Virtual Environment For Military Training Based On Computer Gamming Technology

Slavko Angelevski
Voena akademija "General Mihailo Apostolski"
Skopje, R.Macedonia
slavko.angelevski@ugd.edu.mk,

Dimitar Bogatinov
Voena akademija "General Mihailo Apostolski"
Skopje, R.Macedonia
dimitar.bogatinov@ugd.edu.mk,

Jugoslav Ackovski
Voena akademija "General Mihailo Apostolski"
Skopje, R.Macedonia
Jugoslav.ackovski@ugd.edu.mk

Nevena Serafimova
Voena akademija "General Mihailo Apostolski"
Skopje, R.Macedonia
nevena.serafimova@ugd.edu.mk

***Abstract*— Information Age brings technologies that provide unparalleled opportunities for military and security force, including Army of the Republic of Macedonia, to develop and adopt new operational concepts for training and experimentation that may radically enhance their competitive edge. The military is undergoing a major cultural shift in its approach to simulation, and this processes need to be sufficiently flexible in adapting quickly to remain current with the rapid changes in information-related technologies as well as organizational adaptations associated with these advances.**

Serious games show to have positive impact on training results. Advantages of simulation games lay in the provision of a safe training environment, where users are able to play, test and probe without serious consequences. At the same time, it is important to engage learners by providing a motivating, challenging environment, which becomes meaningful to the player when skills and knowledge acquired within the game are transferrable to real work tasks.

The purpose of this paper is to give a brief info about computer gaming and serious games, and in line with that to describe a new approach for building a firearms simulator based on a serious game and motion sensor technology. Also, we are giving initial assessment of suitability of this kind virtual environment for military training. These initiatives represent the first steps in our commitment to explore and harness computer games in engaging our next generation of soldiers in the development of war-fighting concepts of the future.

Keywords - Computer Gamming, Serious games, Military Experimentation, Simulations, Inertial Sensors, Education.

I. INTRODUCTION

In many fields, training and learning activities are cost and time intensive, and often fail to answer specific knowledge needs in the workplace [1]. What is different today is the emergence of a culture that accepts computer games as powerful tools for learning, socialization, and training, as in [2].

There are many benefits from the use of computer gaming technology for military training. First, there is a low level of risk and low cost of using commercial off-the-shelf software. In developing a game for commercial release, the developers would no doubt have allocated a significant budget toward research and development of a robust game engine with leading edge technology. We are thus able to leverage the sophisticated game technology already in place, at a fraction of the cost, by creating custom game content to serve as proxy worlds for the exploration of war fighting concepts. Second, the game-development toolkits released by the game developers provide a layer of abstraction from the underlying code, allowing experienced mod makers to create game content with a relatively short turnaround time on the order of days to weeks. Third, The ease and responsiveness of modifying an in-game mission greatly facilitates timely probes into any interesting behaviors observed as the simulation is being run. This may be achieved by tweaking a scenario offline to introduce new or unexpected events or enemy behavior in order to elicit an adaptive response from the participants in subsequent simulation runs. At last, the Army also recognizes that games serve as effective vehicles to reach out to this technology-savvy generation of soldiers. Unlike traditional military simulators, little user training is required when games are used, as most soldiers are already familiar with the standard game controls and are very comfortable playing in networked gaming environments. We seek to leverage on the familiar medium of computer games to engage our soldiers in military experimentation by encouraging them

to interact and address operational challenges within these virtual environments, free from the constraints of current doctrine or technology [3].

Also, there are some challenges of use of computer gaming technology for military training. First, there is limited realism of games. Despite the many benefits of using games to facilitate concept exploration, several challenges need to be considered and addressed. The first of these is the lack of realism, a critique commonly levied at simulation systems. Several aspects of games commonly highlighted as not realistic are the limited ways that intangibles such as morale, camaraderie, fear, and fatigue are modeled in games, as well as the restricted peripheral vision and spatial auditory cues presented to players. These are valid critiques; however, our purpose of using games is to facilitate concept exploration and idea generation. We are of the opinion that some departure from realism is acceptable in a simulation that facilitates creative thinking, as long as the essence of the specific contexts being explored is distilled and modeled with sufficient fidelity. Second, there is variability in player proficiency. Challenge of using games is that the results of the gaming simulation largely hinge on the participants' familiarity with the game controls and their tactile dexterity. This may somewhat be mitigated by conducting familiarization runs for each batch of participants in an attempt to bring all participants to a base proficiency level, but the time-critical nature of first-person shooter games often exacerbates the performance difference between expert and novice gamers. However, this variability in player proficiency may be acceptable as a simulation of the different levels of marksmanship possessed by soldiers on the [4].

