

Assist. Prof. Marija EMILIJKA KUKUBAJSKA, PhD

Tatjana Ilievska, M.A. candidate

A FABIAN SOCIETY, FRANKFURT SCHOOL: UTOPIA, DYSTOPIA, "REALITY"

Abstract:

Today's world undergoes certain changes that are considered antihuman and unnatural since primordial times, yet the mainstream media treats them as progressive humanistic needs for human rights and liberties. Those "changes" presuppose teaching that young generation need no sets of moral rules as they restrict their rights and freedom. Consequently, choice of individual moral interpretations are imposed as new norms of the world community of edutainment and culture of renewed aggression, alienation, virtual monsters and morphs for real wars. The results are large scale deformations, malformations of character and integrity, on personal and professional level. Children/students refuse to, or are incapable of recognizing law and order or authority, neither in parents nor in teachers, and later employers or leaders in respective areas, so they are easily prone to become followers of new ethics, void of morals. Renewed freedoms also include new sexual revolution, reversed or mixed sex identities and new children's psychology for mind-altering interventions. Non-indoctrinated intellectuals know: such tendencies derive from 19th century philosophical and socio-political ideas and movements that promoted socialism as replacement for capitalism by targeting traditional Judeo-Christian moral values with personal responsibility and rights, freedom and free market economy. This paper gives an abbreviated review of two societies that impacted and actively morph today's culture and ethics of a "new" world order.

Key words: socialism, society, moral order, New Harmony, freedom, human rights

Introduction:

Britain was not the homeland of socialism but several occurrences in history certainly prompted its emergence there. The socialist movements in Great Britain of the time, consider the Cromwellian Republic, the separation of church and state and the Industrial Revolution as precursors for the emergence of the first rebellious philosophers that organized the new, and inevitable masses of workers in the, otherwise, constructive and unparalleled industrial prosperity of the British empire in the world. The socialist misfits opposed what they could not create (practical prosperity), therefore resorted to ideological constructs, including utopian that resulted in dystopian views on reality and truth. Those furious escalations of socialist and Marxist movements and became angry and potent cradles for international socialist 1 University "Goce Delcev" – Stip, Republic of Macedonia 2 Teacher, Elementary school "Blaze Koneski" Skopje 92 (Soviet) and national socialist (Fascist) revolutions of the 20th century, causing wars, destructions, moral devastation and 21st century devaluation of the human character and degradation of cultural and ethical values. Most of those early socialist societies had one idea and ideology in common: pathological hatred particularly towards Western Christian culture and religion. In today's world such socio-political hostility is perpetrated through the new world order activism and its leftist radical liberal and totalitarian prejudice against believers, their agenda hidden behind permissive progressive rights and liberties of the individual, categories which are already being abused and dismissed by the overwhelming government control, in "developed societies", and globally. This analysis of Owen's New Harmony community, the Fabian Society and the Frankfurt School impact on 20th and 21st century "changes", renders evaluation of old and renewed forms and factors of Marxist constructs that undergo revival in the 21st century, through renewed revolutions.

