

**NG CONGRESS** 



years of Faculty of Mining and Geology University of Belgrade

VOLUME 



**Mining Institute Belgrade** 



Academy of Engineering **Sciences of Serbia** 



University of Belgrade

# Proceedings of XVI BALKAN MINERAL PROCESSING CONGRESS

Belgrade, Serbia, June 17-19, 2015

# **VOLUME II**

Edited by

Nadežda Ćalić, Ljubiša Andrić, Igor Miljanović, Ivana Simović



MINING INSTITUTE BELGRADE ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES OF SERBIA UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE

# **Proceedings of**

# XVI BALKAN MINERAL PROCESSING CONGRESS

Belgrade, Serbia, June 17-19, 2015



**VOLUME II** 

Edited by

Nadežda Ćalić, Ljubiša Andrić, Igor Miljanović, Ivana Simović

2015

### XVI BALKAN MINERAL PROCESSING CONGRESS BOOK OF PROCEDINGS

Editors: Prof. Dr. Nadežda Ćalić, Academy of Engineering Sciences of Serbia

Prof. Dr. Ljubiša Andrić, ITNMS and Academy of Engineering Sciences of Serbia Prof. Dr. Igor Miljanović, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mining and Geology Dipl. Eng. Ivana Simović, Mining Institute Belgrade, Serbia

### **Congres Organizers and Publishers:**



MINING INSTITUTE BELGRADE 11080 Belgrade, Batajnički put 2 Tel: + 381 11 21 99 277, fax: + 381 11 26 14 632, e. mail: direktor@ribeograd.ac.rs, http://www.ribeograd.ac.rs



ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE OF SERBIA Department for Mining, Geology and Systems Sciences

11000 Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16 Tel: + 381 11 3370652, +381 64 11 27 533, e. mail: ains@ains.rs, http://www.ains.rs



UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE

11000 Belgrade, Studentski trg 1 Tel: + 381 11 3207400, fax: + 381 11 3207481 e. mail: kabinet@rect.bg.ac.rs, http://www.bg.ac.rs

For the publishers: MSc Milinko Radosavljević, director, Mining Institute Belgrade

Printed by: Colorgrafx, Belgrade

**Issued in:** 2015.

**Circulation: 300** 

ISBN 978-86-82673-11-8 (MI)

СІР - Каталогизација у публикацији -Народна библиотека Србије, Београд 622.7(082) BALKAN Mineral Processing Congress (16th ; 2015 ; Belgrade) Proceedings of XVI Balkan Mineral Processing Congress, Belgrade, Serbia, June 17-19, 2015. Vol. 2 / [congress organizers] Mining Institute Belgrade [and] Academy of Engineering Science of Serbia [and] University of Belgrade ; edited by Nadežda Ćalić ... [et al.]. - Belgrade : Mining Institute : Academy of Engineering Science of Serbia : University of Belgrade, 2015 (Belgrade : Colorgrafx). - VII str., str. 593-1147 : ilustr. ; 30 cm Tiraž 300. - Str. VII: Foreword / Nadežda Ćalić. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad. - Registar. ISBN 978-86-82673-11-8 (MI) 1. Ćalić, Nadežda [уредник] [аутор додатног текста] 2. Mining Institute (Belgrade) а) Руде - Припрема - Зборници COBISS.SR-ID 215733516

Copyright ©: Mining Institute Belgrade, Academy of Engineering Science of Serbia, University of Belgrade.

