentin (with the enamel completely removed), the load-bearing capacity of lithium disilicate is about 57% of zirconia (Ma, Guess & Zhang, 2013)

6. CONCLUSIONS

This research supports the use of monolithic lithium disilicate restorations in everyday treatment for high-strength and esthetic indirect treatments, weather for the anterior or posterior regions of the mouth. The material offers specific advantages to dentists, such as the ability to provide strong and durable restorations with highly esthetic characteristics without metal. The fabrication processes and machinability affect the restorative quality of monolithic lithium disilicate glass ceramics. The light refraction gives the lithium disilicate material, a natural appearance and ability to be used in a monolithic form. In this state, the flexural strength remains the same throughout the entire restoration. Surface colorants are available to obtain the final shade and characterization. It's not the same as natural layered translucent teeth in terms, but it's certainly much closer than other available monolithic materials.They also are cost effective and very popular with many laboratories. The monolithic material structure of the glass-ceramic permits creation of very durable single tooth restorations with very high clinical reliability. Monolithic glass–ceramics are less vulnerable to chipping, but cannot match the zirconia for fracture resistance. Fully anatomical IPS e.max CAD crowns showed to be resistant against fatigue in cyclic fatigue tests. In comparison, crowns made of zirconium failed by fractures in the veneering material at clearly lower loads. Three-unit fixed dental prosthetics made from monolithic lithium disilicate ceramic may be a safe alternative to metal-ceramic bridges regardless of the cementation method used but only in the recommended indications. So far in literature there is no information about the fracture resistances and survival rates of monolithic veneers. More clinical studies for the overall esthetic outcome of monolithic restorations are needed in the future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albakry, M., Guazzato, M., & Swain, M. (2003). Fracture toughness and hardness evaluation of three pressable all-ceramic dental materials. *Journal Of Dentistry*, 31(3), 181-188.
- [2] Alfaro, D. P., Ruse, N. D., Carvalho, R. M., & Wyatt, C. C. (2015). Assessment of the Internal Fit of Lithium Disilicate Crowns Using Micro-CT. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 24(5), 381-386.
- [3] Azar, B., Eckert, S., Kunkela, J., Ingr, T., & Mounajjed, R. (2018). The marginal fit of lithium disilicate crowns: Press vs. CAD/CAM. *Brazilian Oral Research*, 32(0)
- [4] Baig, M., Tan, K., & Nicholls, J. (2010). Evaluation of the marginal fit of a zirconia ceramic computer-aided machined (CAM) crown system. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 104(4), 216-227.
- [5] Breemer, C. V., Vinkenborg, C., Pelt, H. V., Edelhoff, D., & Cune, M. (2017). The Clinical Performance of Monolithic Lithium Disilicate Posterior Restorations After 5, 10, and 15 Years: A Retrospective Case Series. *The International Journal of Prosthodontics*, 62-65. doi:10.11607/ijp.4997
- [6] Choi, J., Kim, S., Bae, J., Bae, E., & Huh, J. (2017). In vitro study of the fracture resistance of monolithic lithium disilicate, monolithic zirconia, and lithium disilicate pressed on zirconia for three-unit fixed dental prostheses. *The Journal Of Advanced Prosthodontics*, 9(4), 244. doi: 10.4047/jap.2017.9.4.244