## ROBERT OWEN AND THE NEW HARMONY SOCIETY IN AMERICAN CULTURE

Robert Owen, the British industrialist, and writer, who nevertheless felt misfit and became a rebel against the industrial revolution, had his socialist dreams who supported his escapism into utopian society imagination and crude idealism, although these “ideals” had already failed with the French revolution and the Paris “Commune” (communism). According to his ideas, and ideology of equality without personal ownership of land and goods, of shared communal property, and of brotherhood and justice for all, were to be an obtainable reality of togetherness, communism/socialism. When Owen came to America, he was already well-known for his teachings of a dream-land society, which made it easy for him to gather a group of utopian followers. In April 1825, in Indiana, Robert Owen formed the New Harmony Community. From German Lutherans he bought a vast area of fertile land and 160 buildings. For this project of ideal government he found free accommodation for his followers and imposed set of his extremely strict rules to live by. To rule this dictated socialist community “successfully”, Owen welcomed only those who would obey his rules and instructions, ex.: how often to dispose of trash; when to return home at night, or even how often to take baths. Dictating centralized rules against human nature and instincts for orderly self-government, already indicated contradiction to freedom and equality in this “ideal community”. Owen’s positive contribution was the opening of preschool and making the education compulsory for everyone in the Community. However, indoctrination there brought justified “change” of thinking: he taught the Community children of socialism and the necessity to impose and follow rigid regulations of freedom and obligations. Owen, the developer of the Theory of Human Nature, thought that human character could be moulded under pressure. He naively, as well as tyrannically, believed that children should be taught only socialism, from birth, which would later result in harmonious classless societies. Owen’s socialist construct was supposed to create the *Übermensch* or the Superhuman. This progressive and avant-garde Community ideology was one of the contributors to communist and fascist tyranny and tragedies of 20th c. revolutions, wars, confusions and conflicts in the agenda for a new world order. Owen, obsessively in love with his Community, was a self-absorbed founder who soon compared himself to God (syndrome of previous and future authoritarian rulers, of grotesque and monstrous figures in literature and history of culture). This 19th c. atheist and quasi spiritualist preached that his newly invented sets of rules are superior to previously imagined God given moral values. He believed he was the second messiah who, unlike Christ who spoke in parables, spoke the literal truth. According to Owen, 1. traditional religion, 2. conventional marriage and 3. private property were the three great evils from which man had to be set free, which also evolved into 20th c. destruction of the human character values. He believed that his own engineering of the set of rules for the New Harmony Society was the way to real freedom. His power of propaganda convinced even president James Monroe to attend his speech in the Congress, 1825. However, the contradictions of communism were displayed by Owen himself: he refused to turn over the ownership of his property to the Community. Two years later, after several reorganizations and seven different constitutions, the Community failed, and collapsed under its unsustainable utopianism. Four reasons for the collapse of the New Harmony Society 1. Revisionism (When all think they are right but still all goes wrong). Robert Owen banished traditional, Christian moral rules and established new situational rules to govern the imaginary concept of this society. Similar imaginary societal constructs became obsession of the 19th c. transcendentalists, and the 20th c. Hipi movement transcendental meditations induced by psychedelic substances (to invoke religious-like trans, and dependency). Those rules were made by one, autocratic ruler, and not a parliamentary democracy; they proved to be imperfect and unsustainable, and subsequently were opposed by “intellectual dreamers” who improvised their own reality. This happened to Owen’s “perfect” society, as it happened to Hitler’s *Übermensch* or Lenin and Stalin’s communist ideologies for an international order. Owen’s “perfect” rules, rewritten seven times,

illustrate the leftist lexicon of revisionism. 2. Determinism (When someone tries to mould individual character in unfitting moulds). Owen believed that a person's individuality is shaped by the environmental, living conditions. He did not admit that individuals could rise much higher above uniformed conditions imposed by the society. Also, there certainly were individuals unable or unwilling to fit the present moulds. Very soon the Community faced discrepancies: there were those who could do better but were not allowed to; and there were those who could not meet the present expectations, and that made them idle Community members who leaned on the backs of those who could. This led to dissatisfaction and to large groups leaving the counterfeit equality and togetherness. 3. Atheism (When the individual is supposed to live by manmade, ill-made rules and not by God given moral rules that withstood the test of Time): One of the most colossal mistakes that Owen made was when he turned his back on religion. Owen often blamed religion and traditional marriage for all social evils. Failing to establish a firm set of moral and social rules that would substitute the biblical ones, his "communal" society faced anarchy and animosity. Disillusioned, most of the Community dwellers recognized this new order as unsustainable. 4. Common property (When those that work and have, must share the capital with those that do not want to work and thus do not have): Robert Owen was against private property and free market economy. In his Community he dictated "property of togetherness" that was an antithesis of the human nature and its individual rights to own their self-created property. There were people who worked hard in the fields to provide food, and there were those who knew that even if they do not work hard, the Community will still give them the same portion of goods as those who worked hard. This was a perfect Community for the idle, but a living nightmare for the ethical, honest and hard workers. Unfortunately, Owen's socialism did not die with the New Harmony Community. Mankind continued to entertain its imperfect imagination and defective ideas, propagating forged ideologies, causing colossal atrocities.

