Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica Mathematical Journal Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

Mathematical Journal

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Informatics

SOME NOTES ON MORITA EQUIVALENCE OF OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

G. K. Eleftherakis

Communicated by M. S. Anoussis

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present some key moments in the history of Morita equivalence for operator algebras.

We briefly describe some events in the history of Morita equivalence for operator algebras. We make no claim of completeness in this presentations. Also, we have modified some of the definitions so as to be compatible with our presentation. The reader can use the books [2, 13] for the notions of operator space theory used in this article. In what follows if H, K are Hilbert spaces, we denote by B(H, K) the space of bounded operators from H to K and we write B(H) for B(H, H).

1. Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras. M. A. Rieffel introduced the idea of Morita equivalence of C^* and W^* algebras. The definitions and results of this section can be deduced from [5, 15, 16, 17]. Let H, K be Hilbert spaces

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47L40; Secondary 47L45, 47L55. Key words: Morita equivalence, operator algebras.

and \mathcal{T} be a subspace of B(H, K). The space \mathcal{T} is called a ternary ring of operators (TRO) if

$$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T}\subset\mathcal{T}$$
.

Definition 1.1. Let A be a C^* algebra. We denote by ${}_A\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{M}$ the category of representations of A: The objects of ${}_A\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{M}$ are pairs (π, H) where H is a Hilbert space and

$$\pi: A \to B(H)$$

is a *-homomorphism such that $\overline{\pi(A)(H)} = H$. If $(\pi_i, H_i) \in {}_{A}\mathfrak{HM}, i = 1, 2$ the corresponding space of category homorphisms is the following:

$$B_A(H_1, H_2) = \{x \in B(H_1, H_2) : x\pi_1(a) = \pi_2(a)x \ \forall \ a \in A\}.$$

Definition 1.2. Let A, B be C^* algebras. These algebras are called strongly Morita equivalent in the sense of Rieffel (R-SME), if there exist 1-1*-homomorphisms

$$\pi: A \to B(H), \quad \rho: B \to B(K)$$

where H, K are Hilbert spaces and there exists a TRO $\mathcal{T} \subset B(H, K)$ such that

$$\pi(A) = [\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T}]^{-\|\cdot\|}, \quad \rho(B) = [\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*]^{-\|\cdot\|}.$$

Theorem 1.1. Let A, B be R-SME C^* algebras. Then the categories ${}_{A}\mathfrak{HM}$ and ${}_{B}\mathfrak{HM}$ are equivalent.

Definition 1.3. Let K be the space of compact operators acting on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. The C^* algebras A and B are called strongly stably isomorphic if the C^* algebras $A \otimes K$ and $B \otimes K$ are C^* isomorphic. (The tensor product \otimes is the spatial tensor product, see [2]).

We can easily see that if A and B are strongly, stably isomorphic then they are R-SME. The converse doesn't hold. But if A and B possess countable approximate identities the converse is true. In particular, if A and B are separable or unital, then they are R-SME if and only if they are strongly stably isomorphic.

2. Morita equivalence of W^* -algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a W^* algebra. We denote by ${}_M\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{M}$ the category of normal representations of M: The objects of ${}_M\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{M}$ are pairs (π,H) where H is a Hilbert space and

$$\pi: M \to B(H)$$

is a weak* continuous *-homomorphism such that the identity operator belongs to $\pi(M)$. If $(\pi_i, H_i) \in {}_{M}\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{M}, i = 1, 2$ the corresponding space of category homorphisms is the following:

$$B_M(H_1, H_2) = \{x \in B(H_1, H_2) : x\pi_1(a) = \pi_2(a)x \ \forall \ a \in M\}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let M, N be W^* algebras. These algebras are called weakly Morita equivalent in the sense of Rieffel (R-WME), if there exist 1-1 weak* continuous *-homomorphisms

$$\pi: M \to B(H), \quad \rho: N \to B(K)$$

where H, K are Hilbert spaces and there exists a TRO $\mathcal{T} \subset B(H, K)$ such that

$$\pi(M) = [\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{T}]^{-w^*}, \quad \rho(N) = [\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}^*]^{-w^*}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let M, N be a W^* algebras and

$$\mathcal{F}: M\mathfrak{NHM} \rightarrow N\mathfrak{NHM}$$

be a functor. This functor is called a *-functor if for every pair of objects $H_1, H_2 \in M\mathfrak{NSM}$ and every $x \in B_M(H_1, H_2)$, the map $\mathcal{F}(x^*)$ is equal to $\mathcal{F}(x)^*$.

