

PROCEEDINGS

**of the 6th International Conference on Mass Customization and
Personalization in Central Europe (MCP-CE 2014)**

**September 24 – 26, 2014
Novi Sad, Serbia**

Organised by:

**UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD - FACULTY OF TECHNICAL SCIENCES
Department of Industrial Engineering and Management**

MY PRODUCT – Center for Product Development and Management

in cooperation with

Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia,
Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development
of Autonomous Province of Vojvodina

Editors:

Zoran Anišić & Cipriano Forza

Published by:

Faculty of Technical Sciences in Novi Sad

Title

Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Mass Customization and Personalization in Central Europe, MCP-CE 2014

Publisher

UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD – FACULTY OF TECHNICAL SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT
21000 Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 6, Serbia

Editors

Dr Zoran ANIŠIĆ & Dr Cipriano FORZA

Technical Editors

Mr Nikola SUZIĆ, Nemanja SREMČEV

Manuscript Submitted for Publication

01.09.2014.

Printing

Graphic Center GRID, Faculty of Technical Sciences

Circulation 100**CIP classification**

CIP-Katalogizacija u publikaciji
Biblioteka Matice srpske, Novi Sad

005:001.895(4-191.2)(082)

005.591.6(4-191.2)(082)

INTERNATIONAL Conference on Mass Customization and Personalization in Central Europe (6 ; 2014 ; Novi Sad)

Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Mass Customization and Personalization in Central Europe (MCP-CE 2014), September 24-26, 2014, Novi Sad, Serbia / organized by University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences [and] My Product, Center for Product Development and Management ; organized in co-operation with Ministry of Science of Republic of Serbia, Provincial Secretary for Science and Technological Development of Autonomous Province of Vojvodina ; editors Zoran Anišić, Cipriano Forza. - Novi Sad : Faculty of Technical Sciences, Department of Industrial Engineering Management, 2014 (Novi Sad :

Grafički centar Grid). - 241 str. : ilustr. ; 30 cm

Tiraž 100. - Bibliografija uz svaki rad. - Registar.

ISBN 978-86-7892-626-6

- a) Менаџмент - Централна Европа - Зборници
- b) Предузетништво - Иновације - Централна Европа - Зборници

COBISS.SR-ID 289389575

Organizers:

University of Novi Sad - Faculty of Technical Sciences
Department of Industrial Engineering and Management

My Product – Center for Product Development and Management

Scientific Committee

Chairman

Cipriano Forza, University of Padova, Italy

Committee Members

- Alessio Trentin, University of Padova, Italy
- Alexander Tsigkas, Democritus University of Thrace, Greece
- Cipriano Forza, University of Padova, Italy
- Danijela Lalić, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
- Duško Lukač, Köln University of Applied Sciences, Germany
- Bojan Lalić, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
- Boris Tudjarov, Technical University of Sofia, Bulgaria
- Christos Chatzopoulos, Democritus University of Thrace, Greece
- Ivica Veža, University of Split, Croatia
- Ilija Ćosić, University of Novi Sad, Serbia
- Igor Fürstner, Subotica Tech, Serbia
- Matti Sievanen, Tampere University of Technology, Finland
- Maciej Piotrowski, UITM, Poland
- Marcel Weber, Windesheim University of Applied Sciences, Zwolle, Netherlands
- Paul Blažek, cyLEDGE Media GmbH - Vienna, Austria
- Robert Freund, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany
- Valentina Gečevska, University "St. Cyril and Methodius", Skopje, Macedonia
- Zoran Anišić, University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Organizing Committee

Chairman

Zoran Anišić, University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Committee Members

