ksikološkog stanovišta

va<sup>1</sup>, Vasil Kostov<sup>1</sup>

topje, Macedonia

, Al, Cr, Ni, Fe, Mn, Sr, Co) kod kivima, istraživanja vezana za na velikom nizu ribljih vrsta koje ribljih zajednica iz Tikveškog ovog istraživanja ukazali su na a nakupljanje metala i mišiće kao a. Riba ulovljena u toku 2006preporučiti kao zdrava hrana s urambeni standardi za Cd i Pb u

ulaciono jezero, R. Makedonija.

Originalan naučni rad
Original scientific paper
UDK: 634.8:663.2-051(497.7)
DOI: 10.7251/AGREN1201095D

Agro-knowledge Journal

Compariosn of three Chardonnay clones (*Vitis vinifera* L.), growing in Skopje'vineyard region, R.Macedonia

Violeta Dimovska<sup>1</sup>, Klime Beleski<sup>2</sup>, Krum Boškov<sup>3</sup>, Violeta Ivanova<sup>1</sup>, Fidanka Ilieva<sup>1</sup>, Elenica Sofijanova<sup>1</sup>, Petar Kletnikoski<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University "Goce Delcev", Faculty of Agriculture, Stip, Republic of Macedonia <sup>2</sup>University "St. Cyril and Methodius",Institute of Agriculture, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia

<sup>3</sup>University "St. Cyril and Methodius", Faculty for agriculture sciences and food, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia

# Summary

Some agro-biological and technological characteristics were determined for three Chardonnay clones selections, including 95, 124 and 277, cultivated in the Skopje vineyard region, the R. Macedonia (during the period from 2006 to 2008). A certificated seedling material was introduced from France in 1999/2000, cultivated and studied at the vineyards of the Department of Viticulture and Oenology, Institute of Agriculture, Skopje. The aim of the study was to apply optimal agrotechnical and ampelotechnical measures and to compare characteristics of the three Chardonnay clones (95,124,277) cultivated in the same agro-ecological conditions. Different values of the examined characteristics were observed because of the selection specification as well as the ecological conditions during the period of the study. It was found that the yield was most stable for the clone 277 with a coefficient of variable 14.4, and the biggest variation of 21.7 was noticed for the 124 clone. Considering the chemical composition, more significant variation was observed for sugar content in the grape must from the clone 277, while insignificant variations were noticed for total acids in the must of all clones studied. The content of alcohol in the wines ranged from 12.88 vol% in the clone 277 to 13.95 vol% in the clone 95 for the examined period, thus insignificant variations were found in the three clones. Wines from all three clones for the vintage 2006 had greater contents of total extract and, for the examined period, wines with most extract for the clones 95 (21,30 g/L) and 277 (21,20 g/L). The wine made from the 277 clone was with the highest wine-tasting rating of 17.97 points.

Key words: Chardonnay, clones, yield, wine, degustation rating

#### Introduction

In the last 10 years, the vineyards in the R. Macedonia were being rebuilt, and the assortments with certified planting material with clones of more qualitative varieties such as Chardonnay, Sauvignon White, Traminer, Merlot, Cabernet Sauvignon, Cabernet Franc and others were being improved. Studying of clones and getting a more realistic understanding of their agro-biological and technological characteristics are of great importance for the legitimacy of their breeding and further expansion. The clones of one variety differ from the population in better features of the grape and better quality of wines obtained (Michael M. Anderson et al., 2008). Thus, clones differ in some properties, such as yield, mass of the cluster, sugar content, total acids, which are mostly the result of varietal specificity and less of the impact of cultivation conditions (ENTAV-INRA, 1995). The selected clones of the Chardonnay variety, characterised by higher yield and clusters with greater mass, give lower quality of wine compared to the lower-yielding clones of Chardonnay (Simon Cowham, 1999). From a great number of Chardonnay clones, wines with distinctive fruit aroma, higher content of extract, etc. are produced in France (ENTAV-INRA, 1995), Italy (Calò, Antonio, Angelo Costacurta, 1990), Australia (Michael M. Anderson at al., 2008) and other countries.

## Materials and methods

Three French clones (95, 124 and 277) were cultivated in the same agro-ecological conditions with application of regular agro-technical and ampelotechnical measures. The seedlings were raised in 2000 with certified antivirus material from France. The process of cultivation was a fruit-wall with two legged Guyot way of pruning, 2.5m planting distance between the lines and 1.3m between the grapevines in line with an optimal strain of 22 buds by grapevine. During vegetation, regular agro-technical and ampelotechnical measures were applied. 30 grapevines of each clone were included in the studies (three repetitions of 10 grapevines). The yield of grapevine per ha was determined as a representative parameter of the agro-biological and technological characteristics. The chemical composition of must (content of sugar and total acids) and the quality of the wine were studied through chemical composition and wine-tasting.

The yield of 30 grapevines by 1ha was mathematically calculated. The content of sugar in the must was determined by using Oechsle Scale and the composition of total acids was determined by titration method using solution of N/4 NaOH with factor 1.0000.