Theorem 2.1. Let M, N be W^* algebras. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The algebras M and N are R-WME.
- (ii) The categories $_{M}\mathfrak{NHM}$, $_{N}\mathfrak{NHM}$ are equivalent through a *-functor.
- (iii) There exists a cardinal I such that the algebras $M_I(M)$, $M_I(N)$ of all $I \times I$ matrices whose finite submatrices have uniformly bounded norms are isomorphic as W^* algebras.
- (iv) There exist faithful normal representations π of M and ρ of N such that the commutants $\pi(M)'$ and $\rho(N)'$ are isomorphic.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) was proved in [14]. The others can be found in [2].

3. Strong Morita equivalence of operator algebras. In this section we assume that all operator algebras (see [2, 13]) have contractive approximate identities (cai). The definitions and results of this section can be deduced from [1, 3].

Definition 3.1. Let A be an operator algebra and X be an operator space. We say that X is a left A-operator module if there exists a completely contractive bilinear map

$$A \times X \to X$$
.

Similarly we can define right A-operator modules.

Definition 3.2. Let A be an operator algebra. We denote by ${}_{A}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{M}$ the category of left operator modules: The objects of ${}_{A}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{M}$ are the left operator modules X for which

$$e_i x \to x \ \forall \ x \in X$$

where $(e_i)_i$ is a cai for the algebra A. If $X_1, X_2 \in {}_{A}\mathfrak{DM}$, the corresponding space of category homorphisms is the following:

 $CB_A(X_1, X_2) = \{u : X_1 \to X_2 : u \text{ is a compl. bounded A-module map } \}.$

Definition 3.3. Let A, B be operator algebras. A functor

$$\mathcal{F}: {}_{A}\mathfrak{OM} \rightarrow {}_{B}\mathfrak{OM}$$

is called completely contractive if the map $x \to \mathcal{F}(x)$ is completely contractive for all pairs $X_1, X_2 \in {}_A\mathfrak{DM}$ and all $x \in CB_A(X_1, X_2)$.

Definition 3.4. Let A, B be operator algebras. These algebras are called strongly Morita equivalent, in the sense of Blecher Muhly and Paulsen, (BMP-SME) if there exist completely isometric homomorphisms

$$\pi: A \to B(H), \ \rho: B \to B(K),$$

where H, K are Hilbert spaces such that

$$\overline{\pi(A)(H)} = H, \quad \overline{\rho(B)(K)} = K$$

and such that there exist a $(\rho(B), \pi(A))$ -module U and a $(\pi(A), \rho(B))$ -module V satisfying

 $\pi(A) = [VU]^{-\|\cdot\|}, \quad \rho(B) = [UV]^{-\|\cdot\|}.$

We also require the existence of nets of positive integers $(n_t)_t, (m_i)_i$ and nets

$$(v_t^1) \subset Ball(R_{n_t}(V)), \quad (u_t^1) \subset Ball(C_{n_t}(U))$$

$$(u_i^2) \subset Ball(R_{m_i}(U)), \quad (v_i^2) \subset Ball(C_{m_i}(V))$$

such that $(v_t^1 u_t^1)_t$ is an approximate identity for $\pi(A)$ and $(u_i^2 v_i^2)_i$ is an approximate identity for $\rho(B)$.