- Nikola Suzić
- Jelena Demko Rihter
- Nemanja Sremčev
- Anja Orčik

Financing and publishing of this Proceedings was supported by **Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development** of the Republic of Serbia, **Provincial Secretariat of Science and Technological Development** of Autonomous Province of Vojvodina.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Nizar Abdelkafi, Stephan Melchert P2P BUSINESS MODEL OPPORTUNITIES IN THE CASE OF ELECTRIC MOBILITY	1
Zoran Anisic, Igor Fuerstner, Anja Orcik, Atila Nadj iDEA LAB PLATFORM FOR STUDENT INNOVATION CONTEST – FIRST RESULTS IN THE APPLICATION	8
Jocelyn Bellemare THE TREND OF MASS CUSTOMIZATION IN THE FASHION & APPAREL INDUSTRY	14
C. Torsten Bernasco Lisboa, Vladimir Puhacac ECONOMIES OF MASS CUSTOMIZATION – VALUE CREATION VIA MASS CUSTOMIZATION	20
Maria Mikela Chatzimichailidou, Christos G. Chatzopoulos, Stefanos Katsavounis REDUCING UNCERTAINTY IN A MASS CUSTOMIZATION COMPANY BY DEMAND SITUATION AWARENESS	27
Maria Mikela Chatzimichailidou, Robert Freund, Ioannis Dokas DISTRIBUTED SITUATION AWARENESS AS A ‘MIDDLEWARE’ BETWEEN THE NEW ECONOMIC SOCIOLOGY AND EMBEDDED OPEN INNOVATION	37
Christos G. Chatzopoulos FLOW CUSTOMIZER: A SYSTEM THAT REVEALS HOW MASS CUSTOMIZATION AFFECTS CONTINUOUS FLOW MANUFACTURING	44
Zlatko Čović, Igor Fürstner ENHANCING THE CREATIVITY THROUGH ENGINEERING COMPETITIONS FOR STUDENTS	54
Michiel de Jong, Marcel Weber CREATING CUSTOMER LOYALTY IN THE BUSINESS CUSTOMER JOURNEY	58
Jelena Demko-Rihter, Vladimir Njegomir ENTREPRENEURSHIP BEYOND STARTUP: THE EXAMPLE OF INSURANCE COMPANIES AND INVESTMENT FUNDS	62
Svetoslav Dimkow DISTRIBUTED MANUFACTURING SYSTEM CONTROL IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MANUFACTURING STRATEGY FOR MASS CUSTOMIZATION IN FURNITURE INDUSTRY	67
Mishko Djidrov, Slavco Cvetkov, Teodora Stojanova, Aleksandar Krstev INFLUENCE OF INNOVATION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP ON THE ECONOMIC GROWTH	73
Cipriano Forza, Thomas Aichner, Alessio Trentin MASS CUSTOMIZATION AND COUNTRY-OF-ORIGIN EFFECTS IN B2B	77

Sladjana Gajic, Angela Fajsi, Milos Jovanovic, Slobodan Moraca, Bojan Lalic PROJECT MANAGEMENT METHODS FOR STIMULATING CO-CREATION IN IT PROJECTS	83
Valentina Gecevska, Radmil Polenakovik, Bojan R. Jovanovski, Dragan Sutevski INNOVATIVENESS AS SMES SUCCESS FACTOR FOR GROWTH IN REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA	88
Hassan Kalantari Daronkola, Soullis Tavrou DESIGN AND MANUFACTURE OF PERSONALIZED PRODUCTS	93
Marija Karać, Dejan Savić 3D PRINTING SCALE MODEL FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES	100
Mirko Karakašić, Milan Kljajin, Jože Duhovnik MFF CONTRIBUTION IN PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS	108
Dragica Koldžin THE QUADRUPLE HELIX ELEMENTS OF THE INNOVATION SYSTEM IN AP VOJVODINA	114
Matthias Kulcke DESIGNFUNDING AS AN INSTRUMENT FOR CO-CREATION EFFICIENCY ENHANCEMENT	120
Dusko Lukac TRENDS IN THE AUTOMATED MASS PRODUCTION	123
Marko Mäkipää, Timo Ingalsuo, Mikko Ruohonen, Heljä Franssila, and Evgeni Pajunen LEAN INFORMATION MANAGEMENT UTILIZING INDUSTRIAL INTERNET	127
Anna Myrodi, Lars Hvam FRAMEWORK FOR DEVELOPING PRODUCT STRATEGY FOR CONFIGURE-TO- ORDER PRODUCTS	131
Slavka T. Nikolic, Danijela Lalic, Jelena Stankovic DILEMMAS AND CONTROVERSIES OF MC BRAND(ING)	140
Anja Orcik, Zoran Anisic CO-CREATION: HOW, WHEN, WHERE AND WHO?	146
Anja Orcik, Sarah Schoellhammer THE UEBERMORGENWERKSTATT: CUSTOMIZABLE INNOVATION IN A CO- CREATIVE ENVIRONMENT	152
Dr Fanke Peng, Mouhannad Al-Sayegh PERSONALISED SIZE RECOMMENDATION FOR ONLINE FASHION	157
Golboo Pourabdollahiana, Frank Steinerb, Ole Horn Rasmussenc, Stephan Hankammerb IMPACT FACTORS OF MASS CUSTOMIZATION ON SUSTAINABILITY	162