As for wine production, grapes were harvested at technological maturity from each clone separately and transported to the Institute of Agriculture, Skopje, the R. Macedonia. The must from grapes was sulphated with 80 mg/l liquid SO<sub>2</sub>, and then selected wine yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, was added. After turbulent fermentation, wines were collected in glass balloons wherein the alcoholic fermentation finished. The temperature during the alcoholic fermentation was 19-21  $^{0}$ C. The wines produced using these procedures were poured off 2 times, and during every

pouring free S was d (Internspecific organo twenty)

ecolog variety ned Ca period kg/vin coeffic clone kg/vin

Tab. 1.

Clo

ramete for the by year coeffic

Tab. 2.

Clones

95 124

Legend

Agrozna

R. Macedonia were being rebuilt, al with clones of more qualitative te, Traminer, Merlot, Cabernet improved. Studying of clones and gro-biological and technological egitimacy of their breeding and fer from the population in better btained (Michael M. Anderson et such as yield, mass of the cluster, sult of varietal specificity and less NRA, 1995). The selected clones er yield and clusters with greater o the lower-yielding clones of at number of Chardonnay clones, t of extract, etc. are produced in onio, Angelo Costacurta, 1990), other countries.

ods

vere cultivated in the same agrolar agro-technical and ampelo-2000 with certified antivirus mavas a fruit-wall with two legged ween the lines and 1.3m between f 22 buds by grapevine. During mical measures were applied. 30 studies (three repetitions of 10 determined as a representative cal characteristics. The chemical cids) and the quality of the wine ine-tasting.

athematically calculated. The coy using Oechsle Scale and the tion method using solution of N/4

technological maturity from each of Agriculture, Skopje, the R. with 80 mg/l liquid SO<sub>2</sub>, and then as added. After turbulent fermenerein the alcoholic fermentation rementation was 19-21  $^{0}$ C. The red off 2 times, and during every

pouring off, a correction of  $SO_2$  was done in order to keep it not lower than 25 mg/L free  $SO_2$  and not higher than 80 mg/L total  $SO_2$ . The chemical analysis of the wine was done after the second pouring off and recommended methods of O.I.V (International organization of vine and wine) were used. To determine the wine specific weight, alcohol and dry extract, a pycnometer method was used. The organoleptic grade of wines was performed by application of Buxbaum method of twenty points (Standard ISO 5495, 1983).

## Results and discussion

Yield is an important agro-biological characteristic that depends on agro-ecological conditions and substrate, but especially on the genetic potential of a variety. Table 1 shows the results of a quantity of handpicked grapes of the examined Chardonnay clones. Under the same conditions of cultivation, during the test period 2006/2008, the highest average yield was obtained with clones 95 (3.840 kg/vine) and 277 (3.830 kg/vine) and with the greatest stability over years, with a coefficient of variation of 14.4. In those years, the greatest variation was found in clone 124 (21.7) and it was characterised by the lowest average yield of 3.620 kg/vine.

Tab. 1. Yield of grape kg/vine

1 rinos	grozuu kg/cok	ioi			
Clones	2006	2007	2008	2006/2008	CV%
95	3.820	3.164	4.525	3.840	17.7
124	2.806	3.680	4.375	3.620	21.7
277	3.783	3.304	4.404	3.830	14.4

The content of sugar and total acids and their ratio are among important parameters based on which the quality of one variety or clone is assessed. The results for the sugar content and total acids in the must are presented in Table 2. Compared by years, the sugar content in the must in all clones was quite stable with the coefficient of variation from 7.0 (clone 95) to 10.2 (clone 124).

Tab. 2. Content of sugar and total acids in the must (g/L)


Sadržaj šećera i ukupnih kiselina u širi

	Seren Leij	Beech	v direcipit	iii iiibci	titet et bii	ı				
Clones	2006		2007		2008		2006/20	008	CV%	
	sugar	TA	sugar	TA	sugar	TA	sugar	TA	sugar	TA
95	223	7.9	232	6.7	202	6.8	219	7.1	7.0	7.4
124	194	7.3	235	7.1	231	6.4	220	6.9	10.2	6.8
277	202	8.1	236	7.7	236	6.7	224	7.5	8.7	9.6

Legend: T/A – total acids, CV%- variation factor

Tab. 3. Chemical analysis on wine Hemijska analiza vina

	Hering	and a summand for the summand		, 6		2	1	-11 /	2	0 0 0	(toontoon)	(together of			
		A	Alcohol vol%	%		Dry	extract	Dry extract g/L (sugar-free extract)	ar-iree	G	extract)	extract)			1012
			Year	~				Year			35	31	31	Yea	Year
Clones	2006	2007	2008	80/90	CA%	2006	2007	2008	80/90		%AO	CV% 2006	70 PF	2006	2006 2007
95	13.74	14.38	13.74	13.95	2.65	24.0	19.0	20.9	21.30		11.85	11.85 6.0		6.0	6.0 5.6
124	12.95	12.78	12.92	12.88	0.70	27.6	18.3	20.3	20.07		8.28	3.28 5.2	15	5.2	5.2 6.0
277	13.30	13.21	14.47	13.66	5.15	23.7	18.6	21.3	21.30	1	12.04	2.04 6.3		6.3	6.3 6.3.
Degus	station ra	Degustation rating on wine (points)	ine (point	(8		1	h b								
Clones	S		2006		2007	7		2008			2	2006/2008	2006/2008	2006/2008	2006/2008 CV%
95			18.4		17.8	8		17.4				17.87	17.87	17.87	17.87
124			18.3		17.6	9		17.3				17.73	17.73	17.73	17.73 2.89
277			18.0		18.1	1		17.8			1111	17.97	17.97	17.97	17.97 0.85
						•									