II. COMPUTER GAMMING

When we are talking about the computer gaming we can use some of the existing definitions. "Reduced to its formal essence, a game is an activity among two or more independent decision-makers seeking to achieve their objectives in some limiting context. A more conventional definition would say that a game is a context with rules among adversaries trying to win objectives" as in [5].

In this article, we are concerned with serious games in the sense that these games have an explicit and carefully thought-out educational purpose and are not intended to be played primarily for amusement or entertainment. Serious Game can be defined as "a mental contest, played with a computer in accordance with specific rules that uses entertainment to further government or corporate training, education, health, public policy, and strategic communication objectives", [6].

In game-based training, we often find an interplay between three main fields, as in [7]., which are learning, simulation and games. Training simulations, like for example used in the military domain, medicine or in business science, are used to teach and train facts using simulations. The simulation's role is to show the underlying system behavior – as realistic as possible (which does not necessarily include demanding graphics, but close to real-life models). Leaving out simulation aspects, i.e. combining learning and games, leads us usually to simple edutainment games, which are often used in primary school settings (e.g. learning how to spell a word in a game-based manner) [8].

Leaving out the training aspect leads to simulation games, which sometimes come as real simulations (in the sense of experimenting with systems consisting of models, including a temporal aspect) – sometimes, they come as games with a simulation appearance, but no core simulation functionality Only in the area, where all three fields overlap, a game-based training simulation can be found, which covers all aspects: games, simulations and learning [8].

Our approach is located at this central field. Simulation gaming is a means, which can tackle some of the challenges described here, and at the same time provides a nearly realistic experience within an authentic training environment. It includes the advantages of being time and place independent, and, once developed, asking much less capacity of training staff. Games offer an environment where students are able to play, probe, make mistakes and learn [9].

Serious games make use of visual, textual and auditory channels for feedback, challenges, and further components. They enable the player to enter virtual, artificial worlds, while being able to establish a strong relationship to the real world [10].

With their combination of the game dimensions of challenge, fantasy and curiosity [11], simulation games additionally work very motivating. Motivation to play a game also improves the learning and training effect of a simulation game [12].



Fig.1: Learning, Simulations and Games
(Source: Martens, A., H. Diener, and S. Malo. 2008. "Game-based Learning with Computers – Learning, Simulations, and Games". Transactions on Edutainment, LNCS 5080:172–190.)

Computer game technologies offer a compelling environment, multiplayer capabilities, world-class visualization, cognitive stimulation, rapid scenario customization, and extreme portability. Many of the military's initial experiments have focused on the modification of a commercial game to create trainers. However, as we master these technologies and

understand how they are valuable for our missions, we will be able to create training tools that specifically meet our needs, rather than being limited to the structure of the commercial products. Though there are questions about the modeling accuracy of a commercial game, there is nothing inherent in the technology that prevents military users from inserting the most detailed and validated models available [13].

Meaning of a game can refer to its educational impact, or to actions one has to take in the game. Reality of a game refers to how realistic environment and objects in the environment of the game are designed. The play element of a game relates to game elements such as competition, challenge, rules etc. [14]. Fidelity defines the degree to which the game emulates the real world and includes many more dimensions than only the visual design of a game, like auditory, vestibular, olfactory, etc. as elements of *physical* fidelity of a game. Functional fidelity defines how the serious game acts in response to the player's actions. Psychological fidelity is related to the notion of presence in a game, and to emotions like stress evoked by the environment [15].

III. MODEL OF FIREARMS SIMULATOR BASED ON SERIOUS GAME AND MOTION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY

A. External and internal motion detection

Motion detection is not a new idea. Security systems, medical systems and other systems apply a variety of ways of so called "external" detection of movement.

Until recently computers had a very restricted view of the world around them, and users had very limited ways of communicating with computers. Over the years, computers have acquired cameras and audio inputs, but these have been used mostly for unrecognized input; computers can store and play such content, but it has been very difficult to make computers understand input in these forms.

For example, when people hear a sound, they can make judgments about the distance and direction of the sound source relative to their own position. Until recently, computers had more trouble making such judgments. Audio information from a number of microphones does provide considerable information about the distance and direction of the audio source, but determining this information is difficult for programs to do. Similarly, a video picture provides an image of the environment for the computer to analyze, but a computer has to work very hard to extract information about the objects in pictures or video because an image shows a flat, two-dimensional representation of a three-dimensional world.

In this model the method of external motion detection will be accomplished using Microsoft Kinect sensor that is placed in front of the soldier. The Microsoft Kinect sensor bar contains two cameras, a special infrared light source, and four microphones. It also contains a stack of signal processing hardware that is able to make sense of all the data that the cameras, infrared light, and microphones can generate. By combining the output from these sensors, a program can track and recognize objects in front of it, determine the direction of sound signals, and isolate them from background noise [16].