#### THE FABIAN SOCIETY

The Fabian Society was established in 1884 as a socialist organization aimed to spread the principles of socialism by imposing subsequent reforms in all social and cultural areas. The Society got the name according to the Roman general Fabius who had a strategy of slowly wearing down and destroying his enemies and previous regimes, using insidious subversion, betrayal and chaos. The Society uses his perfidious new agenda for creating a one-world, centralized state of states, a form of internationalism. One of the most prominent Fabianists was the socialist writer George Bernard Shaw who explained the Fabian Socialism in three sentences, which became obvious to the great minds who warn of destructive tendencies and "political correctness" today. (Orwell, Coulter etc.) "Under Socialism, you would not be allowed to be poor. You would be forcibly fed, clothed, lodged, taught and employed whether you liked it or not. If it were discovered that you had not character and industry enough to be worth all this trouble, you might possibly be executed in a kindly manner; but whilst you were permitted to live, you would have to live well." (G. B. Shaw) The Fabian elite members were to produce set of rules that everyone will have to obey, so that the individual in the masses will be free to not think, or work competitively (becoming unaware that his life is not free). This ideology has been today replicated by the secular progressive social activists that impose their rules to control and be intolerant for others' concepts of life. Fabianists who failed to obey the rules were to be "kindly" executed. This unmistakably lead George Orwell himself to leave the communist party grand historic lies, and write the Animal Farm and 1984, stating about the equalitarian utopias: "All animals are equal but some are more equal than others". In the "farm" pigs shaped the rules according to their liking, and others obeyed them unquestionably. In order to implement the set of rules, the big centralized government released notorious and perpetuated lies through the media controlled by the governing apparatus. Four lies used by the Fabian Society and the New World Order 1. There is no mine and yours – everything is our: Such concept of ideologies and activism led to the emergence of tyrannical

revolutions and governments in the 20th century. These totalitarian governments invented laws that helped them “legally” seize all private properties (material and intellectual) from honest and hardworking people, under the false pretext that expropriated goods from individuals will serve the people, the common good. This certainly was not the case: many of the “nationalized” properties were allocated by the socialist establishment and used/stolen for their personal, private and “ruling regime” purposes.

2. The earth is dying because of you: Environmentalism is another made up tool for forcing communism, including forms of Fabianism, in today’s world against the natural and inevitable capital production and unavoidable consumption by mankind. Environmentalists from the West, use militant and enforced regulations, convincing developed and developing societies in the world, to give up their privately owned land (for “wild parks”, to give up individual ways of living, to move to hardly sustainable but easy to control urban “cages”, and to help the community, “the government” save the dying mother Earth (another New Age lexicon which substitutes God’s planet). The most recent example of this is the UN agenda 21 program that would set international requirements for how people must eat, learn, travel and communicate. Conservative scientists prove that this agenda has nothing to do with environment and everything to do with control, yet it is “politically incorrect” to say that. Consequently, the world leftist elite tailors rules according to its exclusive agenda aimed at subordination of people and nations. The Left finds its social experiments to be effective ways for creating obedient, exploited and pauperized masses, while their governments work on “progressive prosperity”. The Obama radical left administration asked 20 scientists to demand from him to persecute sceptics of global warming. Unless one is blinded by “the socialist dictatorship ruling now even the American university research centres” the ideology is obvious: scientists supporting environmentalist groups engage in repetitive misinformation campaign and confuse the public on global warming. (PatriotUpdate.com, Sept. 2015)

3. Do not rush to form a family, you share sexual pleasures freely anyway: “You can become a mom even in your 50s” was one of the detrimental consequences of this agenda, where children with physical and mental health problems will result and join the population controlled by the state. The in vitro fertilization was initially allowed in many countries for couples who could not conceive due to medical conditions. As soon as it became legal, the medical community promoted it as a way to conceive at any age. It was promoted in such a way that it dramatically altered young women’s life-planning of a constructive and healthy unity, a trusted and loving togetherness in marriage. Atheists do not believe in love and goodness, and consider “love and marriage” as a utilitarian need throughout the ages, producing kids out of personal pleasure, and using them as community work-force. Is it “politically incorrect” when citizens of various countries ask who will raise those children born by aging parents? It seems so because the conclusion leads to the “caretaker – the state, the government programs for re-educating new masses subdued to one-world-ideologies”. These anti-family oriented agendas have been serving the liberal agenda and its moral chaos in the West, but the Fabians and the Frankfurt “scholars” of today have not considered the rest of the billion cultures who could never be unified under the neoMarxist uniformity of thought, regardless to the trillions of dollars or euros allocated to radically changed educational programs against traditional order that protected freedom of conscience and human rights in their best. Majority of world population (from diverse cultural and religious background) is asking itself: For how long will children of the aging parents will enjoy the irreplaceable parental role of nurturing and teach them right from wrong? The answer is again: as short as possible, so that totalitarian governments replace parenting and traditional family with new revised and relativist bioethical systems of “no right or wrong – all is right under the Left”.