Graph. 1. Degusttion rating of wine Ocjena degustacije vina

(clone wines average 7.5 g/ of all variat

are pr range (clone were from conte free e period from (2006 with 6 exami tastin wines were range

> conte the ex 277 a white

Agroz



Graph. 1. Degusttion rating of wine Ocjena degustacije vina

During the study period, the average sugar content ranged from 219 g/L (clone 95) to 224 g/L (clone 227), which enabled production of medium strong wines. Freshness of the wines depends on the content of total acids in the must. The average content of total acids ranged from 6.9 g/L (clone 124), 7.1 g/L (clone 95) to 7.5 g/L (clone 277). No significant changes of the content of total acids in the must of all clones were observed during the period of three years. The coefficient of variation ranged from 6.8 for the clone 124 to 9.6 for the clone 277.

The results of chemical analysis of wines made from the examined clones are presented in Table 3. There were small changes of the alcohol content in the wines produced from different clone vintages. The average content of alcohol ranged from 12.88 vol% (clone 124) to 13.66 vol% (clone 277) to 13.95 vol% (clone 95). No significant changes of the content of alcohol in the wine of all clones were observed during the period of three years. The coefficient of variation ranged from 0.70 for the clone 124 to 5.15 for the clone 277. This is due to different sugar contents in the must and completed alcoholic fermentation. In addition, the sugarfree extract (dry extract) in wine is a characteristic parameter for each variety. In the period of study, values for the dry extract ranged from 20.2 g/L in the wine made from clone 124 (2006/08) to 21.3 g/L in the wine made from clones 95 and 277 (2006/08). Wine-tasting evaluation of wine is one of the main features, and together with chemical analysis, it determines the quality of wine. Wine-tasting points of the examined wines are given in Table 3 and presented in Graph 4. Average winetasting grades ranged from 17.3 for the wine from clone 124 to 17.97 points for wines from clone 277. In the years of testing, wines from all Chardonnay clones were characterised by high stability assessment, i.e. the coefficient of variation ranged from 0.85 for clone 277 to 2.89 for clone 124.

## Conclusions

The yield results, sugar content and total acids in the must, alcohol content, content of dry extract in wine and wine-tasting assessment, and their balance during the examination period are characteristics that distinguish the Chardonnay clones 277 and 95 from the clone 124. With these clones, we will improve the quality of white wines in the R. Macedonia along with usage of proper technology.

#### References

- 1. Calò, Antonio and Angelo Costacurta (1990): Notes on the Cultivation of Chardonnay in Italy. The focus on Chardonnay journal Sonoma-Cutret vineyards, Inc.
- 2. *ENTAV,INRA,ENSAM,ONIVINS* (1995): Catalogue of selected wine grape varieties and clones cultivated in France.
- 3. *International standard ISO 5495* (1983): Sensory analysis-Methodology Paired comparison test.1-6.

- 4. *Larry J. Bettigs* (2003): Comparison of seven Chardonnay clonal selection in the Salinas Valley. American journal of enology and viticulture. 54(3): 203-206.
- 5. Matthew W. Fidelibus, L. Peter Christensen, Donald G. Katayama, Pierre-Thibaut Verdenal (2006): Yield components and fruit composition of six Chardonnay grapevine clones in the central San Joaquin Vally, Califronia. American journal of enology and viticulture, 57(4): 503-506.
- 6. Michael M. Anderson, Rhonda J. Smith, Molly A.Williams, James A. Wolpert (2008): Viticultural evaluation of French and California Chardonnay clones grown for production of sparkling wine. American journal of enology and viticulture, 59:73-77.
- 7. Simon Cowham (1999): The Australian Grapegrower & Winemaker.
- 8. *Slavica Todić, Zoran Bešlić, Ivan Kuljanċić* (2005): Varyng degree of grafting compatibility between cv. Chardonnay, Merlot and different grapevine rotostocks. Journal central European agriculture. Vol.6, N°2, 6:2, 115-120.
- 9. Stanković S., Savić T., Ranković V., Radojević I., Ristić M. (2005): The yield and quality of the grape and wine of some clones of the cultivar Chardonnay in Kutina vineyard district. Agris (No 1-2):41-49.

Poređ

Violeta

<sup>1</sup>Univer:
<sup>2</sup>Unive

<sup>3</sup> Univer

tehnološl koji se u sadni m ispitivan instituta ampelot i 277); ispitivar tokom r 277 sa 1 124. Uz šećera i širi svil 277 do otkrive sadržaj od klon bodova

Violeta E-mail violeta

Agrozi