# XVI BALKAN MINERAL PROCESSING CONGRESS



HELD UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE MINISTRY OF MINING AND ENERGY, AND FINANCIALLY SUPPORTED BY THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF REPUBLIC OF SERBIA

**SPONSORS:** 

# **GENERAL SPONSOR**



# **GOLDEN SPONSORS**



SILVER SPONSOR





**BRONZE SPONSOR** 

**RioTinto** 

### **BMPC International Scientific Committee**

### **President:**

Prof. Dr. Güven Önal, Turkey

### **Vice President:**

Prof. Dr. Ljubiša Andrić, Serbia

### Members:

Prof. Dr. Gülhan Őzbayoğlu, Turkey Prof. Dr. Neşet Acarkan, Turkey Prof. Dr. Georgios Anastassakis, Greece Prof. Dr. Ivan Nishkov, Bulgaria Prof. Dr. Dessislava Kostova, Bulgaria Prof. Dr. Sanda Krausz, Romania Dr. Eng. Viorica Ciocan, Romania Prof. Dr. Nadežda Ćalić, Serbia Prof. Dr. Nadežda Ćalić, Serbia Prof. Dr. Genç Demi, Albania Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kimet Fetahu, Albania Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nedžad Alić, Bosnia and Herzegovina Dipl. Eng. Miroslav Glušac, Bosnia and Herzegovina Prof. Dr. Boris Krstev, FYR Macedonia Dipl. Eng. Boris Fidancev, FYR Macedonia Prof. Dr Shyqri Kelmendi, coreponding member

### Honorary members:

Prof. Dr. Nadejda Davcheva-Ilcheva, Bulgaria Prof. Dr. Paraschiv Ilie, Romania Prof. Dr. Zeki Douğan, Turkey Prof. Dr. Suna Atak, Turkey Prof. Dr. Dušan Salatic, Serbia

## **XVI BMPC Organizing Committee**

### **President:**

Prof. Dr. Nadežda Ćalić, Academy of Engineering Sciences of Serbia Vice Presidents:

Prof. Dr. Ljubiša Andrić, Institute for Technology of Nuclear and other Mineral Raw Materials-ITNMS, and Academy of Engineering Sciences of Serbia Dipl. Eng. Ivana Simović, Mining institute Belgrade Prof. Dr. Igor Miljanović, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mining and Geology

### Members:

Dipl. Eng. Kostović Nebojša, Mining institute Belgrade Dipl. Eng. Pavle Stjepanović, Mining institute Belgrade Dr. Dragan Radulović, ITNMS Belgrade Dipl. Eng. Nenad Milojković, Mining institute Belgrade Dr. Vladan Milošević, ITNMS Belgrade Dipl. Eng. Klara Konc Janković, Mining institute Belgrade Mr. Dejan Todorović ITNMS Belgrade Dipl. Eng. Dejan Lazić, Mining institute Belgrade Dr. Milan Petrov, ITNMS Belgrade Dipl. Eng. Jelena Čarapić, ITNMS Belgrade Dr. Slavica Mihajlović, ITNMS Belgrade Dipl. Eng. Liubiša Spasić, Coal Basin Kolubara Mr. Vladimir Jovanović, ITNMS Belgrade Dipl. Eng. Slavko Slipčević, Power Plants and Mines Kostolac Dr. Jovica Sokolović, University of Belgrade, Technical faculty at Bor Mr. Zoran Bartulović, ITNMS Belgrade Dr. Dragan Milanović, Mining and Metallurgy Institute Bor Dipl. Eng. Branislav Ivošević, ITNMS Belgrade Mr. Dejan Antić, University of Belgrade, Technical faculty at Bor Dr. Zoran Stevanović, Mining and Metallurgy Institute Bor Dipl. Eng. Maja Trumić, University of Belgrade, Technical faculty at Bor Dr. Miroslav Ignjatović, Chamber of Commerce and Industry of Serbia

### **XVI BMPC Scientific Committee**

Prof. Dr. Predrag Lazić Prof. Dr. Milena Kostović Prof. Dr. Zoran Marković Prof. Dr. Milan Trumić Prof. Dr. Grozdanka Bogdanović Prof. Dr. Rodoljub Stanojlović