4. There is famine because the earth is overpopulated: By applying the historic logic, analysts realize that the larger and stronger the masses - the bigger the chance for disobedience. According to the neo-communist/socialist agenda, reducing the world population to a manageable number will successfully solve the problem. Public opinion, especially from remote and under populated areas, disagree, as they live under circumstances that the planet is

not overpopulated, only the big cities? Therefore even the “world famine” problem could be solved should the citizens of big cities, and others, dedicated their inventions and intention – to settle into other, empty and pristine regions of the planet itself. The leftist propaganda photos that children are dying from famine, or that 40% Americans are under the poverty line, is an ideological lie. The planet is capable to feed all its inhabitants, but are the engineers of the new social order capable, or willing to do it; that is the question behind the agenda. Most of the world population sees this hidden agenda, but is incapable of its own change. The American and European population is getting fatter each year; supermarkets throw and destroy tons of perfectly edible food simply because “regulators” decided to put unreasonably short shelf life. This is “politically incorrect” data, which is nevertheless statistically correct. The Fabian society of 19th century and the New World Order of the 20th – 21st century, should not be treated in the realm of theories of conspiracy since their members openly talk about their plans and upcoming agendas, with exception to their classified agendas of ultimate secrecy. They publish books and give interviews and speeches on public occasions, and their voice diffuses seemingly “perfect” propagandized convictions. However, what about the people (the government of the people, for the people, by the people, as America was designed by the Founding fathers)? The masses of people (highly educated, illiterate or misinformed), are opening their minds and hearts, carefully deciding what to believe in. Some of them resort to extreme radical refusal of Western philosophies and their one-world order. Some of decide to revolt and rebel against “the architects of the Underworld, the world of Satan, according to them (although that underworld has insidiously penetrated their virtual or real world). The results of these two centuries of social experiments have brought horrible catastrophes to mankind, besides the benefits of the IT revolution, despite its addictive technological and moral traps within some seemingly beneficial superfluous riches of the digital age (cybercrimes, loss of security, privacy, and corrupt control). Even from the age of H. G. Wells and his book *The Intelligent Woman’s Guide to Socialism and Capitalism* (1902), socialists systematically plan their control over one-world-state, through mind-and-population control, regardless to the cost in human capital (for radical liberal socialist it is just capital, nevertheless, a disposable capital of the already despised capitalism. Compare H. G. Wells’ views and the cynical realities: “The men of the New Republic will not be squeamish, either, in facing or inflicting death, because they will have a fuller sense of the possibilities of life than we possess. They will have an ideal that will make killing worth the while; like Abraham, they will have the faith to kill, and they will have no superstitions about death. They will naturally regard the modest suicide of incurably melancholy, or diseased or helpless persons as a high and courageous act of duty rather than a crime.”

THE FRANKURT SCHOOL: in six steps 1. What is the “Frankfurt School”? In Frankfurt, Germany, after WWI, Institute for Social Research was formed. It was established by a group of Marxist intellectuals all of which were Jews, and soon became famous as the Frankfurt School. The institute was funded by Jewish rich man, Felix Weil, who detested other successful producers of wealth, of capital. During Hitler’s campaign against atheist Marxist Jews who hated capitalism but abused it mercilessly and enriched themselves hypocritically, the Institute moved to America, in 1934. There it worked for the American Jewish Committee, the largest Zionist organization in the United States. Unlike the atheist Jews, followers and inventors of the ever resistant and ever mutating Frankfurt school agents and diffusers of its renewed agenda, other 21st century Jews believe in God and continue to adhere to lasting laws, physical and spiritual, laws of nature and the universe, instilled in mankind’s culture and cultivation codes, the 10 Commandments - revealed through Moses, accepted by Muslims and Christians as well. The New Testament Ecclesiastes, 12:13 states beliefs that the Frankfurt School vehemently opposes: “Let us hear the conclusion of the whole matter: Fear God, and keep his commandments: for this is the whole duty of man”. Opposite to this logical, rational, sustainable and proven law of nature (legal and psychological principle), the liberal left Frankfurt School declares no fear from anything else, but their own earthly laws for their one-world order, as the whole duty of