Enrico Sandrin, Alessio Trentin, Cipriano Forza ORGANIZATIONAL ANTECEDENTS OF MASS CUSTOMIZATION	169
Sara Shafiee, Lars Hvam, Martin Bonev HOW TO SCOPE A PRODUCT CONFIGURATION PROJECT IN AN ENGINEERING COMPANY	176
Teodora Stojanova, Dejan Mirakovski, Valentina Gecevska, Simeon Simeonov, Mishko Djidrov CUSTOMER INVOLVEMENT INTO PRODUCT CREATION PROCESS IN MACEDONIAN COMPANIES	185
Clarissa Streichsbier, Paul Blazek, Martina Partl THE IMPACT OF THE PRODUCT CONFIGURATOR USER INTERFACE ON CUSTOMER PURCHASE DECISIONS	190
Ian Sutherland, Hans Lundberg, Paul Blazek, Birgit Penzenstadler, Hagen Habicht INVESTIGATING THE MOMENT-TO-MOMENT UNFOLDING OF INNOVATION AND LEADERSHIP WITH INNOTRACING	195
Nikola Suzic, Cipriano Forza, Zoran Anisic MASS CUSTOMIZATION TECHNIQUES – STATE OF THE ART	204
Igor ter Halle, Marcel Weber RETAIL INNOVATION: CAN AN APP SAVE THE CITY CENTRE?	212
Alexander Tsigkas DE-SIGN THINKING FOR MINI-INNOVATION: A WAY TO RE-THINK TECHNOLOGY IN MCP	219
Ivica Veza, Bozenko Bilic, Nikola Gjeldum, Marko Mladineo MODEL OF INNOVATIVE SMART ENTERPRISE	224
Petar Vrgovic MEASURING ORDINARY EMPLOYEE’S INNOVATION POTENTIALS	230
INDEX OF AUTHORS	234



central europe

6th International Conference on Mass Customization
and Personalization in Central Europe (MCP-CE 2014)

Managing Co-Creation and Personalization
in Central Europe

September 23-26, 2014, Novi Sad, Serbia



INFLUENCE OF INNOVATION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP ON THE ECONOMIC GROWTH

Mishko Djidrov, Slavco Cvetkov, Teodora Stojanova, Aleksandar Krstev
Goce Delchev University - Shtip, Faculty of Mechanical engineering, Republic of Macedonia

Abstract: *The role of innovation has been emphasised many times but little is said about the prime source of entrepreneurial opportunities that might come from that innovation. In this research the main focus will be on the role of knowledge in creating opportunities that can be exploited through innovation. The theoretical models for growth would be analysed regarding the relationship between the knowledge, innovation and entrepreneurship. Also we will try to comprehend the forces of knowledge and how innovation and entrepreneurs make it marketable and help the growth process.*

Keywords: Entrepreneurship, Knowledge, Growth, Innovation

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade the relationship between knowledge, growth and entrepreneurship was analysed in many cases in order to understand the importance of their relationship and how they are interconnected in between each other. They have been analysed both theoretically [21] as well as empirically [12]. But so far there is still knowledge missing for the interface of knowledge, innovation, entrepreneurship and growth. Their connection is so complex and sometimes forces can affect all the variables at the same time, yet at other time they can be only partially affected. There are even some extreme cases where it can be expected to have indirect impact or affect only a few of these variables.

Having full employment with efficient allocation, growth is driven by knowledge growth and innovation, where innovation is seen as access to existing knowledge and more importantly economically useful knowledge. Or as Braunerhjelm [4] says, “innovation is one vehicle that diffuses and upgrades already existing knowledge, thereby serving as a conduit for realizing knowledge spillovers”. The innovation process is seen as the critical concern in the understanding of the growth, but the influence of the innovator or entrepreneur on the growth is not carefully analysed. At the same time the effects of activities by entrepreneurs are taken for granted that they bring societal benefits, knowing that sometimes just the opposite happens.

The lack of insight into issues related to innovation, entrepreneurship and growth implies that our knowledge of growth is incomplete and inconsistent. Also there isn't a well-known recipe of growth that can be used over a decent period of time and stage of country's economic development. Macedonia, and even other developing countries, may learn from policies previously tracked by other developed countries, but developed countries themselves have a more difficult task in tracking out the new growth policies for the future.

2. ENTREPRENEURSHIP, INNOVATION AND GROWTH

Schumpeter [19] very early recognised the “*entrepreneur as an innovator*” as a key figure in driving economic development. With their activity they bring constant to the economical equilibrium and as Schumpeter's theory [19] predicts, an increase in the number of entrepreneurs leads to an increase in economic growth. His theory, though important, is mainly descriptive without econometrical foundation, led to dismissing the idea of entrepreneur/innovator as a country's source of growth. In the recent years there have been many empirical evidence measuring entrepreneurial activities from different countries (as in [24]: Lichtenberg, 1993; Coe and Helpman, 1995; Engelbrecht, 1997; Pottelsberghe de la Potterie, 2001) supporting his recognition. Those researchers have used measures such as research and development expenditures [11] or innovation outcomes such as patents [9] and their results have recognised the importance of innovation in company and industry growth. The growth in most of those studies is seen in enhancement of capital and labour in terms of quantity/quality/productivity as defined by Solow [21] that is externally determined and it is without clear recognition of the role of the entrepreneur.

Successively comes the question about the ways for measurement of innovation, where Schumpeter [19] was very clear and defines three stages. He says that the first stage is the real new discovery or new way of doing things, which can be named as an invention. Following is the commercialization of that invention (new product or service) and the third step is imitation, which is the more

wide-ranging adaptation of the new product/process to the same market.

There have been researches examining the growth that is determined internally by the need of profit maximization (as in [24]: Verspagen, 1992; Ruttan, 1997; Grossman and Helpman, 1991) and [17]. Those models “emphasise the importance of knowledge, knowledge spillovers and technological substitution in the process of economic growth” as said in [24]. Here Romer [17] was the first to recognise clearly some of the important aspects of entrepreneurship.

Davidsson [7] clearly linked the economic activity at a market place with entrepreneurship, where he supports Kirzner’s statement: “entrepreneurship consists of the competitive behaviours that drive the market process” [24]. From his statement we can see that what drives the market place can be connected to entrepreneurship, but not only for new companies on the market, but also for existing ones that bring new and innovative approach to the market and make that new movement that drives that market. Starting from their perspective view to the topic, with our analytical approach we can say that innovational approach to the market is a form of entrepreneurship.

There is a diverse literature supporting the entrepreneurship inputs to the economy with its innovations, new changes to the external and internal factors and increasing of rivalry among the competitors on the markets. Starting with Cipolla’s and Lazonick researches [24], where they see entrepreneur as a person that introduces new technologies with allocation of new or better resources and with this he brings the competition on the same market or when penetrating new markets. Later the IT revolution brought real examples with linkages between growth and entrepreneurship [2]. The most significant contribution came from Wennekers and Thurik [23] where they build operational framework that links entrepreneurship and growth, where they see entrepreneur as more than just an innovator, or someone that implements innovations, but also as one that brings new start-ups to new markets. Even though those start-ups have modest research and development spendings, they contribute significantly to the innovations [2]. They have different production activities, many times across different functional areas and separately from the formal R&D, and they use different sources of knowledge for their innovations [20]. From all mentioned researches it is clear that entrepreneurial activities have crucial impact on economic growth and innovation.

3. EMPIRICAL APPROACH TO THE ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND GROWTH

In the empirical literature it is often suggested that entrepreneurial start-ups have an important link between knowledge creation and finding way to bring that

knowledge to the market, in a form to commercialize [10]. Many studies show that start-ups and growth have a positive and strong correlation among each other. Knowledge on the other side is seen as a not a sufficient necessity for growth.

There aren’t many empirical studies devoted to the economic growth and entrepreneurship, mostly because of the problem of measurement of output of new start-ups and their correlation to the economy growth for that country. Because of this, researchers have found ways to connect entrepreneurship with new jobs creation. New companies create a considerable number of new jobs, where start-ups are seen as the one that brings majority of the jobs created. Researches in different countries have proved this, Brich [3] did a research in USA and Davidsson [6] in Sweden where he found that new independent companies are important for development of regions and it can be measured by income growth and net marginal surplus. Though there is clear difference with what we have previously said, new jobs creation is seen as a factor that brings wealth to the people and growth to the economy. Start-ups effect might be seen as a positive (push effect theory of income) or negative (pull effect theories on entrepreneurial capability and risk), but their input is limited because of the low survival rate and growth. There have been researches supporting both of the effects. Picot et al. [14] clearly connects new start-ups with employability increasing and economic effects, and it is most likely presented in more developed countries with firmly supported entrepreneurship activities. Opposite to this, Reynolds [16] says that unemployment stimulates entrepreneurial activities and this is seen in less developed countries, where emolument is guaranteed for the entrepreneur, but no growth guaranties. Knowing that almost every second Macedonian (47%) [8] looks for good opportunities for starting business in the next 6 months, and on the other hand knowing that the unemployment rate is >50% [22] at the youngest population, shows us that the entrepreneurial climate in Macedonia is driven by the unemployment, rather than the support by the economy. Entrepreneurial start-ups will bring growth but they have to be nourished in environment with innovation and entrepreneurial support.