### Honorary members:

Prof. Dr. Dragiša Draškić Prof. Dr. Dušan Salatić Prof. Dr. Stevan Puštrić Prof. Dr. Jovo Pavlica Prof. Dr. Slaven Deušić Prof. Dr. Siniša Milošević Prof. Dr. Nedeljko Magdalinović Prof. Dr. Milorad Grujić

# THE PRINCIPLES AND EXAMPLES OF LEACHING AND BIO-LEACHING OF COPPER ORES

## Boris Krstev<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandar Krstev<sup>2</sup>, Milena Danovska<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Natural and Technical Sciences, Goce Delcev University, Stip, FYR Macedonia <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Computer Science, Goce Delcev University, Stip, FYR Macedonia

**ABSTRACT**. The refractory or low grade copper chalcopyrite ores are investigated by conventional copper flotation and selective flotation for galena/sphalerite. In the meantime, investigations are directed to the new possibilities of leaching by microorganisms – bioleaching. The paper is result of these technologies and investigations carried out for recovery of in the mentioned ores. Using Simplex EVOP and computer programme Multisimplex the tabular and especially graphic performaces are most acceptable and excellent way for presentation of the leaching and microorganisms – bioleaching.

Keywords: investigation, leaching, bioleaching, SEVOP, Multisimplex, Bucim

### INTRODUCTION

Simplex **EVOP** was proposed as an alternative to the original Box **EVOP**. The Simplex requires much less experimentation and reaches the optimum of a process much more quickly. Instead of factorial experimentation, Simplex **EVOP** uses a succession of experimental designs in the form of a regular Simplex.

The regular Simplex is the first-order design which requires the smallest number of experimental points; for n factors (ndimensional), (n+1) experimental points are required. Thus for two factors the regular Simplex design is an equilateral triangle requiring three points; for three factors the design is a regular tetrahedron reguiring four points. As in other forms of EVOP, more than three factors can be handled but the designs cannot be shown diagrammatically. Fixing the number of measured intervals of each factor to the unit length of the Simplex side is important for all moves from the initial cycles. The regular Simplex design permits estimation of the first order effects in any number of factors. The direction of steepest ascent leading out of the Simplex is through the side or face (or hyper-plane) opposite the lowest value of response. The deletion of one old point and the introduction of one new point in this most favorable direction of movement leads to the formation of a new Simplex. At each stage regularity is maintained and each new Simplex after the first involves only one new point. The procedure can be repeated indefinitely.

- Scales for the separate factors should be chosen so that unit change in each is of equal to the experimenter. By a suitable linear transformation of co-ordinates any Simplex can be made "regular" (where "regular" has its usual geometric meaning), so that regularity in a specified co-ordinate system is not essential to the application of the technique.
- 2. In any Simplex determine the point which gives the least acceptable response, then move into the next Simplex by rejecting this point and replacing it by its mirror image. The factor-value (or co-ordinate) for the new point is given by:

 $2/n (A_1+A_2+A_3+...+A_{j-1}+A_{j+1}+...+A_n+A_{n+1})-A_j$ 

A succession of Simplex moves.

3. When this technique is used on observations which are subject to error it is possible for the system of Simplexes to circle around a spuriously high result, accepting this result as if it were a genuine optimum. If the original observation represent a genuine optimum, the repeat observation also will tend to be high. However, if the original observation is high because of experimental error, it is unlikely that the repeat observation will give so high a result and the point is likely to be eliminated.

4. Spuriously low results cause less trouble as these tend to be eliminated from the system fairly rapidly. In case such results are obtained, the following rule is applied:

"Where the new point in a Simplex has the lowest response, do not return to its mirror image, but move out of the Simplex from the next lowest points".

According to the process observation, the second move made should send the Simplex back to its original position *i.e.* triangle 2 should move to triangle 1. The rules formulated for Simplex do not allow such swings, so instead of dropping the worst observation for Simplex 2, the next worst observation is dropped. This ensures that a completely new Simplex is formed. This procedure will permit the system to circle continuously around an indicated optimum rather than oscillate between very narrow limits.