man should cease to be “give to Caesar what is his, and to God what is His”, but give to the earthly ruler all you have and all you are, he will take care of you. 2. Who were its members and their goals? Members of the Frankfurt School have developed a “critical theory of society” that was intolerant to all other social and religious, ethnic or race differences. It was a combination of Freudian and Marx’s ideas. Theorists of the Frankfurt schools promoted their hatred of Western civilization and culture with its traditional values, which already had its devastating results throughout supporting the anti-Western social/sexual/drug revolution of the 1960s “Beat” generation; the secular non-Western world from the beginning of the 20th century; the post-colonial socialism even among Islamic societies. Their goal was to destroy traditional values that worked for millennia among Christian, as well as Judaic, Muslim, Hindu and other belief systems, in order to create a god-less, materialistic men and man-views, to weak the individual for an easily manipulation and break up into desperation, alienation, chaos and immorally, which later evolved into anti-Christian movements like Existentialism. The Frankfurt School individual was to be disarmed from traditional defence systems, and free for socialist ideological and political reformation. This “school” fought against all forms of nationalism and ethnocentrism, although it actually acted against non-Jews! All members of the school were Jewish ultranationalists, whose work continued to be funded by the Zionist organization, American Jewish Committee. On a planetary level, apart from the Western mainstream deception, The Frankfurt School had proven to be the source of all destructive leftist ideology diffused throughout the 20th century. Modern and postmodern constructs in political philosophy, culture, socio and psycho-linguistics with all their variants of already questionably unsustainable multiculturalism and anti-democratic “political correctness”, included permissiveness for their radical liberal orders and disorders, disorienting reconstruction and deconstruction. Militant powers infused all their leftist, secular and anti-Christian movements, including the LGBT movement (even when it was generically spiritual, and with new-age permissive priests/priestesses. According to contemporary observers among Western and non-Western conservative analysts, even most recent migration “refugee” movements, result from the “Jewish policy makers” of the New World Order, ordered to bring a new geo-political, demographic and economic redistribution. One of the reactions to this neo-communist/socialist/atheist Jewish agenda was the Charlie Hebdo case. 3. How and when they spread their influence in Europe? German banks owned by Jewish money-lovers and capitalism-haters, under the leadership of Max Warburg, have been financially supporting Lenin, Trotsky and others socialists/communists in their intention to overthrow the Christian Russian Tsar. Jews in Russia were indoctrinated by the non-Russian atheist Jews to support the anti-capitalist and anti-monarchist revolution. In the revolutionary 1905, radical left parties in Russia, such as the Socialist Revolutionary Party, created “modern” Russian “duma” (Lower house of parliament), to oppose the balance with the Upper house, and lead to the final goal accomplished with atrocities on unprecedented level: overthrow of the Romanoff’s Orthodox Christian dynasty and the loss of at least 50 million Russians (primarily of Christian faith). Despite the “political correctness” of the new-Marxists today, it can freely be stated, without any dose of anti-Semitism, that socialism in Russia was dictated as a movement of the Jews. Russian socialists/communists recruited Jewish youth into the ranks of the Bolshevik regime as well, just like Hitler’s young troupes later. While the Russian White Army patriots heroically fought to reclaim their freedom from the Jews, Bolsheviks employed fanatic forces in suppressing the national defense proclaiming it as “counterrevolutionary”. Unfortunately, the Jewish revolutionaries prevailed with their merciless tactics in propaganda and persecution that far exceeded all victims of WWI in Europe, 17 million. The National Commissariat (first people’s commissariat) was formed. Its members were Uricki, Trotsky, Zinoviev, Sverdlov and Kaganovitz – all Jews. In 1918 European intelligence agencies were full with reports that communism is in fact international conspiracy of Jewish atheists against European Christian based cultures, countries and nations. Several facts supported the claim among which was the fact that 75% of the Soviet commissars were Jews. Winston Churchill in the February issue of