Today very attractive is the so called "internal" way of detecting motion. This method is accomplished by sensors placed on a rigid object, usually in the center of mass of the object of interest. They perform measurements of applied force and moments acting on that object, so with further processing of measurements, motion of the object is detected. Inertial sensors (gyroscopes and accelerometers) are most commonly used sensors for the internal method of motion detection [17].

B. The concept of the model

The goal of our model of firearms simulator is to be able to do the targets aiming and the movements like as it would be in real life.

The most important part of the Model of firearms simulator is the connection with the serious game API, but knowing that almost every defense serious games has a restriction on the API, we needed to find a way to emulate the commands without using the API. Our plan is to use Microsoft Kinect, Flexible Action and Articulated Skeleton Toolkit (FAAST) keyboard emulator and Atomic Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU). This concept will give as freedom of use our model with any type of first-shooter serious game.

FAAST is middleware to facilitate integration of full-body control with games and VR applications using the Microsoft Kinect for Windows skeleton tracking software. FAAST includes a custom VRPN server to stream up to four user skeletons over a network, allowing VR applications to read the skeletal joints as trackers using any VRPN client. Additionally, the toolkit can also emulate keyboard input triggered by body posture and specific gestures [18].

We are currently in tasting stage with FAAST and the results are promising with the body motion tracking and emulating the commands like: movement, stand up, lay down etc., but the tracking of the direction of aiming with Kinect didn't give as good results as we planned. So for the purpose of this model we plan to use an automatic rifle AK47, on which we will mount the Atomic IMU on the muzzle. The IMU will measure the accelerations and angular rates that occur during the movements of the rifle. This information will be sent to i386 Drive micro-processing system through RS232 Serial port. This part will be responsible for processing the measurements from the IMU, detection of movements and will send them via Bluetooth to the desktop computer. Here we need to develop algorithms for capturing the motion of the rifle. They will be characterized by high speed and precision. The plan is to develop the algorithms through experiments in which the movements of the rifle will be simulated.

Then the movements of the user rifle will be shown on the screen in real time. The plan is to place two contact sensors on the rifle. The first sensor is going to be placed near the trigger and is going to give a signal when the trigger is pressed. The Second contact sensor is going to be placed on the magazine catch, so when reloading of the magazine appears it will

generate a signal that we are going to enumerate as a magazine reloading.

Motion sensors are relatively cheap compared with the laser sensors. They have very high degree of accuracy. One of the main advantages of this model is the absence of detection camera.

In this way the loop is closed and the user will have a feeling that is a part of the simulation and scenario that is displayed. The concept of the model of firearms simulator is shown in **Figure 1.**



Figure 1: Concept of the model of firearms simulator

IV. INITIAL ASSESSMENT OF SUITABILITY OF THE VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENT FOR MILITARY TRAINING

Nowadays, simulation games are part of situational and weapon training of military, police and other security forces [19]. It shows that military personnel and police officers who receive realistic training are better prepared for the real scenario, which leads to a more coordinated and appropriate response [20]. Military has a long tradition of using simulations for strategy and combat training, because of the chance to clearly illustrate consequences of actions in a safe environment, without risk of injury or other damage [21,22].

Training of military and police forces in the field of close protection and personal security is a resource intensive process. A wide set of skills and knowledge is needed to do a good job in close protection and observation. Work of military and police officers in this field includes detecting security risks, escorting and caring for public security. Traditional training methods include the use of case material, training on the job and expanded "real world" simulations or role plays. Especially the last method is time and cost intensive. A lot of organization, planning and human commitment is needed to simulate any relevant event within a "real" environment, which often is near to being impossible.

In our case, the simulation game's main objective is to raise situational awareness in a close protection mission. Situational awareness is understood as the ability to filter out certain details and highlight and extrapolate others, to better understand and control outcome [23]. Different people bring in different experiences and expectations, which makes them having a different awareness of a given situation. Serious games with their ability to represent a non-linear, immersive training experience can help to increase situational awareness and a shared understanding. For this purpose, we aim to develop a game experience that is meaningful to the player, understood as the user of the simulation game developed.

The initial assessment of suitability of this concept of the virtual environment for military training is:

- Battle Drills (React to Contact, and Squad Attack)
- Convoy training missions
- Tactics Techniques and Procedures (TTPs)
- Refinement of team drills and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)
- Vehicle checkpoints and area control
- Improvised Explosive Devices (IED) and Vehicle Boarding IED (VBIED) drills
- Tactical security (rear area, etc)
- Mission planning/mission rehearsal training
- Mounted and dismounted patrolling
- Battlefield visualization.