We recall (see [2, 13]) the Haagerup tensor product $U \otimes^h V$ of two operator spaces U and V. This space is an operator space and it has the property of linearizing completely bounded bilinear maps. Suppose U is a right A operator module and V is a left A operator module over an operator algebra A. We denote by Ω the space

$$[u \otimes (av) - (ua) \otimes v: \quad u \in U \quad v \in V \quad a \in A]^{-\|\cdot\|}$$

and by $U \otimes_A^h V$ the quotient $(U \otimes^h V)/\Omega$. This space has the property of linearizing A-balanced completely bounded bilinear maps. This means that if X is an operator space and

$$\phi: U \times V \to X$$

is a completely bounded bilinear map satisfying

$$\phi(ua, v) = \phi(u, av) \ \forall \ u \in U, \ v \in V, \ a \in A$$

then there exists a completely bounded linear map

$$\hat{\phi}: U \otimes^h_A V \to X$$

such that

$$\hat{\phi}(u \otimes_A v) = \phi(u, v).$$

If B is an operator algebra and U (resp. V) is a left (resp. right) B operator module then $U \otimes_A^h V$ is a left (resp. right) B operator module.

Theorem 3.1. Let A, B be operator algebras. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The algebras A and B are BMP-SME.
- (ii) The categories ${}_{A}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{M}, \; {}_{B}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{M}$ are equivalent through a completely contractive functor.
- (iii) There exist a (B, A) operator module U and an (A, B) operator module V such that $U \otimes_A^h V$ and B (resp. $V \otimes_B^h U$ and A) are equivalent as B (resp. A) operator modules.

Theorem 3.2. Two C^* algebras are R-SME if and only if they are BMP-SME.

4. Weak* Morita equivalence of dual operator algebras. In this section we assume that all dual operator algebras (see [2]) are unital. If M is

a dual operator algebra, a normal representation of M is a map $\alpha: M \to B(H)$, where H is a Hilbert space and α is a completely contractive weak* continuous homomorphism. The definitions and results of this section can be deduced from [4, 12].

Definition 4.1. Let M be a dual operator algebra and X be a dual operator space. We say that X is a left dual M-operator module if there exists a completely contractive weak* continuous bilinear map

$$M \times X \to X$$
.

Similarly we can define right dual operator modules over M.

Definition 4.2. Let M be a dual operator algebra. We denote by ${}_{M}\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{M}$ the category of left dual operator modules: The objects of ${}_{M}\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{M}$ are the left dual operator modules X for which $\overline{MX}^{w^*} = X$. If $X_1, X_2 \in {}_{M}\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{M}$ the corresponding space $CB^{\sigma}_{M}(X_1, X_2)$ of category morphisms is the space of all weak* continuous completely bounded M-module maps from X_1 into X_2 .

Definition 4.3. Let M, N be dual operator algebras. These algebras are called weakly * Morita equivalent in the sence of Blecher and Kashyap (BK-WME) if there exist completely isometric normal unital representations

$$\pi: M \to B(H), \quad \rho: N \to B(K),$$

where H, K are Hilbert spaces such that there exist a $(\rho(N), \pi(M))$ bimodule $U \subset B(H, K)$ and a $(\pi(M), \rho(N))$ bimodule $V \subset B(K, H)$ satisfying

$$\pi(M) = [VU]^{-w^*}, \quad \rho(N) = [UV]^{-w^*}.$$

Also we require the existence of nets of positive integers n_t , m_i and nets

$$(v_t^1) \subset Ball(R_{n_t}(V)), \quad (u_t^1) \subset Ball(C_{n_t}(U))$$

$$(u_i^2) \subset Ball(R_{m_i}(U)), \quad (v_i^2) \subset Ball(C_{m_i}(V))$$

such that the nets $(v_t^1 u_t^1)_t$ and $(u_i^2 v_i^2)_i$ converge in the weak* topology to the identity operators.

We recall (see [2]) the normal Haagerup tensor product $U \otimes^{\sigma h} V$ of the dual operator spaces U and V. This space is a dual operator space and it has the property of linearizing weak* continuous completely bounded bilinear maps.