"Illustrated Sunday Herald" from 1920 commented: "There is no need to exaggerate the part played in the creation of Bolshevism ...by these international and for the most part atheistic Jews. It is certainly a very great one." 4. What was their plan after WW II? During the pro-Bolshevik phase, the Frankfurt School operated with the mission: by all means identify and re-educate the opposition to the revolution, through the media (propaganda), through education (mind-altering), through culture (destructive art, music and behavior). When this goal was developing according to their agenda, the Frankfurt School aimed at the destruction of both the Eastern Orthodox and the Western Judeo-Christian civilization. After World War II, the Society, still by the Rockefeller Foundation and the American Jewish Committee, conducted ideological research to identify anti-Semitism in post war societies. Anti-Semitism, however, was just a cover-up. The real goal was to measure the Judeo-Christian capacity for self-preservation, to perpetrate further attacks against it, including misrepresentation of Christianity as "authoritarian", non-modern, non-progressive, traditional moral restrictions. Their aim was to also bring down the U.S. republican legal principles upon which the U.S. was founded, aim which has finally been instituted in the 21st c. by the American atheist Jewish and Christian socialists (in the Democratic party), through the Obama administration. America has never had more "authoritarian" government than this, yet, to publicly share this view has become politically "incorrect", and even legally punishable. To expand this view critical of the government, or other religions, is already incorrect and punishable by the leftists and anti-Christians when even mentioned in the mainstream media. Example of this bias is the Al-Aqsa Mosque address delivered on 9.11.2015 by Sheikh Muhammad Ayed, shown on Memri TV, but hidden by the mainstream U.S. media, under the pretence of protecting the freedom of religion and the rights to religious truth by preventing someone else's religious truth. 5. What did they do and what are they still doing to keep changing to their goals? The American mainstream socialist cultural, education, media and political elite invest in its realization. The rest of America, its majority, refuses to participate in this mass-culture and intellectual devastation. For them, the non-bigoted ones, this culture-war has been long orchestrated by the Left. The results have become obvious as a mainstream "reality": alienation and insensitivity, psycho and socialpathologies; mass deception and mind-altering "change" through educational and cultural investments, grants, scholarships and projects financed by the Left power brokers' agenda. They are the "producers" of new theories, ideas and ideologies of "civilized barbarism", often created subliminally, without the consumers' awareness of being indoctrinated for intolerance of other realities, except "theirs, although prejudiced one". Thus, music envelopes the world mentally damaging it instead of healing and uplifting the human soul and the heart (as these two are considered out-dated categories in the age of mocked moral conscience and politically correct lexicon of the atheists). The radical leftist list goes on: super-violent movies and ultra-horrifying monsters from other trans-worlds impose as likeable winners over the good old humans who are presented as incapable of defending themselves. Visual arts display disfigurements and horrors as beauty (not as humanizing and uplifting aesthetics). Culture operating on drugs, corruption and human trafficking while promoting promiscuity. Culture promoting pagan, pantheistic love for nature, animals and trees, but spreading worldwide hostility, towards Christians in particular. Culture against the sanctity of life, and pro suicide-on-demand (euthanasia), pro renewed loss of identity and madness, amplified after the 20th century philosophy of the absurd, the nihilism, the "theatre of the absurd", and the existential disorientation and moral decay. Culture prohibiting no boundaries in its destructive liberties. Culture of post-national, post-historic, post-religious world of togetherness, while engineering exiles and genocide of Christians in Asia, Africa, and the U.S. Culture that researches identity and genealogy (in medicine and archaeology), but refuses to test the present and the future when having to resolve past mistakes caused by mainstream degenerative changes and devaluation of the healthy human heritage: the civilizing moral conscience, and the right to religious, and Christian freedom, without violent threats for supremacy of other cultures, religions and ideologies. Can a non-biased scholar, or