As a preliminary approach, we think this concept could be used for training, education and experimentation on the following areas:

- Fratricide Prevention;
- Convoys and checkpoints;
- multinational tactical interoperability in a below component level training event;
- tactical situational awareness;
- cultural awareness;

V. CONCLUSION

Our goal is to have small, highly trained, NATO compatible Army, with the limitation due to the money and staff cutting. With this model we are trying to enhance the ongoing process for implementing new technologies in training and education in Military academy and in ARM, so that will make a step in reaching our goals.

Our model compared with other gives: high degree of accuracy, it cost less and doesn't use detection cameras.

REFERENCES

[1] Cross, J. "Informal Learning: Rediscovering the Natural Pathways that Inspire Innovation and Performance," Pfeiffer, San Francisco, 2007.

[2] Herz J.C. and Michael R. M., "Computer Games and the Military: Two Views," A publication of the Defense Horizons, Number 11, April 2002.

[3] Gwenda, F., "Adapting COTS games for military experimentation, SIMULATION & GAMING," Vol. 37 No. 4, December 2006, 452-465, DOI: 10.1177/1046878106291670, © 2006 Sage Publications, http://sag.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/37/4/452

[4] Paul A. R., Doug B, "Games – Just How Serious Are They?," Interservice/Industry Training, Simulation, and Education Conference (I/ITSEC) 2008, Paper No. 8013.

[5] Abt, C. "Serious Games." New York: The Viking Press, 1970.

[6] Zyda, M. "From visual simulation to virtual reality to games". IEEE Computer, September 2005.

[7] Martens, A., H. Diener, and S. Malo. "Game-based Learning with Computers – Learning, Simulations, and Games". Transactions on Edutainment, 2008, LNCS 5080:172–190.

[8] Dennis M., Martina W., Alke M., "SEAMLESS INTEGRATION OF GAME AND LEARNING USING MODELING AND SIMULATION," Proceedings of the 2012 Winter Simulation Conference, 978-1-4673-4781-5/12/$31.00 ©2012 IEEE

[9] Gee, J.P., "What Video Games have to teach us about Learning and Literacy," New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2003

[10] Greitzer, F. L., Kuchar, O.A., Huston, K., "Cognitive Science Implications for Enhancing Training Effectiveness in a Serious Gaming Context," ACM Journal Educational Resources in Computing, Vol. 7., No. 3, 2007, article 2.

[11] Malone, T. W., "What makes things fun to learn? A study of intrinsically motivating computer games," Pipeline, 1981,V. 6, No. 2, 50-51.

[12] Garris, R., Ahlers, R., Driskell, J. E., "Games, Motivation, and Learning: A Research and Practice Model," Simulation & Gaming 2002: 33, 441-467.

[13] Smith, R. "Technology disruption in the simulation industry". Journal of Defense Modeling and Simulation, 2006, 3(1), pp. 3-10.

[14] Heide L., Theo van R., Alexander V. "The Participatory Design of a Simulation Training Game," Proceedings of the 2012 Winter Simulation Conference, 978-1-4673-4781-5/12/$31.00 ©2012

[15] Alexander, A.L., Brunye, T., Sidman, J., Weil, S.A., "From Gaming to Training: A Review of Studies on Fidelity, Immersion, Presence, and Buy-in and Their Effects on Transfer in PC-Based Simulations and Games," Aptima, Inc., 2005, Woburn, MA.

[16] Learn the MIcrosoft kinect API, Ron Miles, Published with the authorization of Microsoft Corporation by: O'Reilly Media 2012, ISBN: 978-0-735-66396-1

[17] Titteron, D, "Strapdown Inertial Navigation Technology" Peter Peregrinus Ltd, 1997.

[18] Evan A. Suma, Belinda Lange, Skip Rizzo, David Krum, and Mark Bolas, "Flexible Action and Articulated Skeleton Toolkit (FAAST)", http://projects.ict.usc.edu/mxr/faast/,

[19] Benjamins, T. & Rothkranz, I.J.M., "Interactive Simulation in Crisis Management," (B. Van de Walle, P. Burghardt and C. Nieuwenhuis, eds.). (2007), Proceedings of ISCRAM, 2007, 571-580.

[20] Muehl, W. & Novak, J., "Game Development Essentials: Game Simulation Development," Thomson Delmar Learning, 2008.

[21] Macedonia, M. "Games Soldiers Play," IEEE Spectrum, March 2002, 32-37.

[22] Bonk, C. J. & Dennen, "V. P. Massive Multiplayer Online Gaming: A Research Framework for Military Training and Education," Technical Report, 2005, Department of Defense, USA.

[23] Aldrich, C., "The complete guide to simulations and serious games. How the most valuable content will be created in the age beyond Gutenberg to Google," Pfeiffer, San Francisco. 2009.