Suppose U is a right M dual operator module and V is a left M dual operator module over a dual operator algebra M. We denote by Ω^{σ} the space

$$[u \otimes (av) - (ua) \otimes v : u \in U \ v \in V \ a \in A]^{-w^*}$$

and by $U \otimes_M^{\sigma h} V$ the quotient $(U \otimes^{\sigma h} V)/\Omega^{\sigma}$. This space has the property of linearizing M-balanced weak* continuous completely bounded bilinear maps [11]. This means that if X is a dual operator space and

$$\phi: U \times V \to X$$

is a weak* continuous completely bounded bilinear map satisfying

$$\phi(ua, v) = \phi(u, av)$$
 for all $u \in U, v \in V$ and $a \in A$

then there exists a weak* continuous completely bounded linear map

$$\hat{\phi}: U \otimes_M^{\sigma h} V \to X$$

such that

$$\hat{\phi}(u \otimes_M v) = \phi(u, v).$$

If N is an operator algebra and the above U, (resp. V) is a left (resp. right) N operator module then $U \otimes_M^{\sigma h} V$ is a left (resp. right) N operator module [11].

Definition 4.4. Let N, M be dual operator algebras. A functor

$$\mathcal{F}: M\mathfrak{NDM} \rightarrow N\mathfrak{NDM}$$

is called completely contractive and normal if the map

$$\mathcal{F}: CB^{\sigma}_{M}(X_{1}, X_{2}) \to CB^{\sigma}_{N}(\mathcal{F}(X_{1}), \mathcal{F}(X_{2}))$$

is completely contractive and weak* continuous for all $X_1, X_2 \in {}_{M}\mathfrak{NOM}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let M, N be dual operator algebras. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The algebras M and N are BK-WME.
- (ii) The categories ${}_{M}\mathfrak{NOM}$, ${}_{N}\mathfrak{NOM}$ are equivalent through a completely contractive and normal functor.
- (iii) There exist a (N,M) dual operator module U and an (M,N) dual operator module V such that $U \otimes_M^{\sigma h} V$ and N (resp. $V \otimes_N^{\sigma h} U$ and M) are equivalent as N (resp. M) dual operator bimodules.

Theorem 4.2. Two W^* algebras are R-WME if and only if they are BK-WME.

Theorem 4.3. Let A, B be BMP-SME approximately unital operator algebras. Then their second duals A^{**}, B^{**} are BK-WME algebras.

Theorem 4.4 [10]. Let $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$ be nests (see [6]) acting on the Hilbert spaces H_1, H_2 respectively, let M_1, M_2 be the corresponding nest algebras and let $\mathcal{K}(M_1), \mathcal{K}(M_2)$ be the subalgebras of compact operators. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The algebras M_1, M_2 are BK-WME.
- (ii) The algebras $K(M_1)$, $K(M_2)$ are BMP-SME.
- (iii) The nests $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$ are isomorphic.
- (iv) There exist an (M_1, M_2) bimodule $U \subset B(H_2, H_1)$ and an (M_2, M_1) bimodule $V \subset B(H_1, H_2)$ such that

$$M_1 = [UV]^{-w^*}, \quad M_2 = [VU]^{-w^*}.$$

5. Stable isomorphism of dual operator algebras.

Definition 5.1 [9]. Let M, N be weak* closed algebras acting on the Hilbert spaces H and K respectively. We call them TRO equivalent if there exists a TRO $\mathcal{T} \subset B(H, K)$ such that

$$M = [\mathcal{T}^* N \mathcal{T}]^{-w^*} \quad N = [\mathcal{T} M \mathcal{T}^*]^{-w^*}.$$

Theorem 5.1 [9]. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ be reflexive lattices and let $M = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1)$, $N = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)$ be the corresponding algebras of operators leaving invariant every element of \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 (see [6]); also let $\Delta(M) = M \cap M^*$ and $\Delta(N) = N \cap N^*$ be their diagonals. The algebras M and N are TRO equivalent if and only if there exists a *-isomorphism

$$\theta: \Delta(M)' = \mathcal{L}_1'' \to \Delta(N)' = \mathcal{L}_2''$$

such that $\theta(\mathcal{L}_1) = \mathcal{L}_2$.