-
- [7] Dhima, M., Carr, A., Salinas, T., Lohse, C., Berglund, L., & Nan, K. (2014). Evaluation of Fracture Resistance in Aqueous Environment under Dynamic Loading of Lithium Disilicate Restorative Systems for Posterior Applications. Part 2. *Journal Of Prosthodontics*, 23(5), 353-357. doi: 10.1111/jopr.12124
- [8] Denry I. and J. A. Holloway, (2010)“Ceramics for dental applications: a review,” *Materials*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 351–368
- [9] Fasbinder, D., Dennison, J., Heys, D., & Neiva, G. (2010). A Clinical Evaluation of Chairside Lithium Disilicate CAD/CAM Crowns. : a two-year report. *The Journal Of The American Dental Association*, 141, 10S-14S. doi:1014219/jada.archive.2010.0355
- [10] Fischer J, Stawarczyk B, Tomic M, et al. (2007)Effect of thermal misfit between veneering ceramics and zirconia frameworks on in vitro fracture load of single crowns. *Dent Mater J*.;26(6):766-772.
- [11] Gehrt, M., Wolfart, S., Rafai, N., Reich, S., & Edelhoff, D. (2013). Clinical results of lithium-disilicate crowns after up to 9 years of service. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 17(1), 275-284. doi:10.1007/s00784-012-0700-x
- [12] Guess PC1, Zavanelli RA, Silva NR, Bonfante EA, Coelho PG, Thompson V P. (2010) Monolithic CAD/CAM lithium disilicate versus veneered Y-TZP crowns: comparison of failure modes and reliability after fatigue. *Int J Prosthodont*. Sep-Oct;23(5):434-42
- [13] Hamza, T. A., & Sherif, R. M. (2017). Fracture Resistance of Monolithic Glass-Ceramics Versus Bilayered Zirconia-Based Restorations. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, 28(1). doi:10.1111/jopr.12684
- [14] Ivoclar Vivadent. Available online: <http://www.ivoclarvivadent.com/en/p/all/all-ceramics/ips-emaxsystem-technicians/ips-emax-cad/ips-emax-cad-monolithic-solutions>
- [15] Joda, T., Bürki, A., Bethge, S., Brägger, U., & Zysset, P. (2015). Stiffness, Strength, and Failure Modes of Implant-Supported Monolithic Lithium Disilicate Crowns: Influence of Titanium and Zirconia Abutments. *The International Journal Of Oral & Maxillofacial Implants*, 30(6), 1272-1279. doi: 10.11607/jomi.3975
- [16] Johansson, C., Kmet, G., Rivera, J., Larsson, C., & Steyern, P. V. (2013). Fracture strength of monolithic all-ceramic crowns made of high translucent yttrium oxide-stabilized zirconium dioxide compared to porcelain-veneered crowns and lithium disilicate crowns. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, 72(2), 145-153. doi:10.3109/00016357.2013.822098
- [17] Kern, M., Sasse, M., & Wolfart, S. (2012). Ten-year outcome of three-unit fixed dental prostheses made from monolithic lithium disilicate ceramic. *The Journal Of The American Dental Association*, 143(3), 234-240. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2012.0147
- [18] Ma, L., Guess, P., & Zhang, Y. (2013). Load-bearing properties of minimal-invasive monolithic lithium disilicate and zirconia occlusal onlays: Finite element and theoretical analyses. *Dental Materials*, 29(7), 742-751. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2013.04.004
- [19] Mitsias, M., Koutayas, S., Wolfart, S., & Kern, M. (2012). Influence of zirconia abutment preparation on the fracture strength of single implant lithium disilicate crowns after chewing simulation. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 25(6), 675-682. doi: 10.1111/clr.12058
- [20] Nawafleh, N., Hatamleh, M., Öchsner, A., & Mack, F. (2017). Fracture load and survival of anatomically representative monolithic lithium disilicate crowns with reduced tooth preparation and ceramic thickness. *The Journal of Advanced Prosthodontics*, 9(6), 416. doi: 10.4047/jap.2017.9.6.416
- [21] P. B'uhler-Zemp, T. V'olkel, and K. Fischer, Scientific Documentation IPS e.Max Press, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein, 2011.
- [22] Pak, H., Han, J., Lee, J., Kim, S., & Yang, J. (2010). Influence of porcelain veneering on the marginal fit of Digident and Lava CAD/CAM zirconia ceramic crowns. *The Journal Of Advanced Prosthodontics*, 2(2), 33. doi: 10.4047/jap.2010.2.2.33
- [23] Rauch, A., Reich, S., & Schierz, O. (2016). Chair-side generated posterior monolithic lithium disilicate crowns: clinical survival after 6 years. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 21(6), 2083-2089. doi: 10.1007/s00784-016-1998-6
- [24] Rauch, A., Reich, S., Dalchau, L., & Schierz, O. (2017). Clinical survival of chair-side generated monolithic lithium disilicate crowns:10-year results. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 22(4), 1763-1769. doi: 10.1007/s00784-017-2271-3
- [25] Rekow ED, Silva NR, Coelho PG, Zhang Y, Guess P, Thompson VP. (2011)Performance of dental ceramics: challenges for improvements. *J Dent Res*; 90:937-52.
- [26] Rosentritt M, Preis V, Behr M, Hahnel S, Handel G, Kolbeck C. Two-body wear of dental porcelain and substructure oxide ceramics. *Clin Oral Investig*. 2012; 16:935–43.

-
- [27] Sailer I, Pjetursson BE, Zwahlen M, et al. (2007) A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of all-ceramic and metal-ceramic reconstructions after an observation period of at least 3 years. Part II: fixed dental prostheses. *Clin Oral Implants Res.*;18(suppl 3):86-96.
- [28] Schmitz JH, Cortellini D, Granata S, Valenti M. (2017) Monolithic lithium disilicate complete single crowns with feather-edge preparation design in the posterior region: A multicentric retrospective study up to 12 years. *Quintessence Int*;20:601-8.
- [29] Seydler, B., Rues, S., Müller, D., & Schmitter, M. (2013). In vitro fracture load of monolithic lithium disilicate ceramic molar crowns with different wall thicknesses. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 18(4), 1165-1171. doi: 10.1007/s00784-013-1062-8
- [30] Spies, B., Patzelt, S., Vach, K., & Kohal, R. (2015). Monolithic lithium-disilicate single crowns supported by zirconia oral implants: three-year results of a prospective cohort study. *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 27(9), 1160-1168. doi: 10.1111/clr.12716
- [31] Sulaiman, T., Delgado, A., & Donovan, T. (2015). Survival rate of lithium disilicate restorations at 4 years: A retrospective study. *The Journal Of Prosthetic Dentistry*, 114(3), 364-366. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2015.04.010.6–1.4 mm thick)
- [32] Vult von Steyern P, Carlson P, et al. (2005) All-ceramic fixed partial dentures designed according to the DC-Zirkon technique. A 2-year study. *J Oral Rehabil.*;32(3):180-187.
- [33] Zhang, Y., Lee, J., Srikanth, R., & Lawn, B. (2013). Edge chipping and flexural resistance of monolithic ceramics. *Dental Materials*, 29(12), 1201-1208. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2013.09.004
- [34] Zhang, Y., Mai, Z., Barani, A., Bush, M., & Lawn, B. (2016). Fracture-resistant monolithic dental crowns. *Dental Materials*, 32(3), 442-449. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2015.12.010
- [35] Zhao, K., Pan, Y., Guess, P., Zhang, X., & Swain, M. (2012). Influence of veneer application on fracture behavior of lithium-disilicate-based ceramic crowns. *Dental Materials*, 28(6), 653-660. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2012.02.011