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**ABSTRACT**

*The increasing in the chalcopyrite copper Bucim mine are gone forward to renewed reagent regime, including and involving new reagents for increase d recovery of copper and gold. The optimization and mathematical linear are good example for improvement of industrial recoveries in flotation circuit. Nevertheless, the choice between flotation and new leaching or bioleaching method are challenge for future.*

*The optimization techniques, formatting the mathematical model and adequate model for carried out investigations, by means of tables and figures will show the optimal quantity in reagent regime (collectors), particle size, flotation time, rougher flotation, conditioning time etc.*
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**1.General principles**

The previous carried out laboratory investigations with application of the new collectors and frothers confirmed that there is possibility for significantly improvement of the gold recovery with same copper quality and decreasing of the CaO consumption. The investigations with reagents Aerophine 3404, Aero XD 5002 and frother ОР-F49 in the previous period (2010/11) were very short because of the low quality and variations of the ores. Variations of the ore from 0.15-0.22%Cu, instability of the flotation and other problems in the flotation process. The combination of the Aerophine 3404, KEX:KBX= 1:1, NaIPX, SKIK- Bz 2000, in the different points of the flotation process gave significantly better results that early. The process was prolonged with pH=10.5 and the point of addition of CaO was at the hydro cyclone (70%) and 30% in the flotation process. The conclusions of these investigations were very heavy for sure confirmation, bur the obtained results were close to the previous results by standard conditions (specially for Au), may be better but not significantly. The Au content in the ore was од 0,19-0,29 gr/t, in the concentrate 8-12,3 gr/t, with Au recovery from 45-55% (some appearances up to 60%), but the copper recovery in the standard interval. Considering these investigations in laboratory and industrial real conditions may be concluded that:

* The instability and relative short period of investigations in the real conditions have contributed for obtaining the technological parameters closed to the standard conditions,
* As a result of the good regrinding, it was very heavy to clean the rough concentrate Cu/Au,
* Using higher pH, higher than standard in the rougher flotation (elimination of pyrite flotation) by Aerophine 3404, it will be expected higher quality and content of Cu/Au,
* In the existing real conditions of flotation at рН 11,0-11,6 and consumption of Aerophine 3404 (АР3404) from 18-22 gr/t, together with change of adaptive changeable reagent regime by different collectors (the combination from Aerophine 3404, KEX:KBX, NaIPX),
* The prolonged changes of the reagent regime with contemporary addition of new reagents (Bz 2000 = 4-8 gr/t + KEX:KBX=1:1 = 8-4 gr/t, total 12 gr/t) in the grinding cycle, together with addition of NaIPX in the condiotioner with 8-10 gr/t, in the flotation process (rougher and controlled flotation) with 2-4 gr/t, or total addition of 14 gr/t NaIPX,

The tests A1-A16 are related to the ore grindibility in the presence of the BZ2000 (7 gr/t), conditioning time (6 min.) with BZ2000 (5 gr/t) + DowF (10 gr/t) after 4 min., rougher flotation (4 min.), and scavenging flotation (4+4 minutes) (NaIPX 6+4 gr/t + DowF 5+5 gr/t). The tests A7-A8 are related for the ore grinding in the presence of the KEX:KBX= 8 gr/t, conditioning time of (6 min.) with BZ2000 (4 gr/t) + DowF (10 gr/t) after 4 minutes, rougher flotation (4 min.), and scavenging flotation (4+4 minutes) (NaIPX 8+4 gr/t + DowF 5+5 gr/t). The tests A11-A16 are related for the grinding ore in the presence of KEX:KBX= 6 gr/t, conditioning time of (6 min.) with KEX:KBX= (4 gr/t) + DowF (10 gr/t) after 4 minutes, rougher flotation (4 min.), and scavenging flotation (4+4 minutes) (NaIPX 8+4 gr/t + DowF 5+5 gr/t). and variants with condition 6 minutes with BZ2000 (4 gr/t) + dispergator (50 gr/t), or variant with BZ2000 in all existing phases: conditioning, rougher and control flotation.

*Table 2. Investigated conditions for flotation for gold - plan*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tests | Collectors, typ | Coll.,gr/t | рН |
| А-1 | **BZ 000+Naskol+NaIPX** | 22 | 11.75 |
| А-2 | **BZ 000+Naskol+NaIPX** | 22 | 11.75 |
| А-3 | **KEX:KBX +NaIPX** | 18 | 11.75 |
| А-4 | **KEX:KBX + NaIPX** | 18 | 11.75 |
| А-5 | **KEX:KBX +NaIPX** | 22 | 11.80 |
| А-6 | **KEX:KBX + NaIPX** | 22 | 11.80 |
| А-7 | **KEX:KBX+BZ 2000 +NaIPX** | 22 | 11.80 |
| А-8 | **KEX:KBX+BZ 2000 + NaIPX** | 22 | 11.80 |
| А-9 | **Naskol+NaIPX** | 22 | 10.60 |
| А-0 | **Naskol+NaIPX** | 22 | 10.60 |
| А-11 | **KEX:KBX + Naskol** | 22 | 11.80 |
| А-12 | **KEX:KBX + Naskol** | 22 | 11.80 |
| А-13 | **KEX:KBX + NaIPX** | 16 | 11.80 |
| А-14 | **KEX:KBX + NaIPX** | 16 | 11.80 |
| А-15 | **KAX + NaIPX** | 22 | 11.80 |
| А-16 | **KAX + Naskol + NaIPX** | 22 | 11.80 |

*Table 3. Tests with a plan experiments on gold*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tests | Content, (k ,r, j), Au(gr/t) | | | Recovery (K,J), Au(gr/t) | | |
| А-1 | **5.700** | 0.281 | 0.170 | | **40.63** | 59.37 |
| А-2 | **4.200** | 0.279 | 0.170 | | **40.67** | 59.33 |
| А-3 | **4.800** | 0.278 | 0.160 | | **43.98** | 56.02 |
| А-4 | **5.200** | 0.264 | 0.150 | | **44.38** | 55.62 |
| А-5 | **5.500** | 0.320 | 0.110 | | **66.99** | 33.01 |
| А-6 | **5.800** | 0.390 | 0.120 | | **70.68** | 29.32 |
| А-7 | **4.300** | 0.308 | 0.100 | | **69.13** | 30.87 |
| А-8 | **4.200** | 0.261 | 0.090 | | **66.89** | 33.11 |
| А-9 | **4.000** | 0.303 | 0.100 | | **68.69** | 31.31 |
| А-10 | **4.000** | 0.339 | 0.120 | | **66.62** | 33.38 |
| А-11 | **3.600** | 0.269 | 0.110 | | **60.94** | 39.06 |
| А-12 | **4.200** | 0.272 | 0.110 | | **61.09** | 38.91 |
| А-13 | **4.900** | 0.335 | 0.120 | | **65.80** | 34.20 |
| А-14 | **5.100** | 0.391 | 0.130 | | **68.49** | 31.51 |
| А-15 | **3.400** | 0.165 | 0.025 | | **85.48** | 14.52 |
| А-16 | **3.700** | 0.242 | 0.050 | | **80.39** | 19.61 |

The tests А1-А16 are carried out in the different conditions and different reagent regime and collectors, condition-ning, rougher and control flotation with the reagent regime shown at the tables.

*Table 6. Tests with a plan experiments on gold 2012/13*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tests | Content, (k ,r), Au (ppm) | | Recovery (K,J), Au (%) | | | |
| T – 1 | 1.40 | 0.26 | | | 56.1 | 43.9 |
| T - 2 | 1.49 | 0.29 | | 49.8 | | 50.2 |
| O-1 | 2.20 | 0.22 | | **38.83** | | 61.17 |
| O-2 | 2.45 | 0.24 | | **44.20** | | 55.80 |
| O-3 | 1.95 | 0.23 | | **46.60** | | 53.40 |
| O-4 | 2.50 | 0.19 | | **33.46** | | 66.54 |
| O-5 | 2.80 | 0.18 | | **40.07** | | 59.93 |
| O-6 | 2.25 | 0.20 | | **34.60** | | 65.40 |
| O-7 | 1.90 | 0.23 | | **51.05** | | 48.95 |
| O-8 | 2.45 | 0.20 | | **42.06** | | 57.94 |
| O-9 | 1.95 | 0.19 | | **36.54** | | 63.46 |
| O-10 | 2.75 | 0.21 | | **35.11** | | 64.89 |
|  | | | | | | |

*Table 11. Tests with a plan experiments on gold 2012/13*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tests | Content, (k ,r), Au (ppm) | | Recovery (K,J), Au (%) | | | |
| T – 1 | 1.40 | 0.26 | | | 56.1 | 43.9 |
| T - 2 | 1.49 | 0.29 | | 49.8 | | 50.2 |
| O’-1 | 1.56 | 0.19 | | **39.91** | | 60.09 |
| O’-2 | 1.49 | 0.25 | | **58.52** | | 41.48 |
| O’-3 | 1.47 | 0.22 | | **48.58** | | 51.42 |
| O’-4 | 1.49 | 0.23 | | **52.03** | | 47.97 |
| O’-5 | 1.55 | 0.24 | | **52.13** | | 47.87 |
| O’-6 | 1.78 | 0.20 | | **40.33** | | 59.67 |
| O’-7 | 2.55 | 0.17 | | **30.86** | | 69.14 |
| O’-8 | 2.15 | 0.19 | | **39.01** | | 60.99 |
| O’-9 | 2.15 | 0.20 | | **31.77** | | 68.23 |
| O’-10 | 1.95 | 0.19 | | **33.82** | | 66.18 |
|  | | | | | | |

**3.Results and discussion**

## According to the previous investigations and obtained results from these investigations and tests for the Bucim mine ores, these particular investigation are carried out by means of experiment plans, laboratory investigations etc. These investigations show advanced approach for eventual optimization of the flotation process from useful raw material or chalcopyrite ores with possibility of obtaining the optimal results.

**CONCLUSION**

In this paper is shown practical applicative optimization techniques with formatting the model for adequate reagent regime for carried out investigations. Obtained tabular results will show the optimal quantity in reagent regime (collectors), particle size, flotation time for rougher flotation, conditioning time, some addition of special reagents etc.
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