Definition 5.2. Let M, N be dual operator algebras. We call them Δ -equivalent if there exist completely isometric normal representations α of M and β of N such that $\alpha(M)$ and $\beta(N)$ are TRO equivalent.

As in Definition 2.1 if M is a unital dual operator algebra, we denote by ${}_{M}\mathfrak{NH}\mathfrak{M}$ the category of normal completetely contractive representations of M: If $(H_i, \alpha_i), i = 1, 2$ are objects of ${}_{M}\mathfrak{NH}\mathfrak{M}$, the space of morphisms $Hom_M(H_1, H_2)$ is the following:

$$Hom_M(H_1, H_2) = \{x \in B(H_1, H_2) : x\alpha_1(m) = \alpha_2(m)x \ \forall \ m \in M\}.$$

Let $\Delta(M) = M \cap M^*$ be the diagonal of M. Observe that $\alpha_i|_{\Delta(M)}$ is a *-homomorphism since α_i is a contraction.

We also define the category ${}_{M}\mathfrak{DNSM}$ which has the same objects as ${}_{M}\mathfrak{NSM}$ but for every pair of objects $(H_{i}, \alpha_{i}), i = 1, 2$ the space of morphisms is the following:

$$Hom_M^D(H_1, H_2) = \{x \in B(H_1, H_2) : x\alpha_1(m) = \alpha_2(m)x \ \forall \ m \in \Delta(M)\}.$$

Observe that

$$Hom_M(H_1, H_2) \subset Hom_M^D(H_1, H_2).$$

We say that $\mathcal{F}: {}_{M}\mathfrak{DNSM} \to {}_{M}\mathfrak{DNSM}$ is a *-functor if for every pair $H_1, H_2 \in {}_{M}\mathfrak{DNSM}$

$$\mathcal{F}(x^*) = \mathcal{F}(x)^* \ \forall \ x \in Hom_M^D(H_1, H_2).$$

The main theorem of this section, which is a generalization of Theorem 2.1, is the following:

Theorem 5.2 [7, 9, 11]. Let M, N be unital dual operator algebras. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The algebras M and N are Δ -equivalent.
- (ii) There exists a *-functor of equivalence

$$\mathcal{F}: \ _{M}\mathfrak{DNHM}
ightarrow \ _{N}\mathfrak{DNHM}$$

such that

$$\mathcal{F}(M\mathfrak{NHM}) = M\mathfrak{NHM}.$$

- (iii) The algebras M and N are stably isomorphic in the following sense: there exists a cardinal I such that the algebras $M_I(M), M_I(N)$ of $I \times I$ matrices whose finite submatrices have uniformly bounded norms are isomorphic as dual operator algebras.
- (iv) There exist completely isometric normal representations α of M and β of N such that

$$\alpha(M) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_1), \quad \beta(N) = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{L}_2)$$

for reflexive lattices \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 and there exists a *-isomorphism

$$\theta: \alpha(\Delta(M))' \to \beta(\Delta(N))'$$

mapping \mathcal{L}_1 onto \mathcal{L}_2 .

Theorem 5.3 [8]. Let M, N be CSL algebras (see [6]). Then M and N are Δ -equivalent if and only if they are TRO equivalent.

Theorem 5.4 [8]. Let M, N be Δ -equivalent dual operator algebras. For every completely isometric normal representation α of M there exists a completely isometric normal representation β of N such that the algebras $\alpha(M)$ and $\beta(N)$ are TRO equivalent.

Theorem 5.5 [7]. Two W^* algebras are Δ -equivalent if and only if they are R-WME.

Theorem 5.6 [4]. If two dual operator algebras are Δ -equivalent then they are BK-WME.

We are going to prove that Δ -equivalence is strictly stronger than BK-WME:

Theorem 5.7 [7, 8, 10]. Two BK-WME algebras are not necessarily stably isomorphic.