### Advantages of Simplex EVOP

The advantages of Simplex EVOP are: 1. in many processes the optimum tends to move with time. Responses may indicate a moving optimum even though the true optimum does not change. It is unrealistic, and may be useless, to make process changes on the basis of out-of-date and irrelevant information: only the most recent observations should be used. 2. Simplex provides a rigorous definition of the frequency and extent of the changes to be made. Each move is from one Simplex to the adjacent Simplex. The least acceptable set of operating condition and is replaced by its mirror image in the plane the remaining (hyper-plane) of points. When the real effects are small compared 3 with the observational errors they may be obscured and a false move may be made. As long as the change made is small compared with the changes in the basic design, no great harm will result. In any case, since any decision taken is reviewed and corrected continuously, the greater any adverse effect may be then the more rapidly will it be detected and eliminated. 4. The use of such a precise pattern of experimentation eliminates the need for statistical analysis of the data. The arithmetic involved is trivial and at no stage is it necessary to calculate the direction of steepest ascent. Although this procedure is ideal for control by means of a digital computer, plant supervisors optimizing a process with Simplex EVOP are under no disadvantage without a digital computer, an appropriate worksheet can be made. 5. The direction of advance depends only on the ranking of the responses and not on their scalar values. Thus Simplex EVOP may be used when a response can be arranged in order of preference for a combination of

responses, and the least preferable combination dropped every time.

### **Disadvantages of Simplex EVOP**

The disadvantages of Simplex EVOP are: 1. All factors must be quantitative, 2. In order to minimize wrongful elimination of points due to imprecise measurement of response, either the measurement techniques must be precise, or the Simplex points chosen must be far enough apart to outweigh the imprecision of measurement.

# Future techniques development and recoveries of metal bearing ores

Future sustainable development requires measures to reduce the dependence on nonrenewable raw materials and the demand for primary resources. New resources for metals must be developed with the aid of novel technologies, in addition, improvement of already existing mining techniques can result in metal recovery from sources that have not been of economic interest until today. Metalwinning processes based on the activity of microorganisms offer a possibility to obtain metals from mineral resources not accessible by conventional mining. Microbes such as bacteria and fungi convert metal compounds into their water-soluble forms and are biocatalysts of these leaching processes. Additionally, microbiological applying solubilization processes, it is possible to recover metal values from industrial wastes which can serve as secondary raw material.

Generally speaking, bioleaching is a process described as being "the dissolution of metals from their mineral sources by certain naturally occurring microorganisms" or "the use of microorganisms to transform elements so that the elements can be extracted from a material when water is filtered through it". Worldwide reserves of high-grade ores are diminishing at an alarming rate due to the rapid increase in the demand for metals. However there exist large stockpiles of low and lean grade ores yet to be mined. The problem is that the recovery of metals from low and lean grade ores using conventional techniques is very expensive due to high energy and capital inputs required. Another major problem is environmental costs due to the high level of pollution from these techniques. Environmental standards continue to stiffen, particularly regarding toxic wastes, so costs for ensuring environmental protection will continue to rise.

Biotechnology is regarded as one of the most promising and certainly the most

solution to these problems, compared to pyro metallurgy or chemical metallurgy. It holds the promise of dramatically reducing the capital costs. It also offers the opportunity to reduce environmental pollution. Biological processes are carried out under mild conditions, usually without addition of toxic chemicals. The products of biological processes end up in aqueous solution which is more amenable to containment and treatment then gaseous waste.

Bacterial leaching is a revolutionary technique used to extract various metals from their ores. Traditional methods of extraction such as roasting and smelting are very energy intensive and require high concentration of elements in ores. Bacterial leaching is possible with low concentrations and requires little energy inputs. The process is environment friendly even while giving extraction yields of over 85-90%.

Occurrence of copper in sulphide and oxidesilicate ores

Chalcopyrite dissolves in ferric chloride solution and the reactions, depending on the ferric concentration, may be simplified as:

 $CuFeS_2 + 4Fe+^3 = Cu+^2 + 5Fe+^2 + 2S$  $CuFeS_2 + 3Fe+^3 = Cu+ + 4Fe+^2 + 2S$ 

In the case of pyrite dissolution, the reaction can be expresses as:

 $4FeS_2 + 4Fe+^3 + O2 + 2H2O = 8Fe+^2 + 4 OH^2 + 8S$ 

Elemental sulfur, which is the predominant solid product of the reactions, can account for more than 50% by weight of the leaching residue. An economically successful recovery of sulfur will be a great asset to chloride-based hydrometallurgical processes. Elemental sulfur produced by hydrometallurgical processes usually contains 50-2000 parts per million of selenium and a lesser amount of tellurium; hence, it is not suitable for many industrial or agricultural uses. If the hydrometallurgical sulfur is to be marketable, selenium and tellurium may have to be removed. Otherwise, the sulfur may be considered as an industrial waste rather than a by-product. Dissolution of the sulfur with solvents such as carbon disulfide, xylenes, and tetrachloro-ethylene followed by evaporation fails to remove selenium and tellurium from the sulfur.

In recent studies, a process to remove selenium and tellurium from sulfur has been

developed. In the process, the Se- and Tecontaining sulfur was dissolved in an organic solvent such as xylene or tetrachloro-ethylene followed by mixing the solvent with an aqueous solution of a high redox potential. The mixing can be effectively conducted in a mixer-settler unit for a typical solvent extraction operation. Both selenium and tellurium were oxidized in the mixing and thus became very hydrophilic. After the separation of the organic phase from the aqueous phase, sulfur remained in the organic phase and selenium and tellurium in the aqueous phase. A sulfur product with high purity was obtained by crystallization from the organic phase.

### Leaching of copper minerals (chalcopyrite)

### Simulator for Tutorial B Control Variables C<u>a</u>lc. Reagent A, 0.1-0.6: ml 0.5 Reagent B, 1-2.5: 1.95 ml Close Response Variables Help A.U. Absorbance: 0,185 Decomposition A.U./h 0.052

Figure 1. Input parameters







Figure 3. Graphic display

# Bacterial leaching of copper minerals (chalcopyrite)

Control Variables			(*************************************
Reagent <u>A</u> , 0.1-0.6:	0.5	ml	Laic.
Reagent <u>B</u> , 1-2.5;	1.5	mi	Set
Response Variables			Close
Ab <u>s</u> orbance:	0.139	A.U.	Help
Decomposition:	0.045	A.U./h	

Figure 4. Input parameters



Figure 5. Graphic display



Figure 6. Graphic display

### CONCLUSION

Simplex **EVOP** was proposed as an alternative to the original Box **EVOP**. The Simplex requires much less experimentation and reaches the optimum of a process much more quickly. Instead of factorial experimentation, Simplex **EVOP** uses a succession of experimental designs in the form of a regular Simplex.

Using Simplex EVOP and computer programme Multisimplex the tabular and especially graphic performaces are most acceptable and excellent way for presentation of the leaching and microorganisms – bioleaching.

### REFERENCES

Krstev B., Golomoev, B., Golomeova, M., Krstev A. (2011). "The investigations and possibility of leaching or bioleaching from nickel bearing laterite ores", EuroBioTech Simposium, Istanbul, Turkey. Krstev B., Golomoev, B., Golomeova, M.,

Krstev A. (2011). "The choice between flotation, leaching or bioleaching from low grade copper bearing domestic ores", EuroBioTech Simposium, Istanbul, Turkey. Krstev A. PhD Thessis, 2012, UGD-Stip, RM Krstev A., Krstev B., Golomoev, B., Golomeova, M., (October 2009). "Computer presentation in mineral processing by software computer packets", Natural resources and technology, UGD – Shtip, FPTN N<sup>o</sup>.3, Volume 3.