a common audience, comprehend the fact that an African-American Studies Department banned Shakespeare's "Othello" because its plot is "racist", while scholars glorify writers such as paedophile Nabokov, or atheist Rushdie? The aim of the Frankfurt school is to present art, creativity and creation not as a sublime inspiration from the universe, and its Creator, but an impure malfunctioning of the humans. The Frankfurt School did not prohibit hashish and opium but certainly helped to further incapacitate its victims. 6. What has been the impact of this social-ideological activism upon the postmodern world? Physical and cultural genocide; ideological persecution; religious intolerance and faith-based persecution; moral bankruptcy; institutionalized corruption; alienated and angry young and adult population, including infuriated and irrational university leadership for social change/revolutions; broken traditional family ties; increased rate of literate but utterly uneducated population; increased rates of drug addiction; family violence; loveless partnerships; homosexual "marriages" - futile sex; paedophilia, promiscuity; infanticide; increased crime rates (induced by diabolic music, destructive films and videos); relativism in law, medicine and life-styles; individualism; new sophisticated technology-based manipulation and exploitation systems against the socially, economically or religiously excluded nations; revisionism and revolt against moral conscience that was valid until atheists replaced God by man-made, godless ideologies and cultures of sub-spiritual edutainment, detected even by a reformed liberal, like Mario Vargas Llosa - as death of (Western) culture. Concluding remarks: It is indisputable for the rational and emotional understanding of Western history of culture and civilization, to admit that what made the USA great, from the 1600 hundreds to the 3rd millennia, was not socialism but capitalism. That is maybe why socialism has been regaining strength for its ultimately destructive come back against capitalism. Capitalism, in a simplified lexicon is based on the natural law and is not in conflict with the universal rights of men: individual liberties, including freedom of ownership (material and intellectual). This natural law of freedom supported the development of the American dream, and led to the American unprecedented model of democracy, regardless to unavoidable imperfections in the making. The capitalist miracle of prosperity in the U.S., in a comprehensive description means: to own what you produce as your capital; to freely keep the profit of that ownership, to have civil obligation to give from what you own: to the government (taxes, as regulated by elected government) and to the others, the socially or otherwise underprivileged (charity, as needed or as being capable of). Capitalism made America great from its founding, diversity did not make it great, as liberal, transformative professors profess today. Capitalism attracted all demographic diversities from around the globe, in pursuit of their egalitarian, unprecedented freedom and prosperity in education, science and economy in America. In search of religious and social freedom, the Pilgrims, left Europe, and tried to establish a new Shining city on the Hill, a version of Christian Socialism, yet an early collective of that kind failed as well, just as the other utopian societies and experiments analysed in this paper. The failure of the early social (ist) community on American ground is admitted in the writings of the governor of the first American colony, William Bradford himself. The greatest magic of the American historic championship in democracy and development have actually been liberty, freedom: cultural, religious, moral, economic freedom, freedom with its individual rights against government oppression. Those who forget history, are doomed to repeat its mistakes. Yet, those who do not forget history but intentionally force their own failed illusions into intended failures of others, those are to be confronted, so that just societies and misled generations are rescued from deception, if it isn't too late, as Dr. Scott Peck has been warning the Western culture of its ominous extreme social(ist) liberties.

## References

1. Dahrendorf, (R). (1995): A History of the London School of Economics and Political Science, 1895: Oxford University Press (book)



2. J. A. Schumpeter, *Business Cycles*, vol. 1 (1939), page 271 (referred to in Phyllis Deane's *The First Industrial Revolution*, Cambridge University Press, England, 1965, page 84.)
3. Levin, Mark, *Liberty and Tyranny* (2010): Threshold publishing
4. Levin, Mark, *Ameritopia*. (2012): Threshold publishing
5. Llosa, Mario Vargas, (2015): *Notes on the Death of Culture*: Faber&Faber
6. Orwell, George, (1946): *Animal Farm*. Harcourt Brace & Co. A FABIAN SOCIETY, FRANKFURT SCHOOL: UTOPIA, DYSTOPIA, "REALITY" 102
7. Orwell, George, (2008): 1984: Penguin publ.
8. Peck, Scott, (1983) *People of the Lie, Hope For Healing Human Evil* (ISBN 0 7126 1857 0)
9. Shaw, George, Bernard, (1928): *The Intelligent Woman's Guide to Socialism and Capitalism*: London, Constable.
10. Smith, Adam, (1953): *The Wealth of Nations: Gateway Edition*, Henry Regnery Company, Chicago (book).
11. <http://patriotupdate.com/scientists-order-obama-to-prosecute-skeptics-of-global-warming/2015>
12. <http://conservativebyte.com/2015/09/what-made-america-great/> 13. [www.rushlimbaugh.com](http://www.rushlimbaugh.com)
13. <https://youtu.be/28wzYNzCcYO>