Proof. Let $\{q_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an enumarations of the rationals. We define the measure $\mu = \sum_n \delta_{q_n}$ on the Borel σ -algebra of \mathbb{R} , where δ_{q_n} is the Dirac

measure. Let $H=L^2(\mathbb{R},\mu)$ and let Q_t^+ (resp. Q_t^-) be the projection onto $L^2((-\infty,t],\mu)$ (resp. $L^2((-\infty,t),\mu)$). The set

$$\mathcal{N}_1 = \{Q_t^+, Q_t^- : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a totally atomic nest in H. Let λ be Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and let N_t be the projection onto $L^2((-\infty,t],\lambda)$. We define the nest

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = \{ Q_t^+ \oplus N_t, \, Q_t^- \oplus N_t : t \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

acting on $H \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}, \lambda)$. The map $\theta : \mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{N}_2$ sending the projection Q_t^+ to $Q_t^+ \oplus N_t$ and Q_t^- to $Q_t^- \oplus N_t$ is a nest isomorphism [6]. Therefore, by Theorem 4.4, the nest algebras $M_1 = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N}_1)$ and $M_2 = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{N}_2)$ are BK-WME. Suppose now that they are stably isomorphic. By Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, M_1 and M_2 should be TRO equivalent. So by Theorem 5.1 there would exist a *-isomorphism

$$\sigma: \mathcal{N}_1'' \to \mathcal{N}_2''$$

such that $\sigma(\mathcal{N}_1) = \mathcal{N}_2$. But \mathcal{N}_1'' is a totally atomic maximal abelian selfadjoint algebra (masa) and \mathcal{N}_2'' is a masa with a nontrivial continuous part. This is a contradiction. So M_1 and M_2 are not stably isomorphic. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. P. BLECHER. A Morita theorem for algebras of operators on Hilbert space. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 156, 2–3 (2001), 153–169.
- [2] D. P. BLECHER, CH. LE MERDY. Operator Algebras and their Modules an Operator Space Approach. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 30. Oxford Science Publications. Oxford, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [3] D. P. BLECHER, P. S. MUHLY, V. I. PAULSEN. Categories of operator modules (Morita equivalence and projective modules). *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **143**, 681 (2000), viii+94 pp.
- [4] D. P. BLECHER, U. KASHYAP. Morita equivalence of dual operator algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212, 11 (2008), 2401–2412.
- [5] L. G. Brown, P. Green, M. A. Rieffel. Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C*-algebras. Pacific J. Math. 71, 2 (1977), 349–363.
- [6] K. R. DAVIDSON. Nest Algebras. Harlow, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1988.
- [7] G. K. Eleftherakis. A Morita type equivalence for dual operator algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212, 5 (2008), 1061–1071.
- [8] G. K. Eleftherakis. Morita type equivalence and reflexive algebras. *J. Operator Theory* **64**, 1 (2010), no 1, 3–17.
- [9] G. K. Eleftherakis. TRO equivalent algebras. *Houston J. Math.* **38**, 1 (2012), 153–175.
- [10] G. K. Eleftherakis. Morita equivalence of nest algebras. *Math. Scand.* **113**, 1, (2013), 83–107.
- [11] G. K. Eleftherakis, V. I. Paulsen. Stably isomorphic dual operator algebras. *Math. Ann.* **341**, *1* (2008), 99–112.

- [12] U. Kashyap. A Morita theorem for dual operator algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **256**, *11* (2009), 3545–3567.
- [13] V. I. PAULSEN. Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 78. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [14] M. A. RIEFFEL. Morita equivalence for C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **5** (1974), 51–96.
- [15] M. A. RIEFFEL. Morita equivalence for operator algebras. Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), 285–298, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Providence, R.I., Amer. Math. Soc., 1982.
- [16] M. A. RIEFFEL. Induced representations of C^* -algebras. Advances in Math. 13 (1974), 176–257.
- [17] M. A. RIEFFEL. Strong Morita equivalence of certain transformation group C^* -algebras. Math. Ann. 222, 1 (1976), 7–22.

G. K. Eleftherakis
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
University of Patras
265 04 Patras, Greece
e-mail: gelefth@math.upatras.gr

Received December 1, 2013