Recent studies give more precise results because they started to take in consideration information for per capita output (GDP) in their research. Carree [5] introduced a model for determination of equilibrium rate of entrepreneurship as a function of the analysed economy and its level of development. In his research he used data from different countries and the results were equilibrium rates of entrepreneurship that proved that any deviancies from those rates influenced economy’s GDP growth. Another research done by Nikolova, Ricka, Simroth [13] proves the importance of income in the economy with the success rate of new start-ups (Fig. 1.).




Fig. 1. Success rate of start-ups correlated with income per capita. Source: LiTS.

One question arises, especially in the eastern European countries, and that is the entrepreneurial effect on growth in correlation to the economic development of the countries. Porter et al [15] used three stages growth cycle: factor, efficiency and innovation driven stage where it is expected to have separate product structure and even different structure when it comes to entrepreneurs and start-ups. Acemoglu [1] says that innovative entrepreneurship is a specific mechanism for productivity growth in advanced economies, where less developed economies are faced with the opposite. Technology is independent between countries and those that are leaders in the technology field disperse it to those that fall behind in development. Here the difference in between economies is most noticeable (Gries and Naude 2008, 2010 as in [17]). That is why in developing countries like Macedonia, entrepreneurship is mostly based on imitation in an economy with inflows of foreign companies with large investments. Oposite in developed economies innovation and change most likely comes from the cooperation between small entrepreneurial start-ups and companies with developed R&D departments (Baumol as in [17]). Stam and van Stel (2009) as in [17] go even further in the analysis of the microeconomics data, where they find that entrepreneurship has very low effect in low income economies, where the opposite prevails in developed economies. This is especially noticeable in the entrepreneurship opportunities in those high income countries, where qualified and educated entrepreneurs have a great help and are well connected to the local network.

We will mention Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM), a project that assist annually the entrepreneurial activities, aspirations and attitudes of individuals across a wide range of countries. It was initiated in 1999 as a partnership between London Business School and Babson College and in their 2013 survey they covered over 75% of world population and 89% of world GDP.

Though Macedonia is a developing country, it has key index in GEM – TEA Index at 14.5 % (index of early-stage entrepreneurial activity). The TEA Index is one of the most commonly used indicators for entrepreneurial activity and compared with the rest of the world it's in line with the efficiency driven economies (Fig. 2). Higher GEM is common for lower GDP per

capita countries, so for Macedonia it is a positive signal, particularly because it is accompanied with relative political stability and relatively good business environment.




Fig. 2. TEA Indexes.

Source: Global Entrepreneurship Monitor.

Basing on the information from the same report, countries are grouped regarding their GDP pre capita and countries are divided into factor, efficiency and innovation driven economies, where Macedonia is in the group of efficiency driven economies. This is important because efficiency driven economies have efficiency enhance conditions that even though not directly related to entrepreneurship, they are indirectly contributing to the development of markets and entrepreneurship, leading the country in a group of innovation driven economies.

4. CONCLUSION

Any society's ability to increase its wealth bases on its potential to develop and uses knowledge and with that influence growth. It is believed that micro level processes in the country play an important role of dissemination of the knowledge, but there aren't many researches supporting this. But knowledge, entrepreneurship and innovation are collated in a complex manner, where in many cases knowledge and innovation are not dispersed through entrepreneurship. This material has tried to present the relationship in-between innovation, entrepreneurship and growth, based on survey of recent theoretical and some empirical researchers. From those, we can conclude that higher degree of entrepreneurship or new start-ups does not guaranty improvement of the economy in the country and economic growth. In our research this has been presented from other analysis and also by the TEA rate of Macedonia. This leads to recommendation that only certain activities of entrepreneurs might stimulate growth. Carree's [5] analysis clearly defines that deviation from the equilibrium rate has to be followed in order to support economic growth and not just to support entrepreneurship activates, like in some cases in Macedonia. Innovation, though very important for entrepreneurship, is not always followed by new start-up. This tells us that they are not substantial and that very small part of entrepreneurial activities are engaged to an invention. This is especially presented in developing countries, like Macedonia, where imitation is more present than innovation.

4. REFERENCES

- [1] Acemoglu, D., Aghion, P. & Zilibotti, F., "Distance to Frontier, Selection and Economic Growth", *Journal of European Economic Association* 4, 2006, pp. 37-74.
- [2] Audretsch, D., "Innovation and Industry Evolution", MIT Press, Cambridge, Ma, 1995.
- [3] Birch, D., "The Job Generation Process, Final Report to Economic Development Administration, Cambridge", MA: MIT Program on Neighbourhood and Regional Change, 1979.
- [4] Braunerhjelm P., "Entrepreneurship, Innovation and Economic Growth - Past experiences, current knowledge and policy implications", *Working Papers Series from Swedish Entrepreneurship Forum*, 2010.
- [5] Carree, M. A., A. J. van Stel, R. Thurik and S. Wennekers, "Economic Development and Business Ownership: An Analysis using Data of 23 OECD Countries in the Period 1976-1996", *Small Business Economics* 19, 2002, pp. 270-290.
- [6] Davidsson, P. L. Lindmark and C. Olofsson, "Smallness, Newness and Regional Development" *Swedish Journal of Agricultural Research* 28, 1998, pp. 57-71.
- [7] Davidsson. P. and Honig, B., "The Role of Social and Human Capital among Nascent Entrepreneurs", *Journal of Business Venturing* 18, 2003, pp. 300-325.
- [8] Entrepreneurship in Macedonia: part of the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor. – Skopje: Macedonian Enterprise Development Foundation, 2009.
- [9] Griliches, Z., "Patent Statistics as Economic Indicators: A Survey", *Journal of Economic Literature* 28, 1990, pp. 1661-1707.
- [10] Karlsson, C. and Nystrom, K. "Report to the Swedish Government's Globalization Council", Ministry of Education, Stockholm, 2009.
- [11] Mansfield, E., "Contribution of Research and Development to Economic Growth of the United States", *Papers and Proceedings from National Science Foundation*, Washington, DC, 1972.
- [12] Nadiri, I., "Innovations and Technological Spillovers", Working Paper 424, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [13] Nikolova E., Ricka F. and Simroth D., "Entrepreneurship in the transition region: an analysis based on the Life in Transition Survey", *Working Paper No. 141, EBRD*, 2012.
- [14] Picot, G., M. Manser and Z. Lin, "The role of self-employment in job creation in Canada and the U.S" OECD CERF-CILN International Conference on Self-Employment, Burlington, Ontario, Canada, 1998.
- [15] Porter, M, Sachs, J. and McArthur, J., "Executive Summary: Competitiveness and Stages of Economic Development", *The Global Competitiveness Report 2001-2002*, Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.
- [16] Reynolds, P. D., D. J. Storey and P. Westhead, "Cross-National Comparisons of the Variation in New Firm Formation Rates", *Regional Studies* 28(4), 1994, pp. 443-456.
- [17] Romer, P. M., "Endogenous Technological Change", *Journal of Political Economy* 98, 1990, pp. 75-101.
- [18] Schumpeter J. A., "The Theory of Economic Development: an Inquiry into Profits", Oxford University Press, 1911.
- [19] Schumpeter, J. A., "Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy", Harper and Row, 1941.
- [20] Shane, S., "Prior knowledge and the discovery of entrepreneurial opportunities". *Organization Science*, 2000, pp. 46-71.
- [21] Solow, R. M., "A Contribution to the Theory of Economic Growth", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 70, 1956, pp. 65-94.
- [22] State Statistical Office, Active Population in the Republic of Macedonia Results from the Labour Force Survey, I Quarter 2014, T-05: Activity rates of the population by age groups and gender, 2014.
- [23] Wennekers, S. and R. Thurik, "Linking Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth", *Small Business Economics* 13(1), 1999, pp. 25-60.
- [24] Wong P. K., Ho Y. P., and Autio E., "Entrepreneurship, Innovation and Economic Growth: Evidence from GEM data", *Small Business Economics* 24, Springer 2005, pp. 334-349.

CORRESPONDENCE



Dr. Mishko Djidrov, PhD
Goce Delchev University - Shtip
Faculty of Mechanical engineering
„Krste Misirkov“ No.10-A
P.O. Box 201 2000 Stip
misko.dzidrov@ugd